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ACR–SIR–SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR SPECIFICATIONS AND PERFORMANCE OF IMAGE-GUIDED PERCUTANEOUS DRAINAGE/ASPIRATION OF ABSCESSES AND FLUID COLLECTIONS (PDAFC)

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable approach.

---

1 Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing, ___ N.W.2d ___ (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures (Revised 2008) sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard’s stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, Stanley v. McCarver, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that “published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation” even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.
course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

I. INTRODUCTION

This practice parameter was revised collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), and the Society for Pediatric Radiology.

Image-guided percutaneous drainage or aspiration of abscesses and abnormal fluid collections (PDAFC) has become the diagnostic and therapeutic treatment of choice for a wide variety of fluid collections. The procedures have resulted in reduced morbidity and mortality and have helped to reduce length of hospital stay and hospital costs [1-16]. This practice parameter outlines the specifications and principles for performing high-quality PDAFC.

For information on breast interventional procedures, see the ACR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Stereotactic-Guided Breast Interventional Procedures or the ACR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Breast Interventional Procedures.

The procedures may be performed with ionizing radiation for image guidance, including fluoroscopy or computed tomography (CT), or with nonionizing radiation modalities. Nonionizing radiation guidance is preferred when appropriate. Optimal performance of PDAFC requires knowledge of anatomy and pathophysiology, familiarity with percutaneous techniques (e.g., needle, guidewire, and drainage catheter use) and knowledge of the advantages and disadvantages of one imaging modality versus another for any particular drainage procedure. As with any invasive therapy, the patient is most likely to benefit when the procedure is performed in an appropriate environment and by qualified physicians.

This practice parameter is intended to be used in quality improvement programs to assess percutaneous drainage procedures. The most important processes of care are 1) patient selection, 2) performing the procedure, and 3) monitoring the patient. The outcome measures or indicators for these processes are indications, success rates, and complication rates. Outcome measures are assigned threshold levels.

II. DEFINITION

Image-guided percutaneous drainage is defined as the placement of a catheter using image guidance to provide continuous drainage of a fluid collection, using access pathways that may be either transorificial (e.g., transrectal, transvaginal, peroral) or transcutaneous. It includes location of the fluid collection and placement of one or more catheters, and may also include catheter maintenance and eventual removal of the catheter(s). It may be performed during a single session or as a staged procedure during multiple sessions.

Image-guided percutaneous aspiration is defined as evacuation or diagnostic sampling of a fluid collection using either a catheter or a needle during a single imaging session, with removal of the catheter or needle immediately after the aspiration.

III. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

A. Indications

Because of variability in the presentation of abscesses and fluid collections, the indications for PDAFC must be stated in general terms enumerated at the end of this section. A collection may be detected by physical examination but typically is discovered by an imaging study. Additional studies may be helpful prior to the procedure to confirm the presence or nature of the fluid collection and to evaluate the feasibility of a percutaneous procedure.
Diagnostic aspiration may be the only means of determining whether a fluid collection is infected. For instance, while fever, leukocytosis, malaise, anorexia, or other systemic symptoms point to an infection, these signs and symptoms may be absent in elderly, very ill, or immunocompromised patients. If material that appears infected is obtained or if the operator suspects the presence of infection, a drainage catheter may then be placed.

Percutaneous drainage or aspiration may be performed in essentially any organ system. The contraindications are relative and depend on the suitability of surgical alternatives. Common relative contraindications include coagulopathy and/or the absence of an acceptable path for needle and catheter introduction.

There is a spectrum of disease complexity. Examples of more complex situations include multiple or multiloculated abscesses, abscess due to Crohn’s disease, pancreatic abscesses, a drainage route that traverses bowel or pleura, infected hematoma, and tumor abscess [17-24]. Articles have documented curative or partially successful percutaneous drainage in patients with these complex situations. However, one should expect that percutaneous drainage in such cases will have a lower chance of success, be more technically difficult, require longer periods of time for drainage, have higher likelihood of recurrence, and have a higher rate of complications.

A recent application is percutaneous pancreatic necrosectomy with multiple large catheters and/or wire manipulation of abscess contents [25]. A step-up approach or open necrosectomy is often required for necrotizing pancreatitis.

For other abscesses that are incompletely drained after PDAFC, some have reported success with adjunctive intracavitary fibrinolytics and/or adjunctive procedures such as switching to a larger catheter or one with more side holes along the shaft [26-28]. Decisions regarding percutaneous versus surgical drainage of complex collections should be made in concert with others involved in the patient’s care. Some have advocated the possibility of draining abscesses using needles alone [29-31]. However, catheter drainage may still be needed in selected cases, and the overall utility of needle drainage of abscesses awaits further study.

The indications for PDAFC include, but are not limited to, the presence of an abnormal fluid collection with:

1. Suspicion that the fluid is infected or the result of an abnormal fistulous communication.
2. Need for fluid characterization.
3. Suspicion that the collection is producing symptoms sufficient to warrant drainage.
4. Need for an adjunctive procedure to facilitate the improved outcome of a subsequent intervention (e.g., paracentesis prior to liver intervention).

Threshold – PDAFC should be done for 1 of the above 4 indications in 98% of the cases.

B. Contraindications

There are no absolute contraindications. However, there are relative contraindications and, as for all patients considered for this procedure, the relative benefits and risks of the procedure should be weighed carefully. These relative contraindications should be addressed and corrected or controlled before the procedure, when feasible. The relative contraindications for PDAFC include:

1. Significant coagulopathy that cannot be adequately corrected.
2. Severely compromised cardiopulmonary function or hemodynamic instability.
3. Lack of a safe pathway to the abscess or fluid collection.
4. Inability of the patient to cooperate with, or to be positioned for, the procedure.
5. Patient refusal of procedure.

For the pregnant or potentially pregnant patient, see the ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for Imaging Pregnant or Potentially Pregnant Adolescents and Women with Ionizing Radiation.
IV. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

A. Physician

Image-based diagnosis and treatment planning require integrating the preprocedural imaging findings within the context of the patient’s history and physical findings. Therefore, the physician must be clinically informed and understand the specific questions to be answered and goals to be accomplished by PDAFC prior to the procedure in order to plan and perform it safely and effectively.

The physician performing PDAFC must have knowledge of the benefits, alternatives, and risks of the procedures. The physician must have a thorough understanding of imaging anatomy (including congenital and developmental variants), the imaging equipment to be used during the procedure, radiation safety considerations, and physiologic monitoring equipment. The physician must also have access to adequate supplies and personnel to perform the procedure safely.

PDAFC procedures must be performed by a physician who has the following qualifications. The physician’s experience in PDAFC is best documented by use of a formal case log submitted by the applicant.

1. Certification in Radiology or Diagnostic Radiology by the American Board of Radiology (ABR), the American Osteopathic Board of Radiology, the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, or the Collège des Médecins du Québec and has performed (with supervision) a sufficient number of PDAFC procedures to demonstrate competency as attested by the supervising physician(s).

or

2. Completion of a residency program approved by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC), the Collège des Médecins du Québec, or the American Osteopathic Association (AOA) and has performed (with supervision) a sufficient number of PDAFC procedures to demonstrate competency as attested by the supervising physician(s).

or

3. Physicians whose residency or fellowship training did not include the above may still be considered qualified to perform PDAFC provided that the following can be demonstrated.

The physician must have at least 2 years of image-guided procedural experience during which the physician was supervised and during which the physician performed and interpreted at least 35 image-guided percutaneous catheter placement procedures with aspiration and/or drainage, 25 of them as the primary operator, with outcomes within the quality improvement thresholds of this document.

and

4. Physicians meeting any of the qualifications in 1, 2, and 3 above also must have written substantiation that they are familiar with all of the following:
   a. Indications and contraindications for the procedure.
   b. Periprocedural and intraprocedural assessment, monitoring, and management of the patient and potential complications.
   c. Where applicable, pharmacology of moderate sedation medications and recognition and treatment of adverse reactions and complications.
   d. Imaging systems that may be used for guidance during percutaneous procedures and determining which imaging modality would be optimal for a specific PDAFC procedure in terms of both safety and effectiveness.
   e. Where applicable, principles of radiation protection, the hazards of radiation, and radiation monitoring requirements.
   f. Where applicable, pharmacology of contrast agents and recognition and treatment of potential adverse reactions.
   g. Percutaneous needle and catheter introduction techniques.
   h. Technical aspects of performing the procedure, including the use of various catheter and guide-wire systems.
i. Anatomy, physiology, and pathophysiology of the structures being considered for PDAFC.

The written substantiation should come from the chief of interventional radiology, the director or chief of body imaging or ultrasound, or the chair of the radiology department of the institution in which the physician will be providing these services. Substantiation could also come from a prior institution in which the physician provided the services, but only at the discretion of the current interventional director or chair who solicits the additional input.

Maintenance of Competence

Physicians must perform a sufficient number of procedures to maintain their skills, with acceptable success and complication rates as laid out in this practice parameter. Continued competence should depend on participation in a quality improvement program that monitors these rates.

Continuing Medical Education

The physician’s continuing education should be in accordance with the ACR Practice Parameter for Continuing Medical Education (CME).

B. Qualified Medical Physicist

A Qualified Medical Physicist should have the responsibility for overseeing the equipment quality control program and for monitoring fluoroscopy and other cross-sectional imaging studies both upon installation and routinely on an annual basis. Medical physicists assuming these responsibilities should meet the following qualifications:

A Qualified Medical Physicist is an individual who is competent to practice independently in one or more of the subfields in medical physics. The American College of Radiology (ACR) considers certification and continuing education and experience in the appropriate subfield(s) to demonstrate that an individual is competent to practice one or more of the subfields in medical physics and to be a Qualified Medical Physicist. The ACR strongly recommends that the individual be certified in the appropriate subfield(s) by the American Board of Radiology (ABR), the Canadian College of Physics in Medicine, or by the American Board of Medical Physics (ABMP).

A Qualified Medical Physicist should meet the ACR Practice Parameter for Continuing Medical Education (CME). (ACR Resolution 17, 1996 – revised in 2012, Resolution 42)

The appropriate subfield of medical physics for this practice parameter is Diagnostic Medical Physics. (Previous medical physics certification categories including Radiological Physics, Diagnostic Radiological Physics, and Diagnostic Imaging Physics are also acceptable.)

C. Registered Radiologist Assistant

A registered radiologist assistant is an advanced level radiographer who is certified and registered as a radiologist assistant by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists (ARRT) after having successfully completed an advanced academic program encompassing an ACR/ASRT (American Society of Radiologic Technologists) radiologist assistant curriculum and a radiologist-directed clinical preceptorship. Under radiologist supervision, the radiologist assistant may perform patient assessment, patient management and selected examinations as delineated in the Joint Policy Statement of the ACR and the ASRT titled “Radiologist Assistant: Roles and Responsibilities” [32] and as allowed by state law. The radiologist assistant transmits to the supervising radiologists those observations that have a bearing on diagnosis. Performance of diagnostic interpretations remains outside the scope of practice of the radiologist assistant. (ACR Resolution 34, adopted in 2006)
D. Radiologic Technologist

The technologist, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The technologist should be able to prepare and position the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The technologist should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. If intravenous contrast material is to be administered, qualifications for technologists performing intravenous injection should be in compliance with the current ACR policy and the operating procedures or manuals at the facility. The technologist should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

Technologists should be certified by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists (ARRT) or have an unrestricted state license with documented training and experience in the imaging modality used for the imaging-guided percutaneous procedure.

E. Diagnostic Medical Sonographer

For cases performed with the assistance of a sonographer, the sonographer, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The sonographer should be able to prepare and position the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The sonographer should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. The sonographer should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

Diagnostic medical sonographers involved in PDAFC should have documented training and experience in assisting with these procedures. When possible, they should be certified by the ARRT or by the American Registry for Diagnostic Medical Sonography (ARDMS). When applicable, they should have an unrestricted state license.

F. CT Technologist

For drainages performed using CT, the CT technologist, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The technologist should be able to prepare and position the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The CT technologist should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. The CT technologist should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

CT technologists should be certified by the ARRT or have an unrestricted state license with documented training and experience in CT.

2The American College of Radiology approves of the practice of certified and/or licensed radiologic technologists performing fluoroscopy in a facility or department as a positioning or localizing procedure only, and then only if monitored by a supervising physician who is personally and immediately available*. There must be a written policy or process for the positioning or localizing procedure that is approved by the medical director of the facility or department/service and that includes written authority or policies and processes for designating radiologic technologists who may perform such procedures. (ACR Resolution 26, 1987 – revised in 2007, Resolution 12m)

*For the purposes of this parameter, “personally and immediately available” is defined in manner of the “personal supervision” provision of CMS—a physician must be in attendance in the room during the performance of the procedure. Program Memorandum Carriers, DHHS, HCFA, Transmittal B-01-28, April 19, 2001.

3See the ACR-SPR Practice Parameter for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media.
G. Other Ancillary Personnel

Other ancillary personnel who are qualified and duly licensed or certified under applicable state law may, under supervision by a radiologist or other qualified physician, perform specific interventional fluoroscopic or other image-guided procedures. Supervision by a radiologist or other qualified physician must be direct or personal and must comply with local, state, and federal regulations. Individuals should be credentialed for specific fluoroscopic and other image-guided interventional procedures and should have received formal training in radiation management and/or application of other imaging modalities as appropriate.

H. Nursing Services

Nursing services, when deemed appropriate by the performing physician, are an integral part of the team for preprocedural, intraprocedural, and postprocedural patient management and education and are recommended in monitoring the patient during the procedure.

I. Nonphysician Practitioners

Physician assistants and nurse practitioners can be valuable members of the interventional radiology team but should not perform PDAFCs independent of supervision by physicians with training, experience and privileges to perform the relevant procedures. See the ACR–SIR–SNIS–SPR Practice Parameter for Interventional Clinical Practice and Management.

V. SPECIFICATIONS AND PERFORMANCE OF THE PROCEDURE

A. Imaging Equipment and Facilities

The choice of modality for imaging guidance will depend on the site and characteristics of the collection, the skill and preference of the intervening physician, and the availability of appropriate imaging devices for guidance.

1. The minimum requirements for facilities in which PDAFC is performed include the following:
   a. When fluoroscopic guidance is used, a high-resolution unit with adequate shielding and collimation is desirable. Ability to perform complex angle (e.g., anteroposterior, lateral, or oblique) fluoroscopy views is often necessary to ensure proper needle placement. Image and written documentation of needle or drainage catheter location is essential. Overhead fluoroscopic tube suites are less desirable because of their increased radiation exposure to personnel during this procedure.
   b. When ultrasound guidance is used, proper transducer frequency is required to direct and monitor needle placement. That is especially true for diagnostic aspiration of fluid collections in the pleural space, peritoneal cavity, etc.
   c. At times, CT and/or CT fluoroscopy equipment may be necessary to better demonstrate anatomy, particularly in:
      i. Patients with fluid collections that are difficult to visualize or access with other modalities, or are in unusual or precarious locations.
      ii. Planning the optimal access route to avoid, when possible, transgression of vital structures.
      iii. Patients with unusual anatomy.
   d. The facility should provide an area within the institution appropriate for patient preparation and for observation after the procedure. This might be within the radiology department, in a short-stay unit, or in a routine nursing unit as outlined in the Patient Care section below. There should be immediate access to emergency resuscitation equipment.
   e. For patients undergoing thoracic procedures, appropriate equipment for decompression of a tension pneumothorax should be available.
   f. Access to a laboratory with expertise in cytopathology, microbiology, and chemistry should be available. (These resources need not be located in the facility.)
2. **Performance guidelines**

When using fluoroscopy or CT for PDAFC, a facility should meet or exceed the following imaging practices:

a. Fluoroscopic times for both X-ray and CT guidance should be kept to a minimum. The operator will use only as much fluoroscopy as is necessary to achieve aspiration and/or catheter drainage, consistent with the as-low-as-reasonably-achievable (ALARA) radiation safety guidelines. One method to minimize fluoroscopic time is to use units with “last image hold” capability.

b. Tight collimation and, when appropriate, shielding (e.g., thyroid, gonadal, eye) should be used for the operating radiologist, for the patient, and for any other personnel who might be affected.

c. On units where dose reduction pulsed fluoroscopy is available, its use is recommended.

d. For CT guided drainages, lowering the mAs and/or increasing slice thickness can substantially reduce radiation dose without compromising the procedure for larger fluid collections.

B. **Physiologic Monitoring and Resuscitation Equipment**

1. Appropriate equipment should be present to allow for monitoring the patient’s heart rate, cardiac rhythm, and blood pressure. For facilities using moderate sedation, a pulse oximeter should be available. (See the ACR–SIR Practice Parameter for Sedation/Analgesia).

2. Any procedure performed using MRI guidance must have MRI safety compatible emergency resuscitation equipment available.

3. Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat procedural complications or adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment should be monitored and medications inventoried for drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and/or sizes in the patient population.

C. **Acute Care Support**

Although complications of PDAFC only rarely require urgent surgery, some of these procedures should be performed in an environment where surgical intervention can be instituted promptly. Ideally, this would be a facility with adequate surgical, anesthesia, and ancillary support. When these procedures are performed in a freestanding center, detailed protocols for the rapid transport or admission of patients to an acute-care hospital should be formalized in writing.

D. **Patient Care**

1. **Preprocedural care**
   a. The physician performing the procedure must have knowledge of the following:
      i. Clinically significant history, including indications for the procedure and any related preprocedure imaging.
      ii. Clinically significant physical examination findings, to include an awareness of clinical or medical conditions that may necessitate specific care, such as preprocedure antibiotics or other measures.
      iii. Possible alternative methods, such as surgery, to obtain the desired diagnostic information or therapeutic result.
   b. Informed consent must be in compliance with state laws and should comply with the ACR–SIR Practice Parameter on Informed Consent for Image-Guided Procedures.
2. Procedural care
   a. Adherence to the Joint Commission’s current Universal Protocol for Preventing Wrong Site, Wrong Procedure, Wrong Person Surgery™ is required for procedures in non-operating room settings including bedside procedures.
      The organization should have processes and systems in place for reconciling differences in staff responses during the “time out.”
   b. Nursing personnel, technologists, and those directly involved in the patient care during PDAFC should have protocols for use in standardizing care. These should include, but are not limited to:
      i. Equipment needed for the procedure.
      ii. Patient monitoring.
   c. Protocols should be reviewed and updated periodically.

3. Postprocedural care
   a. Orders for postprocedure patient care should include frequency of monitoring of vital signs, drainage catheter care and discharge instructions. Discharge instructions should include contact information of an appropriate resource for the patient or their representative to call in the event of a question or concern for the development of a complication.
   b. Specific anatomic considerations
      i. Thoracic cavity: pulmonary assessment for the presence of pneumothorax and to confirm adequate catheter placement.
         a. If guidance was by fluoroscopy or ultrasound, an upright chest radiograph should be obtained when appropriate.
         b. If guidance was by CT, a tailored postprocedure CT scan should be obtained.
      ii. Peritoneal and other cavities: confirmation of appropriate tube placement.
      iii. Postprocedure imaging and follow-up may involve gentle injection of contrast material to confirm catheter placement within the abscess or symptomatic fluid collection cavity, assessing fistulae to bowel or other structures, or documenting the reduction in cavity size and absence of fistulous connections.
      iv. Appropriate adjunct drainage maneuvers may often include irrigation of the abscess cavity, drainage tube upsizing, antibiotic therapy, etc.
   c. Clinical and imaging follow-up
      i. Patient follow-up and catheter management are integral to the success of the procedure. The radiologist performing the drainage should ensure that appropriate follow-up is performed and maintained until the catheter is removed.
      ii. Periodic imaging follow-up may be appropriate to evaluate for resolution or persistence of an abscess or symptomatic fluid collection and for development of new abscesses.
      iii. Clinical follow-up only may suffice if patient condition, catheter drainage output, and laboratory evidence confirm progressive improvement.

E. Specifics of the Procedure

1. All image-guided percutaneous procedures involving aspiration of fluid collections with or without percutaneous catheter drainage (PCD) are performed for specific indications, and the examination or procedure should therefore be tailored accordingly.
2. In the setting of image-guided percutaneous aspiration of fluid collections for diagnostic purposes, initial placement of a small needle (i.e., 18-22 gauge) is advised when appropriate.
3. Diagnostic percutaneous fluid aspiration may lead to therapeutic placement of a percutaneous drainage catheter with needle/guide-wire/catheter/trocar techniques, for definitive drainage.

VI. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the ACR–SIR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Reporting and Archiving of Interventional Radiology Procedures,
VII. RADIATION SAFETY IN IMAGING

Radiologists, medical physicists, registered radiologist assistants, radiologic technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility for safety in the workplace by keeping radiation exposure to staff, and to society as a whole, “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) and to assure that radiation doses to individual patients are appropriate, taking into account the possible risk from radiation exposure and the diagnostic image quality necessary to achieve the clinical objective. All personnel that work with ionizing radiation must understand the key principles of occupational and public radiation protection (justification, optimization of protection and application of dose limits) and the principles of proper management of radiation dose to patients (justification, optimization and the use of dose reference levels)


Nationally developed guidelines, such as the ACR’s Appropriateness Criteria®, should be used to help choose the most appropriate imaging procedures to prevent unwarranted radiation exposure.

Facilities should have and adhere to policies and procedures that require varying ionizing radiation examination protocols (plain radiography, fluoroscopy, interventional radiology, CT) to take into account patient body habitus (such as patient dimensions, weight, or body mass index) to optimize the relationship between minimal radiation dose and adequate image quality. Automated dose reduction technologies available on imaging equipment should be used whenever appropriate. If such technology is not available, appropriate manual techniques should be used.

Additional information regarding patient radiation safety in imaging is available at the Image Gently® for children (www.imagegently.org) and Image Wisely® for adults (www.imagewisely.org) websites. These advocacy and awareness campaigns provide free educational materials for all stakeholders involved in imaging (patients, technologists, referring providers, medical physicists, and radiologists).

Radiation exposures or other dose indices should be measured and patient radiation dose estimated for representative examinations and types of patients by a Qualified Medical Physicist in accordance with the applicable ACR technical standards. Regular auditing of patient dose indices should be performed by comparing the facility’s dose information with national benchmarks, such as the ACR Dose Index Registry, the NCRP Report No. 172, Reference Levels and Achievable Doses in Medical and Dental Imaging: Recommendations for the United States or the Conference of Radiation Control Program Director’s National Evaluation of X-ray Trends. (ACR Resolution 17 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2009, 2013, Resolution 52).

VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education on the ACR website (http://www.acr.org/guidelines).

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the ACR Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Radiographic and Fluoroscopic Equipment.

IX. QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

While practicing physicians should strive to achieve perfect outcomes (e.g., 100% success, 0% complications), in practice all physicians will fall short of this ideal to a variable extent. Thus, indicator thresholds may be used to assess the efficacy of ongoing quality-improvement programs. For the purposes of these practice parameters, a threshold is a specific level of an indicator that should prompt a review. Procedure thresholds or overall thresholds refer to a group of indicators for a procedure (e.g., major complications). Individual complications may also be associated with complication specific thresholds.
When measures such as indications or success rates fall below a minimum threshold or when complication rates exceed a maximum threshold, a review should be performed to determine causes and to implement changes, if necessary. For example, if the incidence of sepsis is one measure of the quality of abscess drainage, then values in excess of the defined threshold (in this case 4%) should trigger a review of policies and procedures within the department to determine the causes and to implement changes to lower the incidence of the complication. Thresholds may vary from those listed here; for example, patient referral patterns and selection factors may dictate a different threshold value for a particular indicator at a particular institution. Thus, one cannot set universal thresholds, and each department should alter the thresholds as appropriate to meet its own quality-improvement program needs.

A. Success Rates and Thresholds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Suggested Threshold (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Successful diagnostic fluid aspiration</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration of adequate fluid for diagnostic characterization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful drainage</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curative and partial success</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Curative drainage is defined as complete resolution of infection requiring no further operative intervention. Curative drainage has been achieved in more than 80% of patients. Partial success is defined as either adequate drainage of the abscess with surgery subsequently performed to repair an underlying problem or as temporizing drainage performed to stabilize the patient prior to surgery. Partial success occurs in 5% to 10% of patients. It should be noted that in certain conditions the expectation of drainage is to serve as a bridge until definitive surgical treatment can be performed, as in the cases of some patients with ruptured appendicitis complicated by the development of an intra-abdominal abscess or abscesses. For purposes of these standards, this is considered partial success even though the treatment plan may include staged interventions consisting of percutaneous abscess drainage to be followed by definitive surgical therapy for underlying disease. Failure occurs in 5% to 10% and recurrence in 5% to 10%. These results are similar for both abdominal and chest drainage procedures. Success rates will depend on the proportion of collections drained in patients with relative contraindications, on the complexity of the collection, and on the severity of the underlying medical problems.

Drainage of infected collections: Due to the variability of the types of infected collections, the success rate of drainage will be highly variable and it is not believed that a specific threshold for success can be set.

B. Complication Rates and Thresholds

Complications for PDAFC are reported to occur in approximately 10% of patients. Published complications rates and suggested thresholds are summarized in Table 2 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Major Complication</th>
<th>Reported Rate (%)</th>
<th>Suggested Threshold (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia requiring significant new intervention</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage requiring transfusion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superinfection (includes infection of sterile fluid collection)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel transgression requiring intervention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural transgression requiring intervention (abdominal interventions)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax/hemothorax/pleural effusion requiring further intervention (chest procedures)</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In the above table all values were supported by the weight of literature evidence and panel consensus.

Published rates for individual types of complications are highly dependent on patient selection and are based on series comprising several hundred patients, which is a volume larger than most individual practitioners are likely to treat. Generally the complication-specific thresholds should be set higher than the complication-specific reported rates listed above. It is also recognized that a single complication can cause a rate to cross above a complication-specific threshold when the complication occurs within a small patient volume (e.g., early in a quality improvement program). In Table 3 below the overall procedure threshold is more appropriate for use in a quality-improvement program.

**Table 3**  
**Overall Complication Rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Procedure</th>
<th>Suggested Threshold (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All major complication resulting from adult percutaneous drainage procedures</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### APPENDIX A

| Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee |
| Classification of Complications by Outcome |

**Minor Complications**

A. No therapy, no consequence.
B. Nominal therapy, no consequence; includes overnight admission for observation only.

**Major Complications**

C. Require therapy, minor hospitalization (<48 hours).
D. Require major therapy, unplanned increase in level of care, prolonged hospitalization (>48 hours).
E. Permanent adverse sequelae.
F. Death.

*Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.
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