

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

Revised 2017 (Resolution 18)\*

## **ACR–ASNR–SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CT) PERFUSION IN NEURORADIOLOGIC IMAGING**

---

### **PREAMBLE**

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care<sup>1</sup>. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

---

<sup>1</sup> *Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing*, \_\_\_ N.W.2d \_\_\_ (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the *ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures* (Revised 2008) sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, *Stanley v. McCarver*, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.

This practice parameter was revised collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), and the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR).

Computed tomography (CT) perfusion is a technique used in neuroradiology to assess tissue-level perfusion and delivery of blood to the brain and/or tissues of the head and neck. The linear relationship between CT Hounsfield units (HU) and the amount of iodinated contrast material in an image pixel, together with the high spatial and temporal resolution characteristics of the scanning paradigm, make CT perfusion a valuable tool for evaluating blood supply to neoplastic and non-neoplastic tissue (including normal and ischemic tissue). In particular, the evaluation of cerebral ischemia or the angiogenesis state of a tumor is readily performed with CT perfusion imaging. CT perfusion should be performed only for a valid medical reason and with the minimum radiation dose necessary to achieve an optimal study. This practice parameter outlines the principles for obtaining a high-quality CT perfusion study [1,2].

## II. INDICATIONS/CONTRAINDICATIONS

A. Indications for CT perfusion in neuroradiology in adults (18 years of age and over) include, but are not limited to:

### 1. Brain

a. Primary indications:

- i. Differentiation of salvageable ischemic penumbra from unsalvageable ischemic core [3-15]
- ii. Distinguishing benign oligemia from true “at-risk” ischemic penumbra [16]
- iii. Help identify patients most likely to benefit from thrombolysis or thrombectomy [12,17]
- iv. Prediction of hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke [18]
- v. Identifying patients with malignant profiles [19]
- vi. Suspected vasospasm-related cerebral ischemia and infarction and/or delayed cerebral ischemia (DCI) following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage [20-26]
- vii. Cerebral hemorrhage with secondary local ischemia [27-31]

b. Secondary indications:

- i. Follow-up of acute cerebral ischemia or infarction and/or reperfusion in the subacute or chronic phase of recovery [32-35]
- ii. To assist in planning and evaluating the effectiveness of therapy for cervical or intracranial arterial occlusive disease (as an isolated test or in combination with a cerebrovascular reserve challenge) and/or chronic cerebral ischemia [36-39]
- iii. Identifying cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome following revascularization [40]
- iv. Detection of crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute ischemic stroke [41]
- v. Contrast delay as a predictor of new incident infarct [42]
- vi. CT perfusion scanning may also be helpful in the setting of acute traumatic brain injury [43,44] and in the setting of acute seizures [45]
- vii. Assessment of neoplastic disease [24,46-49]
- viii. In patients with contraindication to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based perfusion imaging or with devices or material in or close to the field of view that would result in nondiagnostic MRI scans.

### 2. Head and neck [50]

a. Primary indications:

Evaluation of the vascular status of solid tumors where MRI is degraded due to susceptibility artifact from air-containing spaces, surgical clips, or dental work

b. Secondary indications:

Follow-up of tumor response to therapy

### B. Pediatric Indications

At the time of this practice parameter revision, there is little data supporting the role of CT perfusion in pediatric stroke [51,52]. It may be reasonable to use CT brain perfusion imaging in individual patients under 18 years of age for the same indications listed for adults, but the increased risk to the pediatric patient associated with radiation exposure obligates the practitioner to apply a higher threshold to any decision to use this technique and to strongly consider MRI as an alternative. Furthermore, the clinical considerations in the pediatric setting more often include a broader differential, warranting MRI.

### C. Contraindications [53,54]

Prior documented major allergic reaction to iodinated contrast material.

See the [ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media](#) [55] and the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [56].

For the pregnant or potentially pregnant patient, see the [ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for Imaging Pregnant or Potentially Pregnant Adolescents and Women with Ionizing Radiation](#) [57].

## III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

See the [ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography \(CT\)](#) [58].

Physicians who supervise, perform, and interpret CT perfusion studies should be licensed medical practitioners who have a thorough understanding of the indications for CT perfusion as well as a familiarity with the basic physical principles, medical risks, and limitations for CT perfusion. Limitations of CT perfusions technology include CT imaging, computerized data processing, and the quantitative modeling techniques used to generate the hemodynamic maps. They should be familiar with alternative and complementary imaging and diagnostic procedures and should be capable of correlating the results of these with CT perfusion studies. Physicians responsible for CT perfusion studies should be able to demonstrate familiarity with the anatomy and especially the physiology and pathophysiology of those organs and anatomic areas that are being examined. These physicians should be able to provide evidence of training and requisite competence needed to perform CT perfusion studies successfully.

### A. Physician

Examinations must be performed under the supervision of and interpreted by a physician with the following qualifications:

1. Certification in Radiology or Diagnostic Radiology by the American Board of Radiology (ABR), the American Osteopathic Board of Radiology, the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, or the Collège des Médecins du Québec provided the board examination included CT in neuroradiology.

or

If appropriately certified by the ABR before it examined in CT (1978), the physician can qualify by experience (including at least 2 years during which 500 examinations of the brain, spine, and head and neck were supervised, interpreted, and formally reported) or by completing a mentoring program of 1 year or less during which the physician interprets 300 examinations under the supervision of an on-site qualified physician (including generating a formal report). If pediatric neuroradiologic CT examinations are to be performed, the physician should have had 3 months of documented formal training in pediatric radiology and should have had documented training and experience in the administration of appropriate sedation and iodinated contrast to pediatric patients.

or

The physician must have spent a minimum of 12 months interpreting cross-sectional neuroradiologic imaging examinations with at least 6 months' training in the interpretation and formal reporting of CT

images in a documented formal training program in an accredited residency, fellowship, or equivalent programs in diagnostic radiology and/or neuroradiology.

or

In the absence of residency training in diagnostic radiology or radiology, the physician must have had formal fellowship training in neuroradiology, or other postgraduate training that included instruction in neuroradiologic CT, and at least 2 years of experience with CT under the supervision of an on-site qualified physician during which a minimum of 1,000 CT examinations of the brain, spine, and head and neck were supervised, interpreted, and formally reported.

2. The physician should be thoroughly acquainted with the many morphologic and pathophysiologic aspects, variations, and diseases of the central nervous system, spine, and head and neck and the subtle findings for which urgent therapy may be warranted, such as in acute stroke. Additionally, supervising physicians should have appropriate knowledge of alternative imaging methods, including the use of and indications for such specialized studies as angiography, ultrasonography, MRI, and nuclear medicine studies.
3. The physician should be familiar with the appropriate requirements for patient preparation for the examination. He or she must have had training in the recognition and treatment of adverse effects of contrast materials used for these studies. Training and proficiency in cardiopulmonary resuscitation are required when patients undergo contrast-enhanced CT.
4. The physician must be responsible for reviewing all indications for the examination; specifying the use, dosage, and rate of administration of contrast agents; supervising the safe and effective administration of sedative to and monitoring of patients requiring conscious sedation; specifying the scanning technique; interpreting images and constructed physiologic hemodynamic maps; generating written reports; and maintaining the quality of the images, maps, and interpretations.

#### Maintenance of Competence

Physicians must regularly perform and interpret a sufficient number of CT and CT perfusion studies to maintain their skills and should participate in an ongoing quality-improvement program.

#### Continuing Medical Education

Continuing education should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Parameter for Continuing Medical Education \(CME\)](#) [59].

#### B. Qualified Medical Physicist

A Qualified Medical Physicist is an individual who is competent to practice independently in one or more of the subfields in medical physics. The American College of Radiology considers certification, continuing education, and experience in the appropriate subfield(s) to demonstrate that an individual is competent to practice in one or more of the subfields in medical physics and to be a Qualified Medical Physicist. The ACR strongly recommends that the individual be certified in the appropriate subfield(s) by the American Board of Radiology (ABR), the Canadian College of Physics in Medicine, or the American Board of Medical Physics (ABMP).

A Qualified Medical Physicist should meet the [ACR Practice Parameter for Continuing Medical Education \(CME\)](#) [59]. (ACR Resolution 17, 1996 – revised in 2012, Resolution 42)

The appropriate subfield of medical physics for this practice parameter is Diagnostic Medical Physics. (Previous medical physics certification categories including Radiological Physics, Diagnostic Radiological Physics, and Diagnostic Imaging Physics are also acceptable.)

The Qualified Medical Physicist should be familiar with the principles of CT imaging physics and of radiation protection, and safety; laws and regulations pertaining to the performance of the equipment; the function, clinical uses, and performance specifications of the imaging equipment; and calibration processes and limitations of the instruments used for testing performance. The Qualified Medical Physicist should be knowledgeable in the field of computerized image processing and mathematical modeling of physiological processes.

The Qualified Medical Physicist should have a working understanding of clinical CT perfusion imaging protocols and methods of their optimization, as well as of the implementation and limitations of computer algorithms used to construct hemodynamic maps.

#### C. Registered Radiologist Assistant

A registered radiologist assistant is an advanced level radiographer who is certified and registered as a radiologist assistant by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists (ARRT) after having successfully completed an advanced academic program encompassing an ACR/ASRT (American Society of Radiologic Technologists) radiologist assistant curriculum and a radiologist-directed clinical preceptorship. Under radiologist supervision, the radiologist assistant may perform patient assessment, patient management, and selected examinations as delineated in the Joint Policy Statement of the ACR and the ASRT titled “Radiologist Assistant: Roles and Responsibilities” and as allowed by state law. The radiologist assistant transmits to the supervising radiologists those observations that have a bearing on diagnosis. Performance of diagnostic interpretations remains outside the scope of practice of the radiologist assistant. (ACR Resolution 34, adopted in 2006)

#### D. Radiologic Technologist

Under the supervision of the physician, the technologist should be responsible for the comfort and safety of the patient; preparing and positioning the patient for the CT perfusion examination; and acquiring, recording, and processing the CT data in a manner appropriate for interpretation by the physician [60]. The technologist should be fully trained to operate CT equipment and be knowledgeable in radiation physics, protection, and safety, with documented evidence of such training and experience. The technologist should be certified by the ARRT and, if applicable, have an unrestricted state license in radiological technology.

#### E. Nurse, if Applicable

Under the supervision of the physician, the nurse, if available, should be responsible for the care of the patient, including screening, preparation, sedation, monitoring of vital signs, support, recovery, discharge, and medical record documentation. The nurse should have documented training or experience in the care of patients undergoing neuroradiologic exams, including airway management, the use of sedative agents and contrast media, the recognition and management of adverse effects, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. He or she should be certified by the appropriate registry and have an unrestricted state license.

### IV. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

#### A. Written Request for the Examination

The written or electronic request for CT perfusion should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state's scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006)

CT perfusion protocols in neuroradiology require close attention and development by the supervising physician in collaboration with the Qualified Medical Physicist. Protocols should be reviewed and updated periodically in order for the studies to be optimized to match current technology. The supervising physician should be familiar with the indications for each study, patient history, and potential adverse reactions to contrast media. The supervising physician must understand the underlying physics of CT imaging and how various imaging parameters affect the image quality and the radiation dose. Guidelines should be provided that deal with potential hazards associated with CT imaging of the brain to the patient as well as others in the immediate area. The supervising physician should understand the limitations of the data analysis technique used and of the physiological model applied algorithmically to the data.

Intravenous (IV) contrast injection should be performed using injection protocols that are in accordance with the institution's policy on IV contrast use. The responsible physician should be able to treat adverse reactions arising from administration of contrast. The supervising physician should be familiar with the effects of contrast injection rate, contrast volume, and concentration on the quality of the temporal enhancement curves and constructed hemodynamic maps.

#### B. Patient Selection and Preparation

The physician responsible for a CT perfusion study should supervise patient selection and preparation. Patients should be screened for any history of contrast reactions prior to the examination to exclude individuals who may be at risk. In an acute situation a supervising physician decides about the performance of a CT perfusion study based on a risk/benefit analysis and may choose to waive the need for laboratory evaluation of renal function prior to the CT perfusion study in select patients [53,61].

A patient is prepared for a CT perfusion study by inserting a cannula into a vein ideally at or above the antecubital region or forearm prior to the patient's entry into the scanner. An 18-gauge or 20-gauge cannula is preferred. Contrast can also be injected through an existing IV access provided it has the required caliber and specifications. In select patients without peripheral IV access, contrast may be administered through an existing central venous line (CVL) catheter under the supervision of a physician following a strict protocol [62]. In children, depending on their age and size, a smaller cannula may be necessary. The patient should lie on the scanner table in supine position with his or her head in a head holder. If needed, the head can be immobilized using forehead and chin straps. A contrast infusion pump should be connected to the cannula. The head is centered to the scanner isocenter.

#### C. Examination Techniques

There are 2 CT perfusion approaches using intravenously administered iodinated contrast that use different data acquisition and analysis methods. These methods differ in their volume coverage (ie, the amount of tissue that can be imaged during 1 data acquisition or 1 imaged series), the amount of contrast agent injected, the injection rate, the data acquisition mode used to acquire the data (helical or cine), and the temporal resolution of data acquisition [63,64].

Protocols vary with the manufacturer and model of the scanner used. Although protocols continue to evolve, current expert consensus is available [65]. Scanner-specific examination protocols are also available from the American Association of Physicists in Medicine at <http://www.aapm.org/pubs/CTProtocols/default.asp> [66]. Care must be taken to optimize CT perfusion scanning parameters as per statements from the US Food and Drug Administration, the ACR, and the ASNR [12,67,68]

Injection of contrast should be performed using a power injector and adhering to the institution's policies on contrast utilization. An appropriately qualified person should monitor contrast administration in the scanner room, adhering to appropriate radiation protection measures per the institution's guidelines. Dual-bore saline-chase injection pumps are preferable to optimize the use of contrast material. A saline chase of at least 15 to 20 cc is recommended [61,69].

Given the significant radiation dosage with this examination it should be repeated only after physician review. Alternative modalities should be considered if repeat CT perfusion examinations are indicated.

#### 1. First-pass or dynamic CT perfusion [4-6,70-77]

A first-pass or dynamic CT perfusion study is performed by acquiring repeated images at the same location through a volume of interest during bolus injection and passage of contrast through the region of interest. Dynamic CT perfusion acquires a temporal set of images through a volume of interest during a bolus injection of contrast. This technique can provide absolute measures of cerebral blood flow (CBF), cerebral blood volume (CBV), mean transit time (MTT), time to peak (TTP), T<sub>max</sub>, and blood-brain barrier permeability. Newer 320-slice CT scanners can provide whole-brain coverage with this technique [78]. Most commonly, selective axial sections are obtained through the basal ganglia to image the middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory. Protocols may be adjusted to evaluate anterior or posterior cerebral circulation [12,79]. The total volume and injection rate of contrast material should be optimized for each pathophysiologic situation being investigated, with a minimum volume of 40 mL and a minimum injection rate of 4 mL/s. The higher the injection rate, the better the peak opacification and the better the image and temporal curve quality, which in turn determines the quality of the constructed hemodynamic maps. Of note, in children, smaller injection rates and smaller volumes of contrast should be used [80]. The relationship between the start of imaging and start of contrast injection should be such that at least 2 baseline images are obtained prior to arrival of contrast into the tissue of interest. Normally, starting the imaging 5 seconds (or less) after the onset of injection will suffice to achieve this goal.

First-pass or dynamic CT perfusion can be performed using cine mode, a combination of cine and axial modes, or an axial or volumetric toggling table technique [81]. In all cases, imaging should cover the whole first passage of contrast through the tissue under investigation, without truncating the end of the washout of the contrast bolus demonstrated by the downslope of the venous curve and of the ischemic tissue. This is of particular concern in patients with impaired cardiac output. Also, frequency of image acquisition should be matched to the tissue physiology and be such that an arterial input curve as well as tissue enhancement curves can be constructed.

In a pure cine mode, the temporal resolution of the CT acquisition should be 1 image per second, and the acquisition should span a total of at least 50 to 60 seconds.

When a combination of cine and axial modes is used, the cine acquisition should come first, have a temporal resolution of 1 image per second, and span approximately 35 to 37 seconds. This should be followed by the axial acquisition, with a temporal resolution of 1 image per 3 seconds and for a total duration of another 33 to 35 seconds.

In the axial and volumetric toggling table technique, the CT table moves back and forth between 2 table locations during the injection of contrast and the acquisition of images. The temporal resolution at each location should be no less than 1 image per 3 seconds. The acquisition should span a total of at least 50 to 70 60 seconds [81,82].

IV contrast injection should be performed in accordance with the institution's policy on IV contrast use. Higher injection rates will increase the quality of a first-pass cine CT perfusion study by increasing the transient contrast agent concentration and thus the signal to noise ratio of the time-contrast curves used to



construct the physiologic maps. For further information, see the [ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media](#) [55].

A CT perfusion study, if performed in conjunction with a CT angiogram of the intracranial and/or cervical vessels, can be performed before, after, or concurrent with the CT angiogram.

Technique parameters affecting the radiation dose (kVp, mA, and beam collimation) should be optimized for each scanner type so that diagnostic-quality images and maps are produced at a minimum radiation dose. Parameters of 70 to 90 kVp and 100 to 200 mAs are strongly recommended, as they allow the radiation dose associated with CT perfusion to be maintained as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA principle) [83].

Images should be viewed electronically using cine display in order to demonstrate possible patient or organ movement. If movement is observed, the effects of motion on the constructed maps should be considered [84]. The hemodynamic maps should be interpreted with the knowledge of all clinical data and the findings of anatomical imaging. Images are better viewed on a dedicated computer display rather than from film or paper copy, as this permits interactive adjustment of brightness, contrast, and color scale. If maps of blood volume, blood flow, mean transit time, T<sub>max</sub>, and blood-brain barrier permeability are produced, they should be interpreted as a coherent set of data; none of the maps should be interpreted in isolation without knowledge of other types of images available for review and/or in the absence of the clinical context [4-6].

CT perfusion (CTP) parameters that are commonly calculated and reported by commercially available postprocessing software platforms include CBF, CBV, MTT, TTP, and T<sub>max</sub>. These parameters are related by the central volume principle:  $CBF = CBV/MTT$ . These are derived from CTP source data by using deconvolutional analysis [12,51,85]. CTP measures blood perfusion of brain tissues. CBV is defined as the volume of blood for a given volume of brain and is measured in units of milliliters (mL) of blood per 100 grams (g) of brain. MTT is defined as the average amount of time it takes blood to transit through the given volume of brain and is measured in seconds (s). TTP and T<sub>max</sub> measure the time from the arterial peak to the tissue peak before and after deconvolution, respectively. CBF is defined as the volume of flowing blood moving through a given volume of brain in a specific amount of time and is measured in units of milliliters of blood per 100 g of brain tissue per minute [12,51].

The responsible physician should understand the limitations of various CT perfusion imaging methodologies and the limitations of mathematical models used to construct the hemodynamic maps [86,87].

## 2. Whole-brain CT perfused blood volume [88-90]

Whole-brain CT perfused blood volume is assessed by acquiring a helical scan through the whole brain with and without contrast. Whole-brain perfusion CT provides a map of CBV (cerebral blood volume) and has the advantage of providing whole-brain coverage but is limited by its inability to provide measurement of CBF (cerebral blood flow) or time parameters [78].

A noncontrast CT scan is first acquired through a prescribed volume of interest and reconstructed into 3- to 5-mm contiguous or overlapping images. Reconstructed image thickness should not exceed 5 mm.

Acquiring a helical data set through the whole brain after injection of a nonionic contrast agent constitutes a contrast scan. The total volume of contrast material injected is typically between 75 and 150 mL, depending on the volume imaged and the speed of data acquisition. Injection rates of 3 to 3.5 mL/s are typical, producing a 25- to 40-second bolus duration. Delay time between onset of injection and image data acquisition should be long enough to assure that all perfused arteries, capillaries, and veins are filled with contrast material during the time of helical scanning. A 20- to 25-second delay is long enough for most patients. Of note, in children, smaller injection rates and smaller volumes of contrast should be used



according to the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [56,80]. The contrast data should be scanned and reconstructed in images with a thickness matching that of the noncontrast CT scan.

Technique parameters affecting the radiation dose (kVp, mA, and beam collimation) should be optimized for each scanner type so that diagnostic-quality images are produced with a minimum radiation dose.

Interpretation is best done using soft-copy reading, interactively varying the window and level settings. Noncontrast and contrast scans should be interpreted together.

Of note, some large-coverage scanners now offer whole-brain 4D CT angiography/CT perfusion in a combined single study with only 1 injection of contrast medium [91].

Also of note, modern angiography suites with flat-panel detectors can perform rotational CT examinations, including perfusion imaging [92,93] using either intravenous or intra-arterial contrast injections. The role of such examinations before, during, or after interventions for acute ischemic stroke, vascular malformations, and other indications is not yet defined.

Diagnostic pitfalls include small and chronic infarcts, severe microvascular ischemia, extracranial and intracranial stenosis, and mimicking conditions such as vasospasm, traumatic brain injuries, and seizures [12,94-96].

## V. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#) [97].

The type and amount of contrast injected and the injection rate used should be included.

Observation of any visible movement during a cine scan should be included in the report, and its impact on the calculated maps should be considered when interpreting them. Specifically, for dynamic and first-pass cine imaging it is essential that the arterial and venous curves used for calculating the perfusion maps be archived together with the temporal images and hemodynamic maps. This serves as a quality control parameter for any particular CT perfusion scan. The specific hemodynamic maps of blood flow, blood volume, MTT (mean transit time), Tmax, and/or blood-brain barrier permeability parameters should be mentioned in the description of the postprocessing techniques.

## VI. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

### A. CT Scanner

For patient imaging, the CT scanner should meet or exceed the following specifications:

1. Tube rotation time should not exceed 1 second.
2. Helical and cine imaging should be available. Continuous cine imaging should be possible for a minimum of 50 to 60 seconds. “Toggle table” or “shuttle mode” technique is optional.
3. A multidetector-row CT scanner with either cine and axial or volumetric toggling scanning capability is preferable.
4. A power injector for contrast administration must be used; a dual-bore injection pump is preferable.

### B. Patient Monitoring Equipment

Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment and medications should be monitored for inventory

and drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages or sizes in the patient populations.

### C. Image Processing Workstation and Software

An image processing workstation with appropriate software is necessary for producing hemodynamic maps from both first-pass and/or dynamic CT perfusion data. The software should allow reasonable motion correction. Automated and semiautomated selection of the arterial input function and partial volume reference (venous curve) is preferred [86,87,99,100]. Supervision of the automated selection of the arterial and venous curves is recommended to ensure appropriate location in a given patient according to disease status.

## VII. RADIATION SAFETY IN IMAGING

Radiologists, medical physicists, registered radiologist assistants, radiologic technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility for safety in the workplace by keeping radiation exposure to staff, and to society as a whole, “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) and to assure that radiation doses to individual patients are appropriate, taking into account the possible risk from radiation exposure and the diagnostic image quality necessary to achieve the clinical objective. All personnel that work with ionizing radiation must understand the key principles of occupational and public radiation protection (justification, optimization of protection and application of dose limits) and the principles of proper management of radiation dose to patients (justification, optimization and the use of dose reference levels).

[http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/Publications/PDF/Pub1578\\_web-57265295.pdf](http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/Publications/PDF/Pub1578_web-57265295.pdf)

Nationally developed guidelines, such as the ACR’s [Appropriateness Criteria®](#), should be used to help choose the most appropriate imaging procedures to prevent unwarranted radiation exposure.

Facilities should have and adhere to policies and procedures that require varying ionizing radiation examination protocols (plain radiography, fluoroscopy, interventional radiology, CT) to take into account patient body habitus (such as patient dimensions, weight, or body mass index) to optimize the relationship between minimal radiation dose and adequate image quality. Automated dose reduction technologies available on imaging equipment should be used whenever appropriate. If such technology is not available, appropriate manual techniques should be used.

Additional information regarding patient radiation safety in imaging is available at the Image Gently® for children ([www.imagegently.org](http://www.imagegently.org)) and Image Wisely® for adults ([www.imagewisely.org](http://www.imagewisely.org)) websites. These advocacy and awareness campaigns provide free educational materials for all stakeholders involved in imaging (patients, technologists, referring providers, medical physicists, and radiologists).

Radiation exposures or other dose indices should be measured and patient radiation dose estimated for representative examinations and types of patients by a Qualified Medical Physicist in accordance with the applicable ACR Technical Standards. Regular auditing of patient dose indices should be performed by comparing the facility’s dose information with national benchmarks, such as the ACR Dose Index Registry, the NCRP Report No. 172, Reference Levels and Achievable Doses in Medical and Dental Imaging: Recommendations for the United States or the Conference of Radiation Control Program Director’s National Evaluation of X-ray Trends. (ACR Resolution 17 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2009, 2013, Resolution 52).[101]

The FDA recommends that each facility set its own alert level for brain perfusion studies beyond which further review by a Qualified Medical Physicist, supervising physician, and quality assurance committee may be necessary. Based on the FDA’s review of the literature, a reasonable alert level could be set at 1 Gy CTDI<sub>vol</sub>. The Qualified Medical Physicist should periodically estimate the radiation dose delivered during CT perfusion studies and make sure that it is under the FDA-suggested or the facility-established alert level. Typical acquisition parameters should be between 70 and 90 kVp and 100 and 200 mAs. Protocols exceeding alert levels should be reviewed by a Qualified Medical Physicist, supervising physician, and quality assurance committee. However, any alert level should not be misinterpreted as a cutoff or limit, as there may be good reasons for exceeding it [67].

## VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR website (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>).

Also see the [ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography \(CT\)](#) [58] for specific issues regarding CT quality control.

The supervising physician should review all practices and policies at least annually. Policies with respect to contrast and sedation must be administered in accordance with institutional policy as well as state and federal regulations. A physician should be available on-site whenever contrast or sedation is administered.

Equipment monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Computed Tomography \(CT\) Equipment](#) [98].

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This practice parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>) by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Neuroradiology of the ACR Commission on Neuroradiology and the Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology of the ACR Commission on Pediatric Radiology in collaboration with the ASNR and the SPR.

Collaborative Committee – members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter

#### ACR

Max Wintermark, MD, Chair  
Nancy K. Rollins, MD  
Pina C. Sanelli, MD, MPH, FACR  
Pamela W. Schaefer, MD, FACR

#### ASNR

Kavita K. Erickson, MD  
Steven W. Hetts, MD  
Alexander M. McKinney, IV, MD

#### SPR

Sumit Pruthi, MBBS  
Dennis Shaw, MD

#### Committee on Practice Parameters – Neuroradiology

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

John E. Jordan, MD, MPP, FACR, Chair  
Merita A. Bania, MD  
Kristine A. Blackham, MD  
Brian A. Conley, MD  
H. Simms Hardin, IV, MD  
Steven W. Hetts, MD  
Jacqueline C. Junn, MD  
Stephen A. Kieffer, MD, FACR  
Robert McDonald, MD

Alexander M. McKinney, IV, MD  
David M. Mirsky, MD  
Robin J. Mitnick, MD  
A. Orlando Ortiz, MD, MBA, FACR  
Robert J. Rapoport, MD, FACR  
Glenn H. Roberson, MD  
Rathan M. Subramaniam, MD, PhD, MPH  
Raymond K. Tu, MD, FACR  
Max Wintermark, MD

#### Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Beverly Newman, MB, BCh, BSc, FACR, Chair

Sue C. Kaste, DO

### Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology

Lorna P. Browne, MB, BCh  
Timothy J. Carmody, MD, FACR  
Brian D. Coley, MD, FACR  
Lee K. Collins, MD  
Monica S. Epelman, MD  
Lynn Ansley Fordham, MD, FACR  
Kerri A. Highmore, MD

Tal Laor, MD  
Terry L. Levin, MD  
Marguerite T. Parisi, MD, MS  
Sumit Pruthi, MBBS  
Nancy K. Rollins, MD  
Pallavi Sagar, MD

Alexander M. Norbash, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Neuroradiology  
Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Pediatric Radiology  
Jacqueline Anne Bello, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Quality and Safety  
Matthew S Pollack, MD, FACR, Chair, Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards

### Comments Reconciliation Committee

Neil U. Lall, MD, Chair  
Falgun H. Chokshi, MD, MS, Co-Chair  
Jacqueline A. Bello, MD, FACR  
Kristine A. Blackham, MD  
Kavita K. Erickson, MD  
Todd D. Greenberg, MD  
Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR  
William T. Herrington, MD, FACR  
Steven W. Hetts, MD  
John E. Jordan, MD, MPP, FACR  
Paul A. Larson, MD, FACR  
Alexander M. McKinney, IV, MD

Beverly Newman, MB, BCh, BSc, FACR  
Alexander M. Norbash, MD, FACR  
Matthew S. Pollack, MD, FACR  
Sumit Pruthi, MBBS  
Nancy K. Rollins, MD  
Pina C. Sanelli, MD, MPH, FACR  
Pamela W. Schaefer, MD, FACR  
William F. Sensakovic, PhD  
Dennis W. Shaw, MD  
Timothy L. Swan, MD, FACR, FSIR  
Max Wintermark, MD

### **REFERENCES**

1. Latchaw RE, Alberts MJ, Lev MH, et al. Recommendations for imaging of acute ischemic stroke: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2009;40(11):3646-3678.
2. Wintermark M, Albers GW, Alexandrov AV, et al. Acute stroke imaging research roadmap. *Stroke*. 2008;39(5):1621-1628.
3. Gleason S, Furie KL, Lev MH, et al. Potential influence of acute CT on inpatient costs in patients with ischemic stroke. *Acad Radiol*. 2001;8(10):955-964.
4. Parsons MW, Pepper EM, Chan V, et al. Perfusion computed tomography: prediction of final infarct extent and stroke outcome. *Ann Neurol*. 2005;58(5):672-679.
5. Schaefer PW, Roccatagliata L, Ledezma C, et al. First-pass quantitative CT perfusion identifies thresholds for salvageable penumbra in acute stroke patients treated with intra-arterial therapy. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2006;27(1):20-25.
6. Wintermark M, Flanders AE, Velthuis B, et al. Perfusion-CT assessment of infarct core and penumbra: receiver operating characteristic curve analysis in 130 patients suspected of acute hemispheric stroke. *Stroke*. 2006;37(4):979-985.
7. Bivard A, McElduff P, Spratt N, Levi C, Parsons M. Defining the extent of irreversible brain ischemia using perfusion computed tomography. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2011;31(3):238-245.
8. Donnan GA, Baron JC, Ma H, Davis SM. Penumbra selection of patients for trials of acute stroke therapy. *Lancet Neurol*. 2009;8(3):261-269.
9. Hopyan J, Ciarallo A, Dowlatshahi D, et al. Certainty of stroke diagnosis: incremental benefit with CT perfusion over noncontrast CT and CT angiography. *Radiology*. 2010;255(1):142-153.
10. Wintermark M, Sanelli PC, Albers GW, et al. Imaging recommendations for acute stroke and transient ischemic attack patients: A joint statement by the American Society of Neuroradiology, the American College of Radiology, and the Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2013;34(11):E117-127.

11. Sanelli PC, Sykes JB, Ford AL, Lee JM, Vo KD, Hallam DK. Imaging and treatment of patients with acute stroke: an evidence-based review. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2014;35(6):1045-1051.
12. Lui YW, Tang ER, Allmendinger AM, Spektor V. Evaluation of CT perfusion in the setting of cerebral ischemia: patterns and pitfalls. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2010;31(9):1552-1563.
13. Islam MN, Kuddus R, Chowdhury NS, Akhter MD, Salahuddin G, Parvin S. Radiologic evaluation of hyperacute brain infarction: a review. *Mymensingh Med J.* 2014;23(3):621-635.
14. McDonald JS, Fan J, Kallmes DF, Cloft HJ. Pretreatment advanced imaging in patients with stroke treated with IV thrombolysis: evaluation of a multihospital data base. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2014;35(3):478-481.
15. Davis S, Donnan GA. Time is Penumbra: imaging, selection and outcome. The Johann jacob wepfer award 2014. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2014;38(1):59-72.
16. Kamalian S, Konstas AA, Maas MB, et al. CT perfusion mean transit time maps optimally distinguish benign oligemia from true "at-risk" ischemic penumbra, but thresholds vary by postprocessing technique. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2012;33(3):545-549.
17. Huang X, Cheripelli BK, Lloyd SM, et al. Alteplase versus tenecteplase for thrombolysis after ischaemic stroke (ATTEST): a phase 2, randomised, open-label, blinded endpoint study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2015;14(4):368-376.
18. Jain AR, Jain M, Kanthala AR, et al. Association of CT perfusion parameters with hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2013;34(10):1895-1900.
19. Inoue M, Mlynash M, Straka M, et al. Patients with the malignant profile within 3 hours of symptom onset have very poor outcomes after intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator therapy. *Stroke.* 2012;43(9):2494-2496.
20. Dankbaar JW, de Rooij NK, Rijdsdijk M, et al. Diagnostic threshold values of cerebral perfusion measured with computed tomography for delayed cerebral ischemia after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Stroke.* 2010;41(9):1927-1932.
21. Pham M, Johnson A, Bartsch AJ, et al. CT perfusion predicts secondary cerebral infarction after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neurology.* 2007;69(8):762-765.
22. van der Schaaf I, Wermer MJ, van der Graaf Y, Hoff RG, Rinkel GJ, Velthuis BK. CT after subarachnoid hemorrhage: relation of cerebral perfusion to delayed cerebral ischemia. *Neurology.* 2006;66(10):1533-1538.
23. Wintermark M, Ko NU, Smith WS, Liu S, Higashida RT, Dillon WP. Vasospasm after subarachnoid hemorrhage: utility of perfusion CT and CT angiography on diagnosis and management. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2006;27(1):26-34.
24. Huang AP, Tsai JC, Kuo LT, et al. Clinical application of perfusion computed tomography in neurosurgery. *J Neurosurg.* 2014;120(2):473-488.
25. Mir DI, Gupta A, Dunning A, et al. CT perfusion for detection of delayed cerebral ischemia in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2014;35(5):866-871.
26. Sanelli PC, Pandya A, Segal AZ, et al. Cost-effectiveness of CT angiography and perfusion imaging for delayed cerebral ischemia and vasospasm in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2014;35(9):1714-1720.
27. Fainardi E, Borrelli M, Saletti A, et al. Assessment of acute spontaneous intracerebral hematoma by CT perfusion imaging. *J Neuroradiol.* 2005;32(5):333-336.
28. Fainardi E, Borrelli M, Saletti A, et al. CT perfusion mapping of hemodynamic disturbances associated to acute spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neuroradiology.* 2008;50(8):729-740.
29. Herweh C, Juttler E, Schellinger PD, et al. Evidence against a perihemorrhagic penumbra provided by perfusion computed tomography. *Stroke.* 2007;38(11):2941-2947.
30. Herweh C, Juttler E, Schellinger PD, Klotz E, Schramm P. Perfusion CT in hyperacute cerebral hemorrhage within 3 hours after symptom onset: is there an early perihemorrhagic penumbra? *J Neuroimaging.* 2010;20(4):350-353.
31. Etminan N, Beseoglu K, Turowski B, Steiger HJ, Hanggi D. Perfusion CT in patients with spontaneous lobar intracerebral hemorrhage: effect of surgery on perihemorrhagic perfusion. *Stroke.* 2012;43(3):759-763.

32. Soares BP, Tong E, Hom J, et al. Reperfusion is a more accurate predictor of follow-up infarct volume than recanalization: a proof of concept using CT in acute ischemic stroke patients. *Stroke*. 2010;41(1):e34-40.
33. Aviv RI, d'Esteire CD, Murphy BD, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic stroke: prediction with CT perfusion. *Radiology*. 2009;250(3):867-877.
34. Fargen KM, Chaudry I, Turner RD, Bennett JA, Turk A, Mocco J. A novel clinical and imaging based score for predicting outcome prior to endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2013;5 Suppl 1:i38-43.
35. Eilaghi A, Brooks J, d'Esteire C, et al. Reperfusion is a stronger predictor of good clinical outcome than recanalization in ischemic stroke. *Radiology*. 2013;269(1):240-248.
36. Chen A, Shyr MH, Chen TY, Lai HY, Lin CC, Yen PS. Dynamic CT perfusion imaging with acetazolamide challenge for evaluation of patients with unilateral cerebrovascular steno-occlusive disease. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2006;27(9):1876-1881.
37. Kim E, Sohn CH, Na DG, et al. Perfusion computed tomography evaluation of cerebral hemodynamic impairment in patients with unilateral chronic steno-occlusive disease: a comparison with the acetazolamide challenge 99mTc-hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime single-photon emission computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2009;33(4):546-551.
38. Tseng YC, Hsu HL, Lee TH, Hsieh IC, Chen CJ. Prediction of cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome after carotid stenting: a cerebral perfusion computed tomography study. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2009;33(4):540-545.
39. Devlin TG, Phade SV, Hutson RK, et al. Computed tomography perfusion imaging in the selection of acute stroke patients to undergo emergent carotid endarterectomy. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2015;29(1):125 e121-111.
40. Kalra VB, Rao B, Malhotra A. Teaching NeuroImages: perfusion imaging of cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome following revascularization. *Neurology*. 2013;81(4):e25-26.
41. Jeon YW, Kim SH, Lee JY, et al. Dynamic CT perfusion imaging for the detection of crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute ischemic stroke. *Korean J Radiol*. 2012;13(1):12-19.
42. Keedy AW, Fischette WS, Soares BP, et al. Contrast delay on perfusion CT as a predictor of new, incident infarct: a retrospective cohort study. *Stroke*. 2012;43(5):1295-1301.
43. Hemphill JC, 3rd, Smith WS, Sonne DC, Morabito D, Manley GT. Relationship between brain tissue oxygen tension and CT perfusion: feasibility and initial results. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2005;26(5):1095-1100.
44. Wintermark M, van Melle G, Schnyder P, et al. Admission perfusion CT: prognostic value in patients with severe head trauma. *Radiology*. 2004;232(1):211-220.
45. Wiest R, von Bredow F, Schindler K, et al. Detection of regional blood perfusion changes in epileptic seizures with dynamic brain perfusion CT--a pilot study. *Epilepsy Res*. 2006;72(2-3):102-110.
46. Di Nallo AM, Vidiri A, Marzi S, et al. Quantitative analysis of CT-perfusion parameters in the evaluation of brain gliomas and metastases. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;28:38.
47. Millar BA, Purdie TG, Yeung I, et al. Assessing perfusion changes during whole brain irradiation for patients with cerebral metastases. *J Neurooncol*. 2005;71(3):281-286.
48. Schramm P, Xyda A, Klotz E, Tronnier V, Knauth M, Hartmann M. Dynamic CT perfusion imaging of intra-axial brain tumours: differentiation of high-grade gliomas from primary CNS lymphomas. *Eur Radiol*. 2010;20(10):2482-2490.
49. Sergides I, Hussain Z, Naik S, Good C, Miles K, Critchley G. Utilization of dynamic CT perfusion in the study of intracranial meningiomas and their surrounding tissue. *Neurol Res*. 2009;31(1):84-89.
50. Mukherji SK, Castelijns JA. CT perfusion of head and neck cancer: why we should care versus why should we care! *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2010;31(3):391-393.
51. Zebedin D, Sorantin E, Riccabona M. Perfusion CT in childhood stroke--initial observations and review of the literature. *Eur J Radiol*. 2013;82(7):1059-1066.
52. Wintermark M, Cotting J, Roulet E, et al. Acute brain perfusion disorders in children assessed by quantitative perfusion computed tomography in the emergency setting. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2005;21(3):149-160.
53. Josephson SA, Dillon WP, Smith WS. Incidence of contrast nephropathy from cerebral CT angiography and CT perfusion imaging. *Neurology*. 2005;64(10):1805-1806.
54. Langner S, Stumpe S, Kirsch M, Petrik M, Hosten N. No increased risk for contrast-induced nephropathy after multiple CT perfusion studies of the brain with a nonionic, dimeric, iso-osmolal contrast medium. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008;29(8):1525-1529.



55. American College of Radiology. ACR–SPR practice parameter for the use of intravascular contrast media. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/IVCM.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
56. American College of Radiology. ACR manual on contrast media, v10.1. 2015; Available at: <http://www.acr.org/~media/37D84428BF1D4E1B9A3A2918DA9E27A3.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
57. American College of Radiology. ACR–SPR practice parameter for imaging pregnant or potentially pregnant adolescents and women with ionizing radiation. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Pregnant-Pts.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
58. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for performing and interpreting diagnostic computed tomography (CT). 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CT-Perf-Interpret.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
59. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for continuing medical education (CME). 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CME.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
60. Johnson MM. Stroke and CT Perfusion. *Radiol Technol*. 2012;83(5):467CT-486CT.
61. Hopyan JJ, Gladstone DJ, Mallia G, et al. Renal safety of CT angiography and perfusion imaging in the emergency evaluation of acute stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008;29(10):1826-1830.
62. Sanelli PC, Deshmukh M, Ougorets I, Caiati R, Heier LA. Safety and feasibility of using a central venous catheter for rapid contrast injection rates. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2004;183(6):1829-1834.
63. Konstas AA, Goldmakher GV, Lee TY, Lev MH. Theoretic basis and technical implementations of CT perfusion in acute ischemic stroke, part 1: Theoretic basis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2009;30(4):662-668.
64. Konstas AA, Goldmakher GV, Lee TY, Lev MH. Theoretic basis and technical implementations of CT perfusion in acute ischemic stroke, part 2: technical implementations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2009;30(5):885-892.
65. Wintermark M, Albers GW, Alexandrov AV, et al. Acute stroke imaging research roadmap. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008;29(5):e23-30.
66. The American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM). CT scan protocols. <http://www.aapm.org/pubs/CTProtocols/default.asp>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
67. US Food and Drug Administration. Safety Investigation of CT Brain Perfusion Scans: Update 11/9/2010. <http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/safety/alertsandnotices/ucm185898.htm>. Accessed March 18, 2016.
68. American College of Radiology. The ACR and American Society of Neuroradiology statement on CT protocols and radiation dose. 2009; Available at: <http://www.acr.org/About-Us/Media-Center/Position-Statements/Position-Statements-Folder/The-ACR-and-American-Society-of-Neuroradiology-Statement-on-CT-Protocols-and-Radiation-Dose>. Accessed March 7, 2016.
69. Lima FO, Lev MH, Levy RA, et al. Functional contrast-enhanced CT for evaluation of acute ischemic stroke does not increase the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2010;31(5):817-821.
70. Galvez M, York GE, 2nd, Eastwood JD. CT perfusion parameter values in regions of diffusion abnormalities. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2004;25(7):1205-1210.
71. Axel L. Cerebral blood flow determination by rapid-sequence computed tomography: theoretical analysis. *Radiology*. 1980;137(3):679-686.
72. Axel L. A method of calculating brain blood flow with a CT dynamic scanner. *Adv Neurol*. 1981;30:67-71.
73. Ladurner G, Zilkha E, Iliff D, du Boulay GH, Marshall J. Measurement of regional cerebral blood volume by computerized axial tomography. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1976;39(2):152-158.
74. Ladurner G, Zilkha E, Sager WD, Iliff LD, Lechner H, Du Boulay GH. Measurement of regional cerebral blood volume using the EMI 1010 scanner. *Br J Radiol*. 1979;52(617):371-374.
75. Laslo AM, Eastwood JD, Pakkiri P, Chen F, Lee TY. CT perfusion-derived mean transit time predicts early mortality and delayed vasospasm after experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008;29(1):79-85.
76. Murphy BD, Fox AJ, Lee DH, et al. Identification of penumbra and infarct in acute ischemic stroke using computed tomography perfusion-derived blood flow and blood volume measurements. *Stroke*. 2006;37(7):1771-1777.



77. Zilkha E, Ladurner G, Iliff LD, Du Boulay GH, Marshall J. Computer subtraction in regional cerebral blood-volume measurements using the EMI-Scanner. *Br J Radiol.* 1976;49(580):330-334.
78. BlueShield EB. CT (computed tomography) perfusion imaging of the brain. 2008; Available at: [https://www.excellusbcs.com/wps/wcm/connect/6c419e0f-04d2-41e8-81b0-affb27da2495/mp+ct\\_perf+tac+15.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=6c419e0f-04d2-41e8-81b0-affb27da2495](https://www.excellusbcs.com/wps/wcm/connect/6c419e0f-04d2-41e8-81b0-affb27da2495/mp+ct_perf+tac+15.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=6c419e0f-04d2-41e8-81b0-affb27da2495). Accessed March 7, 2016.
79. Nagahori T, Hirashima Y, Umemura K, et al. Supratentorial dynamic computed tomography for the diagnosis of vertebrobasilar ischemic stroke. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo).* 2004;44(3):105-110; discussion 110-101.
80. Wintermark M, Lepori D, Cotting J, et al. Brain perfusion in children: evolution with age assessed by quantitative perfusion computed tomography. *Pediatrics.* 2004;113(6):1642-1652.
81. Roberts HC, Roberts TP, Smith WS, Lee TJ, Fischbein NJ, Dillon WP. Multisection dynamic CT perfusion for acute cerebral ischemia: the "togging-table" technique. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2001;22(6):1077-1080.
82. Youn SW, Kim JH, Weon YC, Kim SH, Han MK, Bae HJ. Perfusion CT of the brain using 40-mm-wide detector and togging table technique for initial imaging of acute stroke. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008;191(3):W120-126.
83. Tong E, Wintermark M. CTA-enhanced perfusion CT: an original method to perform ultra-low-dose CTA-enhanced perfusion CT. *Neuroradiology.* 2014;56(11):955-964.
84. Fahmi F, Marquering HA, Streekstra GJ, et al. Automatic detection of CT perfusion datasets unsuitable for analysis due to head movement of acute ischemic stroke patients. *J Healthc Eng.* 2014;5(1):67-78.
85. Meier P, Zierler KL. On the theory of the indicator-dilution method for measurement of blood flow and volume. *J Appl Physiol.* 1954;6(12):731-744.
86. Sanelli PC, Nicola G, Tsiouris AJ, et al. Reproducibility of postprocessing of quantitative CT perfusion maps. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007;188(1):213-218.
87. Soares BP, Dankbaar JW, Bredno J, et al. Automated versus manual post-processing of perfusion-CT data in patients with acute cerebral ischemia: influence on interobserver variability. *Neuroradiology.* 2009;51(7):445-451.
88. Hunter GJ, Silvennoinen HM, Hamberg LM, et al. Whole-brain CT perfusion measurement of perfused cerebral blood volume in acute ischemic stroke: probability curve for regional infarction. *Radiology.* 2003;227(3):725-730.
89. Wittkamp G, Buerke B, Dziewas R, et al. Whole brain perfused blood volume CT: visualization of infarcted tissue compared to quantitative perfusion CT. *Acad Radiol.* 2010;17(4):427-432.
90. Camargo EC, Furie KL, Singhal AB, et al. Acute brain infarct: detection and delineation with CT angiographic source images versus nonenhanced CT scans. *Radiology.* 2007;244(2):541-548.
91. Salomon EJ, Barfett J, Willems PW, Geibprasert S, Bacigaluppi S, Krings T. Dynamic CT angiography and CT perfusion employing a 320-detector row CT: protocol and current clinical applications. *Klin Neuroradiol.* 2009;19(3):187-196.
92. Manhart MT, Kowarschik M, Fieselmann A, et al. Dynamic iterative reconstruction for interventional 4-D C-arm CT perfusion imaging. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* 2013;32(7):1336-1348.
93. Manhart MT, Aichert A, Struffert T, et al. Denoising and artefact reduction in dynamic flat detector CT perfusion imaging using high speed acquisition: first experimental and clinical results. *Phys Med Biol.* 2014;59(16):4505-4524.
94. Turk AS, Grayev A, Rowley HA, et al. Variability of clinical CT perfusion measurements in patients with carotid stenosis. *Neuroradiology.* 2007;49(11):955-961.
95. Huang BY, Castillo M. Radiological reasoning: extracranial causes of unilateral decreased brain perfusion. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007;189(6 Suppl):S49-54.
96. Keedy A, Soares B, Wintermark M. A pictorial essay of brain perfusion-CT: not every abnormality is a stroke! *J Neuroimaging.* 2012;22(4):e20-33.
97. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for communication of diagnostic imaging findings. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CommunicationDiag.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
98. American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM technical standard for diagnostic medical physics performance monitoring of computed tomography (CT) equipment. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CT-Equip.pdf>. Accessed November 11, 2015.

99. Ferreira RM, Lev MH, Goldmakher GV, et al. Arterial input function placement for accurate CT perfusion map construction in acute stroke. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2010;194(5):1330-1336.
  100. Fang R, Chen T, Sanelli PC. Tissue-specific sparse deconvolution for low-dose CT perfusion. *Med Image Comput Assist Interv.* 2013;16(Pt 1):114-121.
  101. Wintermark M, Lev MH. FDA investigates the safety of brain perfusion CT. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2010;31(1):2-3.
- 

\*Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter

Adopted 2007 (Resolution 2)  
Amended 2009 (Resolution 11)  
Revised 2012 (Resolution 13)  
Amended 2014 (Resolution 39)  
Revised 2017 (Resolution 18)