

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

Revised 2017 (Resolution 31)*

ACR–AIUM–SPR–SRU PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF THE MUSCULOSKELETAL ULTRASOUND EXAMINATION

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care¹. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the physician or medical physicist in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the practice parameters, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in the practice parameters when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of the practice parameters. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from these practice parameters is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to these practice parameters will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of these practice parameters is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

¹ *Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing*, ___ N.W.2d ___ (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the *ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures* (Revised 2008) sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, *Stanley v. McCarver*, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.

I. INTRODUCTION

The clinical aspects contained in specific sections of this practice parameter (Introduction, Supervision and Interpretation of Ultrasound Examinations, Specifications for Individual Examinations, and Equipment Specifications) were developed collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM), the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU). Recommendations for physician requirements, written request for the examination, procedure documentation, and quality control vary among the organizations and are addressed by each separately.

This practice parameter has been revised to assist practitioners performing a musculoskeletal (MSK) ultrasound examination. Although it is not possible to detect every abnormality, adherence to the following practice parameter will maximize the probability of detecting most abnormalities that occur.

II. INDICATIONS

Indications for musculoskeletal ultrasound include, but are not limited to:

1. Pain or dysfunction
2. Soft tissue or bone injury
3. Tendon or ligament pathology
4. Arthritis, synovitis, or crystal deposition disease
5. Intra-articular bodies
6. Joint effusion
7. Nerve entrapment, injury, neuropathy, mass, or subluxation
8. Evaluation of soft tissue masses, swelling, or fluid collections
9. Detection of foreign bodies in the superficial soft tissues
10. Planning and guiding for an invasive procedure
11. Congenital or developmental anomalies
12. Postoperative or postprocedural evaluation
13. Joint laxity, stiffness, or decreased range of motion
14. Malalignment
15. Sensory deficits or paresthesias
16. Motor weakness

MSK ultrasound should be performed when there is a valid medical reason. There are no absolute contraindications.

III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

Each organization will address this section in its document. ACR language is as follows:

See the ACR–SPR–SRU Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations [1].

IV. WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE EXAMINATION

Each organization will address this section in its document. ACR language is as follows:

The written or electronic request for musculoskeletal ultrasound should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a

provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006)

V. SUPERVISION AND INTERPRETATION OF ULTRASOUND EXAMINATIONS

A physician must be available for consultation with the sonographer on a case-by-case basis. Ideally the physician should be on-site and available to participate actively in the ultrasound examination when required. It is recognized, however, that geographic realities may not permit the presence of an on-site physician in all locations. In this case, a supervising physician should be available for quality assurance and sonographer supervision via a picture archiving and communication system (PACS).

VI. SPECIFICATIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL EXAMINATIONS

Depending on the clinical request and the patient's presentation, the ultrasound examination may involve a complete assessment of a joint or anatomic region or it may be limited to a specific anatomic structure. A complete examination will typically include evaluation of the joint and synovium, bones, muscles, tendons and sheaths, ligaments and fascia, and/or capsule and other supporting structures and any additional abnormalities visible in the region.

General ultrasound scanning principles apply. Images should always be obtained with the ultrasound beam perpendicular to the region of interest to minimize artifact. When applicable, relevant structures should be integrated in more than 1 plane. Abnormalities are measured in 2 orthogonal planes. Patient positioning for specific examinations may vary depending on structure being examined and the patient's clinical condition.

A. Specifications of the Shoulder Examination

A shoulder examination is most commonly indicated to evaluate for rotator cuff pathology such as a partial- or full-thickness tear, calcific tendinitis, or tendinosis. Other indications include evaluating for biceps tendon pathology, including tendon instability, subacromial-subdeltoid hypertrophy/bursitis, joint effusion, acromioclavicular arthritis, paralabral cyst, and nerve compression.

Patients should be examined in the sitting position when possible, preferably on a rotating stool. Examination of the shoulder should be tailored to the patient's clinical circumstances and range of motion. Color and power Doppler assessment may be useful in detecting hyperemia within the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa, the biceps tendon sheath, joint synovium, or surrounding structures.

The long head of the biceps tendon should be examined with the forearm in supination resting on the thigh or with the arm in slight external rotation. The tendon is examined in a transverse plane (short axis) where it emerges from under the acromion to the musculotendinous junction distally. The insertions of the pectoralis major tendon on the humerus can be evaluated at the same time, when indicated. Longitudinal views (long axis) should also be obtained. These views should be used to detect effusion, synovial hypertrophy, or intra-articular loose bodies within the bicipital tendon sheath and to determine whether the tendon is properly positioned within the bicipital groove, subluxated, dislocated, or torn. Power or color Doppler should also be used to detect hyperemia in the tendon sheath, which may indicate tenosynovitis.

The rotator cuff should be examined for signs of full- or partial-thickness tear, tendinosis, and/or calcification. Both long axis and short axis views should be obtained.

To examine the subscapularis tendon, the elbow remains at the side while the arm is placed in external rotation. The subscapularis is imaged from the musculotendinous junction to the insertion on the lesser tuberosity of the humerus. Dynamic evaluation as the patient moves from internal to external rotation is helpful to evaluate dynamic biceps tendon subluxation or subcoracoid impingement and assess the integrity of the subscapularis tendon.

To examine the supraspinatus tendon, the arm is extended posteriorly, and the palmar aspect of the hand can be placed against the superior aspect of the iliac wing with the elbow flexed and directed toward midline (instruct the patient to place the hand in the ipsilateral back pocket).

To scan the supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons along their long axes, it is important to orient the transducer approximately 45 degrees between the sagittal and coronal planes to obtain a longitudinal view. The transducer then should be moved anteriorly and posteriorly parallel to this imaging plane while continually adjusting to its angle to remain perpendicular to the investigated tendon.

Short axis views of the tendons should be obtained by rotating the transducer 90 degrees to the long axis. The tendons are visualized by sweeping medially to the acromion and laterally to their insertions on the greater tuberosity of the humerus. When necessary, the more posterior aspect of the infraspinatus and teres minor tendons can be examined by placing the transducer at the level of the glenohumeral joint below the scapular spine while the forearm rests on the thigh with the hand supinated. Internal and external rotation of the arm is helpful to identify the infraspinatus muscle and tendon and to detect small joint effusions. To visualize the teres minor tendon, the medial edge of the transducer should be angled slightly inferiorly. The teres major tendon can also be identified in short axis by placing the transducer in a longitudinal plane at the surgical neck of the humerus where it inserts and scanning medially along the inferior border of the scapula.

Throughout the examination of the rotator cuff, the cuff should be compressed with the transducer to detect nonretracted tears. When evaluating for rotator cuff tears, comparison with the contralateral side may be useful. Dynamic evaluation of the rotator cuff also is useful in certain circumstances—for example, to evaluate the rotator cuff for impingement. Tear length (partial-thickness tear) or the degree of retraction of the cuff (full-thickness tear) should be measured on longitudinal views and tear width should be measured on short axis views. A partial-thickness tear should further be described as bursal, articular, or intrasubstance, and its thickness should be measured. It is also useful to measure the distance between the intra-articular portion of the biceps tendon and the anterior edge of the tear on short axis views; most degenerative tears are located in the crescent of the cuff, approximately 15 mm from the intra-articular portion of the biceps tendon [2]. In patients with a rotator cuff tear, the supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles should be examined for fatty infiltration and atrophy as these findings are associated with a poorer post-operative outcome. Comparison with the contralateral rotator cuff muscles is often helpful in confirming muscle atrophy and fatty infiltration. Rotator cuff thickness and echogenicity should also be evaluated; a thick, hypoechoic cuff indicates tendinosis.

During the rotator cuff examination, the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa should be examined for the presence of synovial hypertrophy. Power or color Doppler should also be used to detect hyperemia. It is also important to evaluate the posterior glenohumeral joint for effusion, synovitis, or labral abnormalities. This can be accomplished by placing the transducer in a transverse plane at the level of the joint space. If symptoms warrant, the suprascapular notch and spinoglenoid notch also may be evaluated for a paralabral cyst. The acromioclavicular joint should be evaluated for arthritis, infection, or trauma by placing the transducer at the apex of the shoulder, over the acromion and distal clavicle [3-5].

Ultrasound is very useful in evaluating infants with glenohumeral dysplasia. These infants are examined in a decubitus position, and older children are examined seated. The shoulder is scanned from a posterior approach to evaluate the relationship between the humeral head and glenoid, as well as the shape of the posterior glenoid. Both static and dynamic images are obtained. The shoulder is scanned through the full range of internal to external rotation. Posterior subluxation is assessed visually and by measuring the α angle, which is the angle between the posterior margin of the scapula and the line drawn tangentially to the humeral head and posterior

edge of the glenoid. The normal value of the α angle is 30 degrees or less. The clavicle and proximal humerus are also evaluated for fracture [6].

B. Specification of an Elbow Examination

An elbow examination may be indicated to evaluate for synovial hypertrophy or synovitis, crystal deposition, loose bodies, joint effusion, tendinosis or tendon tear, ligamentous abnormality, bursitis, or nerve pathology. In newborns and young infants, ultrasound may be used to evaluate for epiphysiolysis of the distal humerus [7-10].

The patient is seated with the arm extended and the hand in supination, resting on a table, and the examiner sitting in front of the patient. The elbow may also be examined with the patient supine and the examiner on the same side as the elbow of interest. The examination is divided into 4 regions: anterior, medial, lateral, and posterior. The examination may involve a complete assessment of 1 or more of the 4 regions or be limited to a specific structure, depending on the clinical presentation. Color and power Doppler may be useful in detecting hyperemia within the joint or surrounding structures.

1. Anterior

The anterior joint space and other recesses of the elbow are assessed for effusion, synovial hypertrophy, and intra-articular bodies. Longitudinal and transverse scanning of the anterior humeroradial and humeroulnar joints and coronoid and radial fossae is performed to assess the articular cartilage and cortical bone. The annular recess of the neck of the radius is scanned dynamically with forearm pronation and supination. The same dynamic assessment can be made for the biceps brachii tendon and its attachment to the radial bicipital tuberosity. If evaluating the distal biceps tendon from an anterior approach, the arm should be maximally supinated and extended. The distal biceps tendon can also be evaluated from a medial approach with the elbow flexed and the forearm supinated [11]. Evaluation of the brachialis muscle, the adjacent radial and brachial vessels, and the median and radial nerves can also be performed as clinically warranted.

2. Lateral

To evaluate the lateral elbow, the patient extends the arm and places both palms together, or if the patient is supine the forearm is placed across the abdomen. This position allows assessment of the lateral epicondyle and the attachments of the common extensor tendon, as well as the more proximal attachments of the extensor carpi radialis longus and brachioradialis. The hand is then pronated, with the transducer on the posterolateral aspect of the elbow to scan the lateral collateral ligament complex. The radial nerve, including its deep branch entering the supinator, is also evaluated.

3. Medial

To evaluate the medial elbow, the hand is placed in supination, or if the patient is supine the upper limb is placed in abduction and external rotation to expose the medial side of the elbow. The medial epicondyle, common flexor tendon, and ulnar collateral ligament are scanned in both planes. The ulnar nerve is visualized in the cubital tunnel region between the olecranon process and medial epicondyle. Static examination of the ulnar nerve may be facilitated by placing the elbow in an extended position. Dynamic subluxation and dislocation of the ulnar nerve are assessed by imaging with flexion and extension of the elbow. Dynamic examination with valgus stress is performed to assess integrity of the ulnar collateral ligament. During valgus stress testing, the elbow must be slightly flexed to disengage the olecranon from the olecranon fossa.

4. Posterior

To evaluate the posterior elbow, the palm is placed down on the table, or if the patient is supine the forearm is placed across the abdomen, with the elbow flexed to 90 degrees. The posterior joint space, triceps brachii tendon, olecranon process, and olecranon bursa are assessed [12-14].

C. Specifications of the Wrist and Hand Examination

A hand and wrist examination may be indicated to evaluate a focal abnormality such as a tumor (tenosynovial giant cell tumor of the tendon sheath, peripheral nerve sheath tumor, or lipoma), ganglion, epidermal inclusion cyst, foreign body, or isolated tendon injury. Tenosynovitis, nerve entrapment syndromes, and peripheral nerve disorders such as carpal tunnel syndrome can also be evaluated. In the patient with suspected inflammatory arthritis, the hands and wrists should be evaluated for synovial hypertrophy, joint effusion, bony erosions, tenosynovitis, crystal deposition, and tendon rupture. Power or color Doppler should also be used to detect active inflammation (synovitis).

To evaluate the hands and wrists, the patient is usually seated on a stool or chair if possible, with hands resting on a table. Color and power Doppler may be useful in detecting hyperemia within the joint or surrounding structures. The examination may include a complete assessment of 1 or more of the 4 anatomic regions described below or may be limited to a specific anatomic structure, depending on the clinical presentation.

1. Volar/Radial

Transverse and longitudinal images should be obtained from the volar wrist crease to the thenar muscles. The transducer will require angulation to compensate for the normal contour of the wrist. The flexor retinaculum, flexor digitorum profundus, and superficialis tendons and the adjacent flexor pollicis longus tendon should be identified within the carpal tunnel. Dynamic imaging with flexion and extension of the fingers will demonstrate the normal motion of these tendons. The median nerve normally lies superficial to these tendons and deep to the flexor retinaculum. The distal portion of the median nerve tapers and divides into multiple branches for the hand. The palmaris longus tendon lies superficial to the retinaculum. On the radial side of the wrist, the flexor carpi radialis longus tendon lies within its own canal. It is important to evaluate the region of the flexor carpi radialis and the radial artery for occult ganglion cysts, which can originate from the radiocarpal joint capsule, scapho-trapezium joint, or flexor carpi radialis tendon sheath itself. On the ulnar side, branches of the ulnar nerve and artery lie within the ulnar tunnel. The flexor carpi ulnaris tendon and pisiform bone border the ulnar aspect of the tunnel. All of the tendons can be followed to their sites of insertion if clinically indicated.

2. Ulnar

Placing the transducer transversely on the ulnar styloid and moving distally will allow visualization of the triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) in its long axis. Dynamic imaging with radial deviation may be helpful in assessing the integrity of the TFCC. The transducer is then moved 90 degrees to view the short axis of the TFCC. The ulnomeniscal homologue may be seen just deep to the extensor carpi ulnaris tendon. The extensor carpi ulnaris tendon should be viewed in supination and pronation to assess for subluxation. In the setting of inflammatory arthritis, the extensor carpi ulnaris should be evaluated for tenosynovitis and rupture.

3. Dorsal

The dorsal structures are very superficial, and a high frequency transducer and large amounts of gel are necessary to optimize the examination and prevent compression of small vessels when using color or power Doppler. The extensor retinaculum divides the dorsal aspect of the wrist into 6 compartments, which accommodate 9 tendons. These tendons are examined in their short axes initially and then in their long axes both statically and dynamically, the latter being performed with flexion and extension of the fingers. The tendons can be followed to their sites of insertion when clinically indicated. Moving the transversely positioned transducer distal to Lister's tubercle identifies the dorsal aspect of the scapholunate ligament, a potential site of symptomatic ligament tears and ganglion cysts. The remaining intercarpal ligaments are not routinely assessed. In patients with suspected inflammatory arthritis, the dorsal radiocarpal, distal radioulnar, midcarpal, metacarpophalangeal, and, if symptomatic, the proximal interphalangeal joints are evaluated from the volar and dorsal aspects in both the longitudinal and transverse planes for effusion, synovial hypertrophy, and bony erosions. Other joints of the wrist and hand are similarly evaluated as clinically indicated [15,16].

D. Specifications of a Hip Examination

A hip examination may be indicated to evaluate for tendinosis, a tendon or muscle injury, bursitis, hip effusion or synovitis, labral abnormality, pseudotumor (in patients with total hip arthroplasty), "snapping hip," hernia, bursitis, focal soft tissue mass, or focal nerve pathology.

Depending on the patient's body habitus, a lower frequency transducer may be required to scan the hip. However, the operator should use the highest possible frequency that provides adequate penetration. The examination may involve a complete assessment of 1 or more of the 4 anatomic regions of the hip described below or may be limited to a specific anatomic structure, depending on the clinical presentation. Color and power Doppler may be useful in detecting hyperemia within the joint or surrounding structures.

1. Anterior

In the supine position, a sagittal oblique plane parallel to the long axis of the femoral neck is used for evaluating the femoral head and neck and for detecting joint effusion or synovitis. The lower extremity should be rotated externally. The sagittal plane is used to evaluate the labrum, the iliopsoas tendon and bursa, the femoral vessels, and the sartorius and rectus femoris muscles. The above structures are then scanned in the transverse plane, perpendicular to the original scan plane. When an extra-articular cause of anterior "snapping hip" is suspected, dynamic scanning is performed over the region of interest using the same movement that the patient describes as precipitating the snap. This snap commonly occurs just proximal to where the iliopsoas tendon abruptly moves anteriorly over the acetabulum [17].

2. Lateral

In the lateral decubitus position with the symptomatic side up, transverse and longitudinal scans of the greater trochanter, greater trochanteric bursae, gluteus medius, gluteus maximus, gluteus minimus, iliotibial band, and tensor fasciae latae should be performed. An iliotibial band or gluteus maximus muscle that snaps over the greater trochanter can be assessed in this position using dynamic flexion-extension.

3. Medial

The hip is placed in external rotation with 45-degree knee flexion (frog-leg position). The distal iliopsoas tendon, because of its oblique course, may be better seen in this position. The adductor muscles and their origins from the pubic tubercle are imaged in their long axes with the probe in a sagittal oblique orientation, with short axis images obtained perpendicular to this plane. In addition, the pubic bone and symphysis and the distal rectus abdominis insertion should be evaluated.

4. Posterior

The patient is prone with the lower extremities extended. Transverse and longitudinal views of the glutei, hamstrings, and sciatic nerve are obtained. The glutei are imaged obliquely from their origins to the greater trochanter (gluteus medius and minimus) and linea aspera (gluteus maximus). The sciatic nerve is scanned in its short axis starting at its exit at the greater sciatic foramen, deep to the gluteus maximus. It can be followed distally, midway between the ischial tuberosity and the greater trochanter, lying superficial to the quadratus femoris muscle [18].

For information on the neonatal hip, see the [ACR–AIUM–SPR–SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of the Ultrasound Examination for Detection and Assessment of Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip](#) [19].

E. Specifications of a Prosthetic Hip Examination

The hip is assessed for joint effusions, extra-articular fluid collections or soft tissue masses (pseudotumor). Ultrasound guidance may be requested to evaluate for fluid aspiration in the clinical scenario of possible prosthetic joint infection. The region of the greater trochanter and iliopsoas is evaluated for fluid collections or tendon abnormalities such as tendinosis or tear of the iliopsoas, gluteus medius, and gluteus minimus tendons [20,21]. To assess for pseudotumor, the anterior, medial, lateral, and posterior hip structures should be evaluated for joint and extra-articular fluid collections and soft tissue masses [22,23].

F. Specifications of a Knee Examination

A knee examination may be indicated to evaluate for tendon or muscle rupture/tear or tendinosis, joint effusion, crystal deposition disease, periarticular cystic lesions, meniscal tear, bursitis, ligamentous tear, or nerve pathology. The examination is divided into 4 regions. The examination may involve a complete assessment of 1 or more of the 4 regions of the knee described below or may be limited to a specific anatomic structure, depending on the clinical presentation. Color and power Doppler may be useful in detecting hyperemia within the joint or surrounding structures.

1. Anterior

The patient is supine with the knee flexed to 30 degrees. Longitudinal and transverse scans of the quadriceps and patellar tendons, patellar retinacula, and suprapatellar recess are obtained. The distal femoral trochlear cartilage can be assessed with the transducer placed in the suprapatellar space in the transverse plane and with the knee in maximal flexion. Longitudinal views of the cartilage over the medial and lateral femoral condyles are evaluated as indicated. The prepatellar, superficial, and deep infrapatellar bursae are also evaluated using adequate gel to prevent inadvertent compression of the bursae by the transducer.

2. Medial

The patient remains supine with slight flexion of the knee and hip and with slight external rotation of the hip. Alternatively, the patient may be placed in the lateral decubitus position. The medial joint space is examined. The medial collateral ligament, the pes anserine tendons and bursa, and the medial patellar retinaculum are scanned in both planes. The anterior horn and body of the medial meniscus may be identified in this position, particularly with valgus stress. If meniscal pathology is suspected either clinically or by ultrasound, further imaging with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) arthrography if there are contraindications to MRI is recommended if clinically indicated.

3. Lateral

The patient remains supine with the ipsilateral leg internally rotated or in a lateral decubitus position. A pillow may be placed between the knees for comfort. The popliteus tendon, biceps femoris tendon, fibular collateral ligament, and iliotibial band are scanned. The lateral patellar retinaculum can also be assessed in this position (as well as in the anterior position). The joint line is scanned for lateral meniscal pathology, with varus stress applied as needed.

4. Posterior

The patient lies prone with the leg extended. The popliteal fossa, semimembranosus and medial and lateral gastrocnemius muscles, tendons, and bursae are assessed. To confirm the diagnosis of a Baker cyst, the subgastrocnemius component of the semimembranosus-gastrocnemius bursa should be visualized between the medial head of the gastrocnemius and semimembranosus tendon. In addition, the posterior horns of both menisci can be evaluated. The posterior cruciate ligament may be identifiable in a sagittal oblique plane in this position [24,25].

G. Specifications of an Ankle and Foot Examination

The examination of the ankle and foot may be indicated to evaluate a focal abnormality such as plantar fasciitis, plantar fibromatosis, Morton's neuroma, ganglion cyst, or tenosynovial giant cell tumor of the tendon sheath but may also be used to evaluate for muscle, tendon, or ligament tear/rupture; tendinosis; tenosynovitis; joint effusion; and nerve pathology. Ultrasound examination of the ankle is divided into 4 regions (anterior, medial, lateral, and posterior). The examination may involve a complete assessment of 1 or more of the 4 regions described below or be limited to a specific anatomic structure, depending on the clinical presentation. Color and power Doppler may be useful in detecting hyperemia within the tendon sheath, joint, or surrounding structures.

1. Anterior

The patient lies supine with the knee flexed and the plantar aspect of the foot flat on the table. The anterior tendons are assessed in long axis and short axis planes from their musculotendinous junctions to their distal insertions. From medial to lateral, this tendon group includes the tibialis anterior, extensor hallucis longus, extensor digitorum longus, and peroneus tertius tendons (the latter being congenitally absent in some patients). The anterior joint recess is scanned for effusion, intra-articular bodies, synovial hypertrophy, and synovitis. The anterior joint capsule is attached to the anterior tibial margin and the neck of the talus, and the hyaline cartilage of the talus appears as a thin hypoechoic line. The anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament of the syndesmotic complex is assessed by moving the transducer proximally over the distal tibia and fibula, superior and medial to the lateral malleolus, and scanning in an oblique plane.

2. Medial

The patient is placed in a lateral decubitus position with the medial ankle facing upward. The tibialis posterior, flexor digitorum longus, and flexor hallucis longus tendons (located in this order from anterior to posterior) are initially scanned in the short axis plane proximal to the medial malleolus to identify each tendon. They are then assessed in long axis and short axis planes from their proximal musculotendinous junctions in the supramalleolar region to their distal insertions. To avoid anisotropy, the angulation of the transducer must be adjusted continuously for the ultrasound beam to remain perpendicular to the tendons as they curve under the medial malleolus. The tibial nerve can be scanned by identifying it between the flexor digitorum tendon anteriorly and the flexor hallucis longus tendon posteriorly, at the level of the malleolus. The tibial nerve can then be followed proximally and also distally to assess the medial and lateral plantar nerves. The flexor hallucis longus may also be scanned in the posterior position, medial to the Achilles tendon. The deltoid ligament is scanned longitudinally from its attachment to the medial malleolus to the navicular, talus, and calcaneus.

3. Lateral

The patient is placed in a lateral decubitus position with the lateral ankle facing upward. The peroneus brevis and longus tendons are identified proximal to the lateral malleolus in their short axis planes and can be assessed in long axis and short axis planes from their proximal (supramalleolar) musculotendinous junctions to their distal insertions. The peroneus longus can be followed in this manner to the cuboid groove, where it turns to course medially along the plantar aspect of the foot to insert on the base of the first metatarsal and medial cuneiform. This latter aspect of the tendon can be scanned in the prone position, as clinically indicated. The peroneus brevis tendon is followed to its insertion on the base of the fifth metatarsal. The peroneus brevis and longus tendons can be assessed for subluxation in real time by asking the patient to dorsiflex and evert the ankle. Circumduction of the ankle can also be a helpful maneuver. The lateral ligament complex is examined by placing the transducer on the tip of the lateral malleolus in the following orientations: anterior and posterior horizontal oblique for the anterior and posterior talofibular ligaments, and posterior vertical oblique for the calcaneofibular ligament. Dynamic testing of the ligaments can be performed as clinically indicated.

4. Posterior

The patient is prone with feet extending over the end of the table. A rolled towel may also be helpful under the ankles. The Achilles tendon is scanned in the long axis and short axis planes from the musculotendinous junctions (medial and lateral heads of the gastrocnemius and soleus muscles) to the site of insertion on the posterior surface of the calcaneus. Dynamic scanning with plantar and dorsiflexion may aid in the evaluation of tears. The plantaris tendon lies along the medial aspect of the Achilles tendon and inserts on the posteromedial calcaneus. It should be noted that this tendon may be absent as a normal variant but is often intact in the setting of a full-thickness Achilles tendon tear. The retrocalcaneal bursa, between the Achilles and superior calcaneus, is also assessed and a small amount of fluid may be normally seen in this bursa. Assessment for a superficial retro-Achilles bursa is facilitated by floating the transducer on ultrasound gel and evaluating for fluid within the subcutaneous tissues. The plantar fascia is scanned in both long axis and short axis planes from its proximal origin on the medial calcaneal tubercle distally where it divides and merges into the soft tissues.

5. Digital

In patients with suspected inflammatory arthritis, the metatarsophalangeal joints and, if symptomatic, the proximal interphalangeal joints are evaluated from the plantar and dorsal aspects in both the longitudinal and transverse planes for effusion, synovial hypertrophy, synovial hyperemia, and bony erosions. Other joints of the foot are similarly evaluated as clinically indicated.

6. Interdigital

The patient is supine with the foot dorsiflexed 90 degrees to the ankle. Either a dorsal or plantar approach can be used. The latter will be described here. The transducer is placed longitudinally on the plantar aspect of the first interdigital space, and the examiner applies digital pressure on the dorsal surface. The transducer is moved laterally with its center at the level of the metatarsal heads. The technique is repeated for the remaining interspaces and then repeated in the transverse plane. When a Morton's neuroma is clinically suspected, pressure can be applied to reproduce the patient's symptoms. In addition, manual medial and lateral compression of the forefoot with plantar imaging transverse to the metatarsals (Mulder's maneuver) will often displace a neuroma in a plantar direction, improving visibility. The intermetatarsal bursa lies on the dorsal aspect of the interdigital nerve, and care must be taken to correctly identify a neuroma and differentiate it from the bursa [26,27].

H. Specifications of a Peripheral Nerve Examination

Nerves have a fascicular pattern with hypoechoic longitudinal neuronal fascicles interspersed with hyperechoic interfascicular connective tissue and epineurium, best appreciated when imaged in short axis. Nerves course adjacent to vessels and are readily distinguished from the surrounding tendons with a dynamic examination, during which the nerve demonstrates relatively little movement compared with the adjacent tendons. Nerves may become more hypoechoic as they pass through fibro-osseous tunnels, as the fascicles become more compact.

Examination in the short axis plane is usually preferred to assess the course of the nerve, as it may be difficult to separate the nerve itself from the surrounding tendons and muscles on a longitudinal scan. Assessment at the level of fibro-osseous tunnels may require dynamic examination. A statically subluxated or dislocated nerve is readily identifiable on ultrasound, but an intermittently subluxating or dislocating nerve requires dynamic examination. Perhaps the most commonly subluxating nerve is the ulnar nerve within the cubital tunnel region (see elbow examination). Entrapment neuropathies also typically occur within fibro-osseous tunnels (eg, cubital and Guyon's tunnels for the ulnar nerve, carpal tunnel for the median nerve, fibular neck for the common peroneal [fibular] nerve, and the tarsal tunnel for the tibial nerve). Adjacent pathology of tendons, soft tissues, and bone can be readily evaluated to determine the potential underlying cause of the nerve dysfunction. In addition, congenital abnormalities (eg, accessory muscles or vessels) can be assessed [28].

I. Specifications of a Soft Tissue Mass Examination

The mass should be scanned in both long axis and short axis planes. Ultrasound is an excellent method to differentiate solid from cystic masses. The mass should be measured in 3 orthogonal dimensions and its relationship to surrounding structures, particularly joints, neurovascular bundles, and tendons, determined. Compressibility of the lesion should be evaluated. Color or power Doppler evaluation will help to delineate whether the mass has internal vascularity [29].

J. Specifications of Interventional Musculoskeletal Ultrasound

Ultrasound is an ideal modality for image guidance of musculoskeletal interventional procedures. The usual standards for interventional procedures apply (ie, review prior imaging, appropriate consent, local anesthetic, sterile conditions). Ultrasound provides direct visualization of the needle, monitors the needle trajectory, and shows the position of the needle within the target area. Direct visualization of the needle allows the practitioner to avoid significant intralesional and extralesional vessels, adjacent nerves, or other structures at risk.

Prior to any procedure, an ultrasound examination is performed to characterize the target area and its relationship to surrounding structures. Color or power Doppler is useful to delineate any vessels within the target zone. Ideally the shortest pathway to the region of interest should be selected, with consideration given to regional neurovascular structures and optimization of needle visualization. A needle guide can be used or the procedure can be performed free-hand. Slight to and fro movement (ie, jiggling) of the needle may be beneficial in visualizing the needle. When possible, the needle should be aligned longitudinally with the plane of the transducer at its center. When biopsying a partially necrotic mass, color Doppler should be used to identify areas of vascularity; this indicates viable tissue and increases the chance for an adequate histologic specimen.

K. Specifications for Ultrasound Examination for Detecting Foreign Bodies

Most foreign bodies are associated with an acoustic shadow (wood) or comet tail artifact (glass, metal). Retained foreign bodies can cause a surrounding soft tissue inflammatory reaction or abscess formation. Once a foreign body is detected, ultrasound can be used to demonstrate its location and relationship to adjacent structures. A high frequency linear array transducer as well as a generous amount of gel should be used to scan superficial foreign bodies. Deeper foreign bodies may require a lower frequency transducer. Color and power Doppler useful in detecting surrounding hyperemia. When available, 3-D imaging may be useful in localization.

VII. DOCUMENTATION

Each organization will address this section in its document. ACR language is as follows:

Reporting should be in accordance with [ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#) [31]. Adequate documentation is essential for high-quality patient care. There should be a permanent record of the ultrasound examination and its interpretation. Comparison with prior relevant imaging studies may prove helpful. Images of all appropriate areas, both normal and abnormal, should be recorded. Variations from

normal size should generally be accompanied by measurements. The initials of the operator should be accessible on the images or electronically on PACS. Images should be labeled with the patient identification, facility identification, examination date, and image orientation. An official interpretation (final report) of the ultrasound examination should be included in the patient's medical record. Retention of the ultrasound examination images should be based on clinical need and relevant legal and local healthcare facility requirements.

VIII. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Musculoskeletal ultrasound should be performed with high-resolution linear array transducers with a broad bandwidth. Transducer frequencies will vary depending on the structure being imaged and body habitus; lower frequencies are typically required for deeper structures and higher frequencies for superficial structures. The most common transducer frequencies used range between 12 and 18 MHz. Color and power Doppler are valuable in assessing hyperemia and inflammation, vascularity of a soft tissue mass, differentiating cystic from solid lesions and in assisting with ultrasound-guided biopsy and aspiration procedures [32]. Doppler frequencies should be set to optimize flow detection. Tissue harmonic imaging, compound imaging, and extended field of view may all be useful in musculoskeletal ultrasound.

IX. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Each organization will address this section in its document. ACR language is as follows:

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR website (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>).

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Real Time Ultrasound Equipment](#) [33].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This practice parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>) by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Ultrasound of the Commission on Ultrasound and by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology of the Commission on Pediatric Radiology, in collaboration with the AIUM, the SPR, and the SRU.

Collaborative Committee – members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter

ACR

Sharlene A. Teefey, MD, FACR
Nirvikar Dahiya, MD, MBBS, FAIUM
Sandra O. DeJesus Allison, MD
Monica S. Epelman, MD
Levon N. Nazarian, MD, FACR

AIUM

Jon A. Jacobson, MD
Jay Smith, MD
Ralf Thiele, MD, FACR

SRU

William D. Middleton, MD, FACR

SPR

Harris L. Cohen, MD, FACR
Ricardo Faingold, MD
Dayna M. Weinert, MD

Committee on Practice Parameters - Ultrasound

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Beverly E. Hashimoto, MD, FACR, Chair
Sheila Sheth, MD, FACR, Vice Vice-Chair
Teresita L. Angtuaco, MD, FACR
Marcela Böhm-Velez, MD, FACR
Kaleigh Burke, MD
Maria A. Calvo-Garcia, MD
Nirvikar Dahiya, MD, MBBS, FAIUM
Helena Gabriel, MD

Jamie Hui, MD
David U. Kim, MD
Gail N. Morgan, MD
Harriet J. Paltiel, MD
Henrietta Kotlus Rosenberg, MD, FACR
Maryellen R. M. Sun, MD
Sharlene A. Teefey, MD, FACR

Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Beverly Newman, MB, BCh, BSc, FACR, Chair
Lorna P. Browne, MB, BCh
Timothy J. Carmody, MD, FACR
Brian D. Coley, MD, FACR
Lee K. Collins, MD
Monica S. Epelman, MD
Lynn Ansley Fordham, MD, FACR
Kerri A. Highmore, MD

Sue C. Kaste, DO
Tal Laor, MD
Terry L. Levin, MD
Marguerite T. Parisi, MD, MS
Sumit Pruthi, MBBS
Nancy K. Rollins, MD
Pallavi Sagar, MD

Beverly G. Coleman, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Ultrasound
Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Pediatric Radiology
Jacqueline Anne Bello, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Quality and Safety
Matthew S. Pollack, MD, FACR, Chair, Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Catherine J. Everett, MD, MBA, FACR, Chair
Richard B. Gunderman, MD, PhD, FACR, Co-Chair
Jacqueline A. Bello, MD, FACR
Harris L. Cohen, MD, FACR
Beverly G. Coleman, MD, FACR
Nirvikar Dahiya, MD, MBBS, FAIUM
Sandra O. Dejesus Allison, MD
Monica S. Epelman, MD
Ricardo Faingold, MD
Beverly E. Hashimoto, MD, FACR
Dawn Hastreiter, MD, PhD
Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR

William T. Herrington, MD, FACR
Jon A. Jacobson, MD
William D. Middleton, MD, FACR
Levon N. Nazarian, MD, FACR
Beverly Newman, MB, BCh, BSc, FACR
Matthew S. Pollack, MD, FACR
David A. Rubin, MD, FACR
Jay Smith, MD
Timothy L. Swan, MD, FACR, FSIR
Sharlene A. Teefey, MD, FACR
Ralf Thiele, MD
Dayna M. Weinert, MD

REFERENCES

1. American College of Radiology. ACR–SPR–SRU practice parameter for performing and interpreting diagnostic ultrasound examinations. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Perf-Interpret.pdf>. Accessed November 6, 2015.
2. Kim HM, Dahiya N, Teefey SA, et al. Location and initiation of degenerative rotator cuff tears: an analysis of three hundred and sixty shoulders. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume*. 2010;92(5):1088-1096.
3. Meyers PR, Craig JG, van Holsbeeck M. Shoulder ultrasound. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;193(3):W174.

4. Papatheodorou A, Ellinas P, Takis F, Tsanis A, Maris I, Batakis N. US of the shoulder: rotator cuff and non-rotator cuff disorders. *Radiographics*. 2006;26(1):e23.
5. Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Yamaguchi K. Shoulder sonography. State of the art. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 1999;37(4):767-785, ix.
6. Poyhia TH, Lamminen AE, Peltonen JI, Kirjavainen MO, Willamo PJ, Nietosvaara Y. Brachial plexus birth injury: US screening for glenohumeral joint instability. *Radiology*. 2010;254(1):253-260.
7. Davidson RS, Markowitz RI, Dormans J, Drummond DS. Ultrasonographic evaluation of the elbow in infants and young children after suspected trauma. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume*. 1994;76(12):1804-1813.
8. Ziv N, Litwin A, Katz K, Merlob P, Grunebaum M. Definitive diagnosis of fracture-separation of the distal humeral epiphysis in neonates by ultrasonography. *Pediatric radiology*. 1996;26(7):493-496.
9. Navallas M, Diaz-Ledo F, Ares J, et al. Distal humeral epiphysiolysis in the newborn: utility of sonography and differential diagnosis. *Clinical imaging*. 2013;37(1):180-184.
10. Jacobsen S, Hansson G, Nathorst-Westfelt J. Traumatic separation of the distal epiphysis of the humerus sustained at birth. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume*. 2009;91(6):797-802.
11. Smith J, Finnoff JT, O'Driscoll SW, Lai JK. Sonographic evaluation of the distal biceps tendon using a medial approach: the pronator window. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2010;29(5):861-865.
12. Finlay K, Ferri M, Friedman L. Ultrasound of the elbow. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2004;33(2):63-79.
13. Lee KS, Rosas HG, Craig JG. Musculoskeletal ultrasound: elbow imaging and procedures. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2010;14(4):449-460.
14. Tran N, Chow K. Ultrasonography of the elbow. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2007;11(2):105-116.
15. Tagliafico A, Rubino M, Autuori A, Bianchi S, Martinoli C. Wrist and hand ultrasound. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2007;11(2):95-104.
16. Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Boyer MI. Sonography of the hand and wrist. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 2000;21(3):192-204.
17. Deslandes M, Guillin R, Cardinal E, Hobden R, Bureau NJ. The snapping iliopsoas tendon: new mechanisms using dynamic sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2008;190(3):576-581.
18. Cho KH, Park BH, Yeon KM. Ultrasound of the adult hip. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 2000;21(3):214-230.
19. American College of Radiology. ACR–AIUM–SPR–SRU practice parameter for the performance of the ultrasound examination for detection and assessment of developmental dysplasia of the hip. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Hip-Dys.pdf>. Accessed November 6, 2015.
20. van Holsbeeck MT, Eyler WR, Sherman LS, et al. Detection of infection in loosened hip prostheses: efficacy of sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1994;163(2):381-384.
21. Miller TT. Sonography of joint replacements. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2006;10(1):79-85.
22. Douis H, Dunlop DJ, Pearson AM, O'Hara JN, James SL. The role of ultrasound in the assessment of post-operative complications following hip arthroplasty. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2012;41(9):1035-1046.
23. Fang CS, Harvie P, Gibbons CL, Whitwell D, Athanasou NA, Ostlere S. The imaging spectrum of peri-articular inflammatory masses following metal-on-metal hip resurfacing. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2008;37(8):715-722.
24. Grobbelaar N, Bouffard JA. Sonography of the knee, a pictorial review. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 2000;21(3):231-274.
25. Lee MJ, Chow K. Ultrasound of the knee. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2007;11(2):137-148.
26. Bianchi S, Martinoli C, Gaignot C, De Gautard R, Meyer JM. Ultrasound of the ankle: anatomy of the tendons, bursae, and ligaments. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2005;9(3):243-259.
27. Fessell DP, Jacobson JA. Ultrasound of the hindfoot and midfoot. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2008;46(6):1027-1043, vi.
28. Chiou HJ, Chou YH, Chiou SY, Liu JB, Chang CY. Peripheral nerve lesions: role of high-resolution US. *Radiographics*. 2003;23(6):e15.
29. Hwang S, Adler RS. Sonographic evaluation of the musculoskeletal soft tissue masses. *Ultrasound Q*. 2005;21(4):259-270.

30. Horton LK, Jacobson JA, Powell A, Fessell DP, Hayes CW. Sonography and radiography of soft-tissue foreign bodies. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2001;176(5):1155-1159.
31. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for communication of diagnostic imaging findings. 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CommunicationDiag.pdf>. Accessed November 6, 2015.
32. Newman JS, Adler RS. Power Doppler Sonography: Applications in Musculoskeletal Imaging. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 1998;2(3):331-340.
33. American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM technical standard for diagnostic medical physics performance monitoring of real time ultrasound equipment. 2016; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Equip.pdf>. Accessed July 7, 2016.

*Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter

2007 (Resolution 29)

Revised 2012 (Resolution 27)

Amended 2014 (Resolution 39)

Revised 2017 (Resolution 31)