

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice parameters and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice parameters and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice parameter and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review and approval. The practice parameters and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice parameter and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

Revised 2018 (Resolution 14)*

ACR–SIR–SPR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF IMAGE-GUIDED PERCUTANEOUS NEEDLE BIOPSY (PNB)

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care¹. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

¹ Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing, 831 N.W.2d 826 (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the *ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures* (Revised 2008) sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard's stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, Stanley v. McCarver, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that "published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation" even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.

I. INTRODUCTION

This practice parameter was revised collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), and the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR).

Image-guided percutaneous needle biopsy (PNB) is an established, effective procedure for selected patients with suspected pathology. Extensive experience documents its safety and efficacy. The patient is most likely to benefit when the procedure is performed in an appropriate environment by qualified physicians [1-3]. This practice parameter outlines the principles for performing PNB.

For information on breast biopsy, see the [ACR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Stereotactic-Guided Breast Interventional Procedures](#) or the [ACR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Breast Interventional Procedures](#) [4,5].

II. DEFINITION

PNB is defined as percutaneous placement of needles into a suspected abnormal lesion or organ for the purpose of obtaining tissue, cells, or fluid for diagnosis. Following specimen procurement, the needles are removed.

For purposes of this practice parameter, successful image-guided PNB is defined as the procurement of sufficient material to establish a pathologic diagnosis or to guide appropriate patient management. When the biopsy results are discordant with the imaging findings and/or clinical presentation, a discussion between the referring physician and the physician performing the procedure should occur. Based on the discussion, a decision can be made, which may include repeating the biopsy, recommending a follow-up imaging test, or doing nothing, among other choices. The same discussion should ensue when the results of the biopsy are nondiagnostic.

III. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

A. Indications for PNB include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. To establish the benign or malignant nature of a lesion.
2. To obtain material for microbiologic analysis in patients with known or suspected infections.
3. To stage patients with known or suspected malignancy when local spread or distant metastasis is suspected.
4. To determine the nature and extent of certain diffuse parenchymal diseases (eg, hepatic cirrhosis, renal transplant rejection, glomerulonephritis).
5. To obtain tissue for biomarker, protein, or genotype analysis to subsequently guide therapy.
6. To determine the primary cell of origin in a patient with metastatic disease and an unknown primary tumor.

B. There are no absolute contraindications. However, there are relative contraindications and, as for all patients considered for this procedure, the relative risks of the procedure should be weighed carefully. These relative contraindications should be addressed, when feasible, and corrected or controlled before the procedure. The relative contraindications for PNB include:

1. Significant coagulopathy [6].
2. Severely compromised cardiopulmonary function or hemodynamic instability.
3. Lack of an appropriate or safe biopsy tract or access to the lesion.
4. Inability of the patient to cooperate with, or to be positioned for, the procedure. Consider performing procedure under general anesthesia.

For the pregnant or potentially pregnant patient, see the [ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for Imaging Pregnant or Potentially Pregnant Adolescents and Women with Ionizing Radiation](#) [7].

IV. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

A. Physician

Core Privileging: This procedure is considered part of or amendable to image-guided core privileging.

Initial Qualifications

Image-based diagnosis and treatment planning require integrating the preprocedural imaging findings within the context of the patient's history and physical findings. Therefore, the physician must be clinically informed and understand the specific clinical questions to be answered and goals to be accomplished by PNB prior to the procedure in order to plan and perform the procedure safely and effectively.

The physician performing PNB must have knowledge of the benefits, alternatives, and risks of the procedure. The physician must have an understanding of imaging anatomy, imaging equipment, radiation safety considerations, physiologic monitoring equipment, and have access to adequate supplies and personnel to perform the procedure safely and manage potentially related complications.

PNB procedures must be performed by a physician who has the following qualifications; the physician's experience in PNB is best documented by use of a formal case log submitted by the applicant.

1. Certification in Radiology, Diagnostic Radiology or Interventional Radiology/Diagnostic Radiology (IR/DR) by the American Board of Radiology, the American Osteopathic Board of Radiology, the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, or the Collège des Médecins du Québec and has performed (with supervision) a sufficient number of PNB procedures to demonstrate competency as attested by the supervising physician(s).

or

2. Completion of radiology or interventional radiology residency program approved by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC), the Collège des Médecins du Québec, or the American Osteopathic Association (AOA) and has performed (with supervision) a sufficient number of PNB procedures to demonstrate competency as attested by the supervising physician(s).

or

3. Physicians whose residency or fellowship training did not include the above may still be considered qualified to perform PNB provided that the following can be demonstrated:

The physician must have at least 2 years of image-guided procedural experience during which the physician was supervised, and during which the physician performed and interpreted at least 35 image-guided percutaneous biopsy procedures, 25 of them as primary operator, with outcomes within the quality improvement thresholds of this practice parameter.

and

4. Physicians meeting any of the qualifications in 1, 2, and 3 above also must have written substantiation that they are familiar with all of the following:
 - a. Indications and contraindications for the procedure.
 - b. Periprocedural and intraprocedural assessment, monitoring, and management of the patient and potential complications.
 - c. Where applicable, pharmacology of moderate sedation medications and recognition and treatment of adverse reactions and complications.
 - d. Imaging systems that may be used for guidance during percutaneous procedures and determining which imaging modality would be optimal for a specific PNB procedure in terms of both safety and effectiveness.
 - e. Where applicable, principles of radiation protection, the hazards of radiation, and radiation monitoring requirements.
 - f. Where applicable, pharmacology of contrast agents and recognition and treatment of potential adverse reactions.

- g. Percutaneous needle introduction techniques.
- h. Technical aspects of performing the procedure, including the use of various biopsy devices.
- i. Anatomy, physiology, and pathophysiology of the structures being considered for PNB.

The written substantiation should come from the chief of interventional radiology, the director or chief of body imaging or ultrasound, or the chair of the radiology department of the institution in which the physician will be providing these services. Substantiation could also come from a prior institution in which the physician provided the services, but only at the discretion of the current interventional director or chair who solicits the additional input.

Maintenance of Competence

Physicians must perform a sufficient number of overall procedures applicable to the spectrum of core privileges to maintain their skills, with acceptable success and complication rates as laid out in this parameter. Continued competence should depend on participation in a quality improvement program that monitors these rates. Consideration should be given to the physician's lifetime practice experience.

Continuing Medical Education

The physician's continuing medical education should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Parameter on Continuing Medical Education \(CME\)](#) [8].

B. Qualified Medical Physicist

A Qualified Medical Physicist should have the responsibility for overseeing the equipment quality control program and for monitoring fluoroscopy and other cross-sectional imaging equipment, both upon installation and routinely on an annual basis. Medical physicists assuming these responsibilities should meet the following qualifications:

A Qualified Medical Physicist is an individual who is competent to practice independently in one or more of the subfields in medical physics. The American College of Radiology (ACR) considers certification, continuing education, and experience in the appropriate subfield(s) to demonstrate that an individual is competent to practice one or more of the subfields in medical physics and to be a Qualified Medical Physicist. The ACR strongly recommends that the individual be certified in the appropriate subfield(s) by the American Board of Radiology (ABR), the Canadian College of Physics in Medicine, the American Board of Science in Nuclear Medicine (ABSNM), or the American Board of Medical Physics (ABMP).

The Qualified Medical Physicist should meet the [ACR Practice Parameter for Continuing Medical Education \(CME\)](#). [8]

The appropriate subfield of medical physics for this practice parameter is Diagnostic Medical Physics (previous medical physics certification categories including Radiological Physics, Diagnostic Radiological Physics, and Diagnostic Imaging Physics are also acceptable). (ACR Resolution 17, adopted in 1996 – revised in 2008, 2012, 2022, Resolution 41f)

C. Non-Physician Radiology Provider (NPRP)

NPRPs are all Non-Physician Providers (eg, RRA, RPA, RA, PA, NP, ...) who assist with or participate in portions of the practice of a radiologist-led team (Radiologists = diagnostic, interventional, neurointerventional radiologists, radiation oncologists, and nuclear medicine physicians). The term "NPRP" does not include radiology, CT, US, NM MRI technologists, or radiation therapists who have specific training for radiology related tasks (eg, acquisition of images, operation of imaging and therapeutic equipment) that are not typically performed by radiologists.

The term 'radiologist-led team' is defined as a team supervised by a radiologist (ie, diagnostic, interventional, neurointerventional radiologist, radiation oncologist, and nuclear medicine physician) and consists of additional healthcare providers including RRAs, PAs, NPs, and other personnel critical to the provision of the highest quality

of healthcare to patients. (ACR Resolution 8, adopted 2020).

Physician assistants and nurse practitioners can be valuable members of the interventional radiology team but should not perform PNBs independent of supervision by physicians with training, experience, and privileges to perform the relevant procedures. See the [ACR–SIR–SNIS–SPR Practice Parameter for Interventional Clinical Practice and Management](#) [11].

D. Radiologic Technologist

The technologist, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The technologist should be able to prepare and position² the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The technologist should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. If intravenous contrast material is to be administered, qualifications for technologists performing intravenous injection should be in compliance with current ACR policy statements³ and existing operating procedures or manuals at the facility. The technologist should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

Technologists should be certified by the ARRT or have an unrestricted state license with documented training and experience in the imaging modality used for the image-guided percutaneous procedure.

E. Diagnostic Medical Sonographer

The sonographer, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The sonographer should be able to prepare and position the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The sonographer should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. The sonographer should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

Diagnostic medical sonographers involved in PNB should have documented training and experience in assisting with these procedures. When possible, they should be certified by the ARRT or by the American Registry for Diagnostic Medical Sonography. When applicable, they should have an unrestricted state license.

F. Computed Tomography Technologist

For biopsies performed using computed tomography (CT), the CT technologist, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The technologist should be able to prepare and position the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The CT technologist should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. The CT technologist should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

CT technologists should be certified by the ARRT or have an unrestricted state license with documented training and experience in CT.

² The American College of Radiology approves of the practice of certified and/or licensed radiologic technologists performing fluoroscopy in a facility or department as a positioning or localizing procedure only, and then only if monitored by a supervising physician who is personally and immediately available*. There must be a written policy or process for the positioning or localizing procedure that is approved by the medical director of the facility or department/service and that includes written authority or policies and processes for designating radiologic technologists who may perform such procedures. (ACR Resolution 26, 1987 – revised in 2007, Resolution 12m)

*For the purposes of this parameter, “personally and immediately available” is defined in manner of the “personal supervision” provision of CMS—a physician must be in attendance in the room during the performance of the procedure. Program Memorandum Carriers, DHHS, HCFA, Transmittal B-01-28, April 19, 2001.

³ See the [ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media](#).

G. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Technologist

For biopsies performed using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), the MRI technologist, together with the physician and nursing personnel, should have responsibility for patient comfort and safety. The technologist should be able to prepare and position the patient for the image-guided percutaneous procedure and, together with the nurse, monitor the patient during the procedure. The MRI technologist should provide assistance to the physician as required, which may include operating the imaging equipment and obtaining images prescribed by the supervising physician. The MRI technologist should also perform regular quality control testing of the equipment under supervision of the physicist.

MRI technologists should be certified by the ARRT or have an unrestricted state license with documented training and experience in MRI.

H. Other Ancillary Personnel

Other ancillary personnel who are qualified and duly licensed or certified under applicable state law may, under supervision by a radiologist or other qualified physician, perform specific interventional fluoroscopic or other image-guided procedures. Supervision by a radiologist or other qualified physician must be direct or personal, and must comply with local, state, and federal regulations. Individuals should be credentialed for specific fluoroscopic and other image-guided interventional procedures and should have received formal training in radiation management and/or application of other imaging modalities as appropriate. See the [ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures](#) [10].

I. Nursing Services

Nursing services, when deemed appropriate by the performing physician, are an integral part of the team for preprocedural, intra-procedural, and postprocedural patient management and education and are recommended in monitoring the patient during the procedure.

V. SPECIFICATIONS AND PERFORMANCE OF THE PROCEDURE

A. Imaging Equipment and Facilities

1. The minimum requirements for facilities in which PNB is performed include:
 - a. When appropriate, ultrasound should be available. Proper transducer frequency is required to direct and monitor needle placement.
 - b. Procedure CT and/or CT fluoroscopy equipment may be necessary to better demonstrate anatomy, particularly in:
 - i. Patients with lesions that are difficult to visualize or access with other modalities, or are in unusual or precarious locations.
 - ii. Planning the optimal route of biopsy to avoid, when possible, transgression of vital structures.
 - iii. Patients with unusual anatomy.
 - c. When fluoroscopic guidance is used, a high-resolution unit with adequate shielding and collimation is desirable. Ability to perform complex angle (eg, anteroposterior, lateral, or oblique) fluoroscopy views is often necessary to ensure proper needle placement. Overhead fluoroscopic tube suites are less desirable because of increased radiation exposure to personnel during this procedure.
 - d. The facility should provide an area within the institution appropriate for patient preparation and for observation after the procedure. This might be within the radiology department, in a short-stay unit, or in a routine nursing unit as outlined in the Patient Care Section below. There should be immediate access to emergency resuscitation equipment. Personnel and equipment to diagnose and treat acute complications should also be available.
 - e. For patients undergoing thoracic procedures, appropriate equipment for decompression of a tension pneumothorax and urgent chest tube placement should be available.
 - f. Access to laboratory facilities with expertise in cytopathology, microbiology, and chemistry should

be available. (These resources need not be located in the biopsy facility.)

2. Performance guidelines

When using fluoroscopy or CT for PNB, a facility should meet or exceed the following imaging practices:

- a. Radiation doses for both X-ray and CT guidance should be kept to a minimum. The operator will use only as much fluoroscopy or CT imaging as is necessary to complete the biopsy, consistent with the as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) radiation safety guidelines. One method to minimize fluoroscopic time is to use units with “last image hold” capability [12]. See the [ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures](#) [10].
- b. Tight collimation and, when appropriate, shielding (eg, thyroid, gonadal, eye) should be used for the operating physician, for the patient, and for any other personnel who might be affected.
- c. On units where dose reduction pulsed fluoroscopy is available, its use is recommended.
- d. For CT-guided biopsies, lowering the mAs, lowering kVp, and/or decreasing axis coverage can substantially reduce radiation dose without compromising the procedure. CT machines in CT fluoroscopy mode usually provide adequate imaging guidance.

CT fluoroscopy mode uses one rotation volume scan, which can be reconstructed as a single thick slice or multiples of thinner slices covering the same volume. Reconstructing the thinner slices within CT fluoroscopy mode with set mAs will not affect patient radiation exposure; however, it will improve 3-D visualization of the pathology. Guidance software can be used to facilitate access to out-of-axial-plane procedures.

B. Physiologic Monitoring and Resuscitation Equipment

1. Appropriate equipment should be present to allow for monitoring the patient’s heart rate, cardiac rhythm, and blood pressure. (See the [ACR–SIR Practice Parameter for Sedation/Analgesia](#) [13].)
2. There should be ready access to emergency resuscitation equipment and drugs, to include the following: a defibrillator, oxygen supply with appropriate tubing and delivery systems, suction equipment, tubes for endotracheal intubation, laryngoscope, ventilation bag-valve-mask apparatus, and central venous line sets. Drugs for treating cardiopulmonary arrest, contrast reaction, vasovagal reactions, narcotic or benzodiazepine overdose, bradycardia, and ventricular dysrhythmias should also be readily available. Resuscitation equipment should be monitored and checked on a routine basis in compliance with institutional policies.
3. Any procedure performed using MRI guidance must have MRI safety compatible emergency resuscitation equipment available [14].
4. Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat procedural complications or adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment should be monitored and medications inventoried for drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and/or sizes in the patient population.

C. Acute Care Support

Although complications of PNB only rarely require urgent surgery, if deemed high risk, these procedures should be performed in an environment where surgical intervention can be instituted promptly. Ideally, this would be a facility with adequate surgical, anesthesia, and ancillary support. When these procedures are performed in a freestanding center, detailed protocols for the rapid transport or admission of patients to an acute-care hospital should be formalized in writing.

D. Patient Care

1. Preprocedural care

- a. The physician performing the procedure must have knowledge of the following:
 - i. Clinically significant history, including indications for the procedure and any related preprocedure imaging.
 - ii. Clinically significant physical examination findings, including an awareness of clinical or medical conditions that may necessitate specific care, such as preprocedure antibiotics or other

- measures.
 - iii. Possible alternative methods, such as other imaging modalities, interventions, serologic analysis, or surgery, to obtain the desired diagnostic information or therapeutic result.
 - iv. Laboratory results for significant bleeding risks, relevant medications such as anticoagulants, and allergies.
 - b. Informed consent must be obtained in compliance with all state laws and should comply with the [ACR–SIR–SPR Practice Parameter on Informed Consent for Image-Guided Procedures](#) [15].
2. Procedural care
 - a. Adherence to the Joint Commission’s current Universal Protocol for Preventing Wrong Site, Wrong Procedure, Wrong Person Surgery™ (also called “time out”) is required for procedures in nonoperating room settings, including bedside procedures. The organization should have processes and systems in place for reconciling differences in staff responses during the “time out.”
 - b. During the use of fluoroscopy and CT, the physician should use exposure factors consistent with the ALARA radiation safety guidelines.
 - c. Nursing personnel, technologists, and those directly involved in the patient’s care during PNB should have protocols for use in standardizing care. These should include, but are not limited to:
 - i. Equipment needed for the procedure and its commonly related complications.
 - ii. Patient monitoring.
 - d. Protocols should be reviewed and updated periodically.
 3. Postprocedural care
 - a. Orders for postprocedure patient care should include frequency of obtaining vital signs and discharge instructions. Discharge instructions should include contact information about an appropriate resource that the patient or his or her representative can call to ask questions or express concerns about the development of complications or other issues.
 - b. Specific anatomic considerations
 - i. Thoracic cavity: pulmonary and appropriate imaging assessment for the presence of pneumothorax.
 - ii. Peritoneal and other solid organ biopsies: appropriate imaging and/or laboratory studies to evaluate for acute complications when indicated.

E. Specifics of the Procedure

1. All image-guided PNB procedures are performed for specific indications, and should be tailored accordingly.
2. The physician should be aware of the various types and sizes of aspiration and core cutting needles that are available.
3. The physician should be aware of the diagnostic possibilities and request the appropriate laboratory studies.
4. Prior consultation with pathology may be useful in selected cases.
5. Post procedure note should include: Preprocedure diagnosis, postprocedure diagnosis, indications, procedure, operator, anesthesia/sedation, access, hemostasis, estimated blood loss, specimens and where submitted, complications, findings and plan. These elements can be placed in the report of the procedure.

VI. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR–SIR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Reporting and Archiving of Interventional Radiology Procedures](#) [16].

VII. RADIATION SAFETY IN IMAGING

Radiologists, medical physicists, registered radiologist assistants, radiologic technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility for safety in the workplace by keeping radiation exposure to staff, and to society as a whole, “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) and to assure that radiation doses to individual patients are appropriate, taking into account the possible risk from radiation exposure and the diagnostic image quality

necessary to achieve the clinical objective. All personnel that work with ionizing radiation must understand the key principles of occupational and public radiation protection (justification, optimization of protection and application of dose limits) and the principles of proper management of radiation dose to patients (justification, optimization and the use of dose reference levels) http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/Publications/PDF/Pub1578_web-57265295.pdf

Nationally developed guidelines, such as the [ACR Appropriateness Criteria](#)[®], should be used to help choose the most appropriate imaging procedures to prevent unwarranted radiation exposure.

Facilities should have and adhere to policies and procedures that require varying ionizing radiation examination protocols (plain radiography, fluoroscopy, interventional radiology, CT) to take into account patient body habitus (such as patient dimensions, weight, or body mass index) to optimize the relationship between minimal radiation dose and adequate image quality. Automated dose reduction technologies available on imaging equipment should be used whenever appropriate. If such technology is not available, appropriate manual techniques should be used.

Additional information regarding patient radiation safety in imaging is available at the Image Gently[®] for children (www.imagegently.org) and Image Wisely[®] for adults (www.imagewisely.org) websites. These advocacy and awareness campaigns provide free educational materials for all stakeholders involved in imaging (patients, technologists, referring providers, medical physicists, and radiologists).

Radiation exposures or other dose indices should be measured and patient radiation dose estimated for representative examinations and types of patients by a Qualified Medical Physicist in accordance with the applicable ACR technical standards. Regular auditing of patient dose indices should be performed by comparing the facility's dose information with national benchmarks, such as the ACR Dose Index Registry, the NCRP Report No. 172, Reference Levels and Achievable Doses in Medical and Dental Imaging: Recommendations for the United States or the Conference of Radiation Control Program Director's National Evaluation of X-ray Trends. (ACR Resolution 17 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2009, 2013, Resolution 52).

VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR website (<https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards>).

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Fluoroscopic Equipment](#) [17].

IX. QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

While practicing physicians should strive to achieve perfect outcomes (eg, 100% success, 0% complications), in practice, all physicians will fall short of this ideal to a variable extent. Thus, indicator thresholds may be used to assess the efficacy of ongoing quality improvement programs. For the purposes of these practice parameters, a threshold is a specific level of an indicator that should prompt a review. Procedure thresholds or overall thresholds refer to a group of indicators for a procedure (eg, major complications). Individual complications may also be associated with complication-specific thresholds.

When measures such as indications or success rates fall below a minimum threshold or when complication rates exceed a maximum threshold, a departmental review should be performed to determine causes and to implement changes, if necessary. For example, if the incidence of bleeding is one measure of the quality of image-guided PNB, then values in excess of the defined threshold should trigger a review of policies and procedures within the department, as well as specific cases (“root cause analysis”) to determine the causes and to implement changes to lower the incidence for the complication. Thresholds may vary from those listed here; for example, patient referral

patterns and selection factors may dictate a different threshold value for a particular indicator at a particular institution. Each department is urged to alter the threshold to higher or lower values as needed to meet its own quality improvement program needs.

A. Success Rates and Thresholds

Many variables will affect the eventual success of a PNB procedure. These include the number of samples obtained, types of samples (FNA versus core biopsy), size of the biopsy needle, the size and location of the target abnormality, the organ system in which biopsy is performed, the availability of an on-site cytopathologist [18], the experience of the institution’s pathology staff, the imaging equipment available, and the skill of the operating physician.

Table 1 lists the success rates and suggested thresholds for PNB.

Table 1. Success Rates of PNB [19-41]

PNB Site	Reported Range of Success (%)	Pooled Mean Success (%)	Suggested QI Threshold (%)
Thoracic/pulmonary [19-27,42,43]	77-96	89	75
Musculoskeletal [28-35,44]	76-93	82	70
Other Sites [36-41,45-48]	70-90	89	75
Overall	70-90	85	75

From Gupta S, Wallace MJ, Cardella JF, Kundu, S, Miller DL, Rose SC; Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous needle biopsy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2010; 21: 969-975 [49].

The range of successful biopsies may vary depending on the mix of organ systems, the size and location of lesions, and the overall condition of patients who are sampled. A nondiagnostic specimen means is one in which the tissue sample is inadequate for pathologic determination of whether the specimen is benign, malignant, or representative of the organ being biopsied.

B. Complication Rates and Thresholds

Complications can be stratified on the basis of outcome. Major complications result in admission to the hospital for therapy (for outpatient procedures), an unplanned increase in the level of care, prolonged hospitalization, permanent adverse sequelae, or death. Minor complications result in no sequelae; they may require nominal therapy or a short hospital stay for observation (see Appendix A). The complication rates and thresholds presented refer to major complications, unless otherwise noted. Indicator thresholds may be used to assess the efficacy of quality improvement programs.

The complications of percutaneous biopsies are divided into 2 types: generic and organ specific. Generic refers to complications that are common to all biopsies. The major generic complications include bleeding, infection, perforation, and unintended organ or nerve injury [50]. Clinically significant bleeding is infrequent, although relative bleeding risks increase with the increase of needle size, use of cutting needles, and vascularity of the organ or lesion biopsied (ie, renal and liver biopsies, hypervascular lesions) [20,51]. Infection as a result of biopsy is also rare. Injury may occur to the target organ or to a nearby organ that is traversed by the needle. Injuries of this type require further interventions in fewer than 2% of patients [52-54]. How a given complication is managed clinically is a major predictor of the clinical outcome of a given patient and should be included in the oversight of complications of percutaneous biopsies.

Organ-specific complications are those that are only associated or most commonly associated with biopsy of a specific organ. For example, pneumothorax is most commonly associated with lung biopsy but can occur during vertebral, rib, liver, spleen, adrenal, kidney, mediastinal, and breast biopsies or aspirations. Other complications may occur, but rarely require therapy. These include hematuria after renal or prostate biopsy and hemoptysis after lung biopsy. Perforation may be considered organ specific.

The following Table 2 lists the reported rates of given complications and suggested thresholds that should prompt a review when exceeded. In addition, there are certain complications that are almost always associated with a single organ [55]. Very rare complications, such as hypertensive crisis after adrenal biopsy and pancreatitis, are not given thresholds. Each major incident should be investigated as appropriate.

Table 2. Complication Rates and Suggested Thresholds for Nonthoracic PNB [40,53,55,57-97]

Major Complication	Complication Rates (%)	Suggested QI Threshold (%)
Bleeding requiring transfusion or intervention		
Solid organ*		
Kidney [45-47,58,60-74]		
Large caliber needle (>18 gauge)	2.7 - 6.6	10
Small caliber needle (≤18 gauge)	0.5 - 2.8	5
Liver [55,64,75-83]	0.3 - 3.3	5
Spleen [84-88]	0.0 - 8.3	10
Other [40,53]	0.1 - 3.0	6
Tract seeding [53,57,59,89-97]†	0.3 - 4.0	5
Pneumothorax requiring chest tube for nonpulmonary / mediastinal biopsy	0.5	1

* Data based on studies involving at least 200 patients.

† Most of the literature is related to needle tract seeding after percutaneous biopsy of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Data based on studies involving at least 100 patients.

From Gupta S, Wallace MJ, Cardella JF, Kundu, S, Miller DL, Rose SC; Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous needle biopsy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2010; 21: 969-975 [49].

Lung and pleural biopsy: There are specific circumstances that classify a chest tube placement for pneumothorax a major versus minor complication. Special note is also made that there are lung biopsies during which planned placement of a chest tube is accepted for the successful completion of the procedure. Placement of a chest tube in these settings therefore should not be considered a complication [21,54,98-105].

Table 3. Complication Rates and Suggested Thresholds for Transthoracic PNB [20,21,98-100,102,106-116]

Complication	Complication Rate (%)	Suggested QI Threshold (%)*
Major		
Hemoptysis requiring hospitalization or specific therapy [99,100]	0.5	2
Thoracostomy tube placement requiring prolonged admission, catheter exchange, or pleurodesis [106,107]	1-2	3
Symptomatic air embolism [100,108]	0.06-0.07	<0.1
Minor		
Pneumothorax [20,21,43,98,102,109-116]	12-45	45
Thoracostomy tube placement [20,21,98,106,109-116]	2-15	20

* Thresholds may vary from those listed here; for example, patient referral patterns and selection factors may dictate a different threshold value for a particular indicator at a particular institution. Exceeding a suggested QI threshold should trigger a review of policies and procedures within the department to determine the causes and to implement changes to lower the incidence for the complication.

From Gupta S, Wallace MJ, Cardella JF, Kundu, S, Miller DL, Rose SC; Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous needle biopsy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2010; 21: 969-975 [49].

Published rates for individual types of complications are highly dependent on patient selection and are based on series comprising several hundred patients, which is a larger volume than most individual practitioners are likely to treat. Generally the complication-specific thresholds should be set higher than the complication-specific reported rates listed above. It is also recognized that a single complication can cause a rate to cross above a complication-specific threshold when the complication occurs within a small patient series (eg, early in a quality improvement

program). In this situation, an overall procedural threshold is more appropriate for use in a quality improvement program.

In Table 4 below, the suggested threshold value is supported by the weight of literature evidence and panel consensus.

Table 4. Overall Complication Threshold

Overall Procedure	Suggested QI Threshold (%)*
All major complications resulting from image-guided PNB*	2

* The threshold for overall major complications should be used when the individual practice performs a broad spectrum of biopsies and no particular biopsy site or type dominates the experience. This threshold is based on the premise that uncomplicated thoracostomy tube placement for management of pneumothorax is considered a minor complication.

From Gupta S, Wallace MJ, Cardella JF, Kundu, S, Miller DL, Rose SC; Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous needle biopsy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2010; 21: 969-975 [49].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This practice parameter was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards* on the ACR website (<https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards>) by the Committee on Practice Parameters – Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology of the ACR Commission on Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology and the Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology of the ACR Commission on Pediatric Radiology in collaboration with the SIR and the SPR.

Collaborative Committee – members represent their societies in the initial and final revision of this practice parameter

ACR

Chaitanya Ahuja, MBBS, Chair
 Brian D. Coley, MD, FACR
 Khashayar Farsad, MD, PhD
 Thomas X. Le, MD

SIR

Olga R. Brook, MD
 Drew M. Caplin, MD
 Joan C. Wojak, MD, FACR

SPR

Shellie C. Josephs, MD
 Matthew P Lungren, MD

Committee on Practice Parameters – Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Clayton K. Trimmer, DO, FACR, FAOCR, FSIR, Chair

Chaitanya Ahuja, MBBS

Drew M. Caplin, MD

Douglas M. Coldwell, MD, PhD

Kevin W. Dickey, MD

Joshua A. Hirsch, MD, FACR, FSIR

Kelvin Hong, MD, FSIR

Elizabeth A. Ignacio, MD, FSIR

Sanjeeva P. Kalva, MD, FSIR

Claire Kaufman, MD

Minhajuddin S. Khaja, MD, MBA

Margaret Hsin-Shung Lee, MD

Susan K. O’Horo, MD, FSIR

John D. Prologo, MD

Stephen P. Reis, MD

Beth A. Schueler, PhD, FACR, FAAPM

Sanjit Tewari, MD

Committee on Practice Parameters – Pediatric Radiology

(ACR Committee responsible for sponsoring the draft through the process)

Beverley Newman, MB, BCh, BSc, FACR, Chair

Lorna P. Browne, MB, BCh

Timothy J. Carmody, MD, FACR

Brian D. Coley, MD, FACR

Lee K. Collins, MD

Monica S. Epelman, MD

Lynn Ansley Fordham, MD, FACR

Kerri A. Highmore, MD

Sue C. Kaste, DO

Tal Laor, MD

Terry L. Levin, MD, FACR

Marguerite T. Parisi, MD, MS

Sumit Pruthi, MBBS

Nancy K. Rollins, MD

Pallavi Sagar, MD

Philip S. Cook, MD, FACR, FSIR, Chair, Commission on Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology

Alan H. Matsumoto, MD, FACR, Vice Chair, Commission on Interventional and Cardiovascular Radiology

Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Pediatric Radiology

Jacqueline Anne Bello, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission on Quality and Safety

Matthew S. Pollack, MD, FACR, Chair, Committee on Practice Parameters and Technical Standards

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Mark D. Alson, MD, FACR, Chair

Kevin L. Smith, MD, FACR, Co-Chair

Chaitanya Ahuja, MBBS

Jacqueline Anne Bello, MD, FACR

Olga R. Brook, MD

Drew M. Caplin, MD

Brian D. Coley, MD, FACR

Philip S. Cook, MD, FACR, FSIR

Richard Duszak, Jr., MD, FACR

Khashayar Farsad, MD, PhD

Julia R. Fielding, MD, FACR

Florian J. Fintelmann, MD

Phoebe Freer, MD

Denzil J. Hawes-Davis, DO

Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR

Shellie C. Josephs, MD

Claire Kaufman, MD

Timothy X. Le, MD

Matthew P. Lungren, MD

Zayad Metwalli, MD

Beverley Newman, MD, BCh, BSc, FACR

Matthew S. Pollack, MD, FACR

Michael I. Rothman, MD, FACR

Timothy L. Swan, MD, FACR, FSIR

Clayton K. Trimmer, DO, FACR, FAOCR, FSIR

Rakesh Varma, MD

Joan C. Wojak, MD, FACR

REFERENCES

1. Cardella JF, Bakal CW, Bertino RE, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for image-guided percutaneous biopsy in adults: Society of Cardiovascular & Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 1996;7(6):943-946.
2. Friedman LS. Controversies in liver biopsy: who, where, when, how, why? *Curr Gastroenterol Rep.* 2004;6(1):30-36.
3. Nikolaidis P, vanSonnenberg E, Haddad ZK, et al. Practice patterns of nonvascular interventional radiology procedures at academic centers in the United States? *Acad Radiol.* 2005;12(11):1475-1482.
4. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for the performance of stereotactic-guided breast interventional procedures 2016; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Stereo-Breast.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
5. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for the performance of ultrasound-guided percutaneous breast interventional procedures 2016; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/us-guidedbreast.pdf?la=en>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
6. Patel IJ, Davidson JC, Nikolic B, et al. Consensus guidelines for periprocedural management of coagulation status and hemostasis risk in percutaneous image-guided interventions. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2012;23(6):727-736.
7. American College of Radiology. ACR–SPR practice parameter for imaging pregnant or potentially pregnant adolescents and women with ionizing radiation 2013; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Pregnant-Pts.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.

8. American College of Radiology. ACR practice parameter for continuing medical education (CME) 2016; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CME.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
9. American College of Radiology. ACR ASRT joint statement radiologist assistant roles and responsibilities. 2017; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Governance/Digest-of-Council-Actions.pdf>. Accessed February 21, 2018.
10. American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM technical standard for management of the use of radiation in fluoroscopic procedures. 2018; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MgmtFluoroProc.pdf>. Accessed February 21, 2018.
11. American College of Radiology. ACR–SIR–SNIS–SPR practice parameter for interventional clinical practice and management 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/IRClin-Prac-Mgmt.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
12. Lucey BC, Varghese JC, Hochberg A, Blake MA, Soto JA. CT-guided intervention with low radiation dose: feasibility and experience. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007;188(5):1187-1194.
13. American College of Radiology. ACR–SIR practice parameter for sedation/analgesia 2015; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Sed-Analgesia.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
14. Kanal E, Barkovich AJ, Bell C, et al. ACR guidance document on MR safety practices: 2013. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging: JMRI*. 2013;37:501-530.
15. American College of Radiology. ACR–SIR–SPR practice parameter on informed consent for image-guided procedures 2016; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/InformedConsent-ImagGuided.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
16. American College of Radiology. ACR–SIR–SPR practice parameter for the reporting and archiving of interventional radiology procedures 2014; Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Reporting-Archiv.pdf>. Accessed December 9, 2016.
17. American College of Radiology. ACR–AAPM technical standard for diagnostic medical physics performance monitoring of fluoroscopic equipment 2016; Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Technical-Standards/Fluoroscopic_Equipment.pdf. Accessed December 9, 2016.
18. Bandyopadhyay S, Pansare V, Feng J, et al. Frequency and rationale of fine needle aspiration biopsy conversion to core biopsy as a result of onsite evaluation. *Acta Cytol*. 2007;51(2):161-167.
19. Anderson JM, Murchison J, Patel D. CT-guided lung biopsy: factors influencing diagnostic yield and complication rate. *Clinical radiology*. 2003;58(10):791-797.
20. Geraghty PR, Kee ST, McFarlane G, Razavi MK, Sze DY, Dake MD. CT-guided transthoracic needle aspiration biopsy of pulmonary nodules: needle size and pneumothorax rate. *Radiology*. 2003;229(2):475-481.
21. Gupta S, Krishnamurthy S, Broemeling LD, et al. Small (\leq 2-cm) subpleural pulmonary lesions: short-versus long-needle-path CT-guided Biopsy--comparison of diagnostic yields and complications. *Radiology*. 2005;234(2):631-637.
22. Laurent F, Latrabe V, Vergier B, Montaudon M, Vernejoux JM, Dubrez J. CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy of pulmonary nodules smaller than 20 mm: results with an automated 20-gauge coaxial cutting needle. *Clinical radiology*. 2000;55(4):281-287.
23. Ohno Y, Hatabu H, Takenaka D, et al. CT-guided transthoracic needle aspiration biopsy of small ($<$ or $=$ 20 mm) solitary pulmonary nodules. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;180(6):1665-1669.
24. Priola AM, Priola SM, Cataldi A, et al. Accuracy of CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy of lung lesions: factors affecting diagnostic yield. *La Radiologia medica*. 2007;112(8):1142-1159.
25. Tsukada H, Satou T, Iwashima A, Souma T. Diagnostic accuracy of CT-guided automated needle biopsy of lung nodules. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2000;175(1):239-243.
26. Wallace MJ, Krishnamurthy S, Broemeling LD, et al. CT-guided percutaneous fine-needle aspiration biopsy of small ($<$ or $=$ 1-cm) pulmonary lesions. *Radiology*. 2002;225(3):823-828.
27. Yeow KM, Tsay PK, Cheung YC, Lui KW, Pan KT, Chou AS. Factors affecting diagnostic accuracy of CT-guided coaxial cutting needle lung biopsy: retrospective analysis of 631 procedures. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2003;14(5):581-588.
28. Altuntas AO, Slavin J, Smith PJ, et al. Accuracy of computed tomography guided core needle biopsy of musculoskeletal tumours. *ANZ journal of surgery*. 2005;75(4):187-191.
29. Dupuy DE, Rosenberg AE, Punyaratabandhu T, Tan MH, Mankin HJ. Accuracy of CT-guided needle

- biopsy of musculoskeletal neoplasms. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1998;171(3):759-762.
30. Hau A, Kim I, Kattapuram S, et al. Accuracy of CT-guided biopsies in 359 patients with musculoskeletal lesions. *Skeletal radiology*. 2002;31(6):349-353.
 31. Jelinek JS, Murphey MD, Welker JA, et al. Diagnosis of primary bone tumors with image-guided percutaneous biopsy: experience with 110 tumors. *Radiology*. 2002;223(3):731-737.
 32. Logan PM, Connell DG, O'Connell JX, Munk PL, Janzen DL. Image-guided percutaneous biopsy of musculoskeletal tumors: an algorithm for selection of specific biopsy techniques. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1996;166(1):137-141.
 33. Mitsuyoshi G, Naito N, Kawai A, et al. Accurate diagnosis of musculoskeletal lesions by core needle biopsy. *Journal of surgical oncology*. 2006;94(1):21-27.
 34. Shin HJ, Amaral JG, Armstrong D, et al. Image-guided percutaneous biopsy of musculoskeletal lesions in children. *Pediatric radiology*. 2007;37(4):362-369.
 35. Yang YJ, Damron TA. Comparison of needle core biopsy and fine-needle aspiration for diagnostic accuracy in musculoskeletal lesions. *Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine*. 2004;128(7):759-764.
 36. Adler OB, Rosenberger A, Peleg H. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy of mediastinal masses: evaluation of 136 experiences. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1983;140(5):893-896.
 37. Assaad MW, Pantanowitz L, Otis CN. Diagnostic accuracy of image-guided percutaneous fine needle aspiration biopsy of the mediastinum. *Diagnostic cytopathology*. 2007;35(11):705-709.
 38. Sack MJ, Weber RS, Weinstein GS, Chalian AA, Nisenbaum HL, Yousem DM. Image-guided fine-needle aspiration of the head and neck: five years' experience. *Archives of otolaryngology--head & neck surgery*. 1998;124(10):1155-1161.
 39. Sherman PM, Yousem DM, Loevner LA. CT-guided aspirations in the head and neck: assessment of the first 216 cases. *AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology*. 2004;25(9):1603-1607.
 40. Welch TJ, Sheedy PF, 2nd, Stephens DH, Johnson CM, Swensen SJ. Percutaneous adrenal biopsy: review of a 10-year experience. *Radiology*. 1994;193(2):341-344.
 41. Zwischenberger JB, Savage C, Alpard SK, Anderson CM, Marroquin S, Goodacre BW. Mediastinal transthoracic needle and core lymph node biopsy: should it replace mediastinoscopy? *Chest*. 2002;121(4):1165-1170.
 42. Petranovic M, Gilman MD, Muniappan A, et al. Diagnostic Yield of CT-Guided Percutaneous Transthoracic Needle Biopsy for Diagnosis of Anterior Mediastinal Masses. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2015;205(4):774-779.
 43. Zhang HF, Zeng XT, Xing F, Fan N, Liao MY. The diagnostic accuracy of CT-guided percutaneous core needle biopsy and fine needle aspiration in pulmonary lesions: a meta-analysis. *Clinical radiology*. 2016;71(1):e1-10.
 44. Traina F, Errani C, Toscano A, et al. Current concepts in the biopsy of musculoskeletal tumors. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume*. 2015;97(1):e7.
 45. Abel EJ, Carrasco A, Culp SH, et al. Limitations of preoperative biopsy in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: comparison to surgical pathology in 405 cases. *BJU international*. 2012;110(11):1742-1746.
 46. Mally AD, Gayed B, Averch T, Davies B. The current role of percutaneous biopsy of renal masses. *The Canadian journal of urology*. 2012;19(3):6243-6249.
 47. Marconi L, Dabestani S, Lam TB, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Accuracy of Percutaneous Renal Tumour Biopsy. *European urology*. 2016;69(4):660-673.
 48. Witt BL, Schmidt RL. Ultrasound-guided core needle biopsy of salivary gland lesions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Laryngoscope*. 2014;124(3):695-700.
 49. Gupta S, Wallace MJ, Cardella JF, Kundu S, Miller DL, Rose SC. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous needle biopsy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2010;21(7):969-975.
 50. Kim KW, Kim MJ, Kim HC, et al. Value of "patent track" sign on Doppler sonography after percutaneous liver biopsy in detection of postbiopsy bleeding: a prospective study in 352 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007;189(1):109-116.
 51. Schubert P, Wright CA, Louw M, et al. Ultrasound-assisted transthoracic biopsy: cells or sections? *Diagnostic cytopathology*. 2005;33(4):233-237.
 52. Nolsoe C, Nielsen L, Torp-Pedersen S, Holm HH. Major complications and deaths due to interventional ultrasonography: a review of 8000 cases. *J Clin Ultrasound*. 1990;18(3):179-184.
 53. Smith EH. Complications of percutaneous abdominal fine-needle biopsy. *Review. Radiology*. 1991;178(1):253-258.

54. Topal U, Berkman YM. Effect of needle tract bleeding on occurrence of pneumothorax after transthoracic needle biopsy. *Eur J Radiol*. 2005;53(3):495-499.
55. Little AF, Ferris JV, Dodd GD, 3rd, Baron RL. Image-guided percutaneous hepatic biopsy: effect of ascites on the complication rate. *Radiology*. 1996;199(1):79-83.
56. Matsuguma H, Nakahara R, Kondo T, Kamiyama Y, Mori K, Yokoi K. Risk of pleural recurrence after needle biopsy in patients with resected early stage lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2005;80(6):2026-2031.
57. Maturen KE, Nghiem HV, Marrero JA, et al. Lack of tumor seeding of hepatocellular carcinoma after percutaneous needle biopsy using coaxial cutting needle technique. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2006;187(5):1184-1187.
58. Maturen KE, Nghiem HV, Caoili EM, Higgins EG, Wolf JS, Jr., Wood DP, Jr. Renal mass core biopsy: accuracy and impact on clinical management. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007;188(2):563-570.
59. Takamori R, Wong LL, Dang C, Wong L. Needle-tract implantation from hepatocellular cancer: is needle biopsy of the liver always necessary? *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society*. 2000;6(1):67-72.
60. Bach D, Wirth C, Schott G, Hollenbeck M, Grabensee B. Percutaneous renal biopsy: three years of experience with the biopsy gun in 761 cases--a survey of results and complications. *International urology and nephrology*. 1999;31(1):15-22.
61. Burstein DM, Schwartz MM, Korbet SM. Percutaneous renal biopsy with the use of real-time ultrasound. *American journal of nephrology*. 1991;11(3):195-200.
62. Castoldi MC, Del Moro RM, D'Urbano ML, et al. Sonography after renal biopsy: assessment of its role in 230 consecutive cases. *Abdominal imaging*. 1994;19(1):72-77.
63. Christensen J, Lindequist S, Knudsen DU, Pedersen RS. Ultrasound-guided renal biopsy with biopsy gun technique--efficacy and complications. *Acta Radiol*. 1995;36(3):276-279.
64. Hatfield MK, Beres RA, Sane SS, Zaleski GX. Percutaneous imaging-guided solid organ core needle biopsy: coaxial versus noncoaxial method. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2008;190(2):413-417.
65. Hergesell O, Felten H, Andrassy K, Kuhn K, Ritz E. Safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous renal biopsy-retrospective analysis of 1090 consecutive cases. *Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation : official publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association - European Renal Association*. 1998;13(4):975-977.
66. Kolb LG, Velosa JA, Bergstrahl EJ, Offord KP. Percutaneous renal allograft biopsy. A comparison of two needle types and analysis of risk factors. *Transplantation*. 1994;57(12):1742-1746.
67. Manno C, Strippoli GF, Arnesano L, et al. Predictors of bleeding complications in percutaneous ultrasound-guided renal biopsy. *Kidney Int*. 2004;66(4):1570-1577.
68. Marwah DS, Korbet SM. Timing of complications in percutaneous renal biopsy: what is the optimal period of observation? *American journal of kidney diseases: the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation*. 1996;28(1):47-52.
69. Preda A, Van Dijk LC, Van Oostaijen JA, Pattynama PM. Complication rate and diagnostic yield of 515 consecutive ultrasound-guided biopsies of renal allografts and native kidneys using a 14-gauge Biopsy gun. *European radiology*. 2003;13(3):527-530.
70. Song JH, Cronan JJ. Percutaneous biopsy in diffuse renal disease: comparison of 18- and 14-gauge automated biopsy devices. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 1998;9(4):651-655.
71. Stratta P, Canavese C, Marengo M, et al. Risk management of renal biopsy: 1387 cases over 30 years in a single centre. *European journal of clinical investigation*. 2007;37(12):954-963.
72. Tung KT, Downes MO, O'Donnell PJ. Renal biopsy in diffuse renal disease--experience with a 14-gauge automated biopsy gun. *Clinical radiology*. 1992;46(2):111-113.
73. Whittier WL, Korbet SM. Timing of complications in percutaneous renal biopsy. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN*. 2004;15(1):142-147.
74. Wilczek HE. Percutaneous needle biopsy of the renal allograft. A clinical safety evaluation of 1129 biopsies. *Transplantation*. 1990;50(5):790-797.
75. Cadranel JF, Rufat P, Degos F. Practices of liver biopsy in France: results of a prospective nationwide survey. For the Group of Epidemiology of the French Association for the Study of the Liver (AFEF). *Hepatology*. 2000;32(3):477-481.
76. Firpi RJ, Soldevila-Pico C, Abdelmalek MF, Morelli G, Judah J, Nelson DR. Short recovery time after percutaneous liver biopsy: should we change our current practices? *Clinical gastroenterology and*

hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association. 2005;3(9):926-929.

77. Gilmore IT, Burroughs A, Murray-Lyon IM, Williams R, Jenkins D, Hopkins A. Indications, methods, and outcomes of percutaneous liver biopsy in England and Wales: an audit by the British Society of Gastroenterology and the Royal College of Physicians of London. *Gut.* 1995;36(3):437-441.
78. Janes CH, Lindor KD. Outcome of patients hospitalized for complications after outpatient liver biopsy. *Annals of internal medicine.* 1993;118(2):96-98.
79. Lindor KD, Bru C, Jorgensen RA, et al. The role of ultrasonography and automatic-needle biopsy in outpatient percutaneous liver biopsy. *Hepatology.* 1996;23(5):1079-1083.
80. McGill DB, Rakela J, Zinsmeister AR, Ott BJ. A 21-year experience with major hemorrhage after percutaneous liver biopsy. *Gastroenterology.* 1990;99(5):1396-1400.
81. Myers RP, Fong A, Shaheen AA. Utilization rates, complications and costs of percutaneous liver biopsy: a population-based study including 4275 biopsies. *Liver international : official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver.* 2008;28(5):705-712.
82. Riemann B, Menzel J, Schiemann U, Domschke W, Konturek JW. Ultrasound-guided biopsies of abdominal organs with an automatic biopsy system. A retrospective analysis of the quality of biopsies and of hemorrhagic complications. *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology.* 2000;35(1):102-107.
83. Younossi ZM, Teran JC, Ganiats TG, Carey WD. Ultrasound-guided liver biopsy for parenchymal liver disease: an economic analysis. *Digestive diseases and sciences.* 1998;43(1):46-50.
84. Cavanna L, Lazzaro A, Vallisa D, Civardi G, Artioli F. Role of image-guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy in the management of patients with splenic metastasis. *World journal of surgical oncology.* 2007;5:13.
85. Kang M, Kalra N, Gulati M, Lal A, Kochhar R, Rajwanshi A. Image guided percutaneous splenic interventions. *Eur J Radiol.* 2007;64(1):140-146.
86. Lucey BC, Boland GW, Maher MM, Hahn PF, Gervais DA, Mueller PR. Percutaneous nonvascular splenic intervention: a 10-year review. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;179(6):1591-1596.
87. Tam A, Krishnamurthy S, Pillsbury EP, et al. Percutaneous image-guided splenic biopsy in the oncology patient: an audit of 156 consecutive cases. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2008;19(1):80-87.
88. Venkataramu NK, Gupta S, Sood BP, et al. Ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration biopsy of splenic lesions. *The British journal of radiology.* 1999;72(862):953-956.
89. Ayar D, Golla B, Lee JY, Nath H. Needle-track metastasis after transthoracic needle biopsy. *Journal of thoracic imaging.* 1998;13(1):2-6.
90. Chang S, Kim SH, Lim HK, Lee WJ, Choi D, Lim JH. Needle tract implantation after sonographically guided percutaneous biopsy of hepatocellular carcinoma: evaluation of doubling time, frequency, and features on CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005;185(2):400-405.
91. Chapoutot C, Perney P, Fabre D, et al. [Needle-tract seeding after ultrasound-guided puncture of hepatocellular carcinoma. A study of 150 patients]. *Gastroenterologie clinique et biologique.* 1999;23(5):552-556.
92. Durand F, Regimbeau JM, Belghiti J, et al. Assessment of the benefits and risks of percutaneous biopsy before surgical resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of hepatology.* 2001;35(2):254-258.
93. Huang GT, Sheu JC, Yang PM, Lee HS, Wang TH, Chen DS. Ultrasound-guided cutting biopsy for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma--a study based on 420 patients. *Journal of hepatology.* 1996;25(3):334-338.
94. Kim SH, Lim HK, Lee WJ, Cho JM, Jang HJ. Needle-tract implantation in hepatocellular carcinoma: frequency and CT findings after biopsy with a 19.5-gauge automated biopsy gun. *Abdominal imaging.* 2000;25(3):246-250.
95. Kosugi C, Furuse J, Ishii H, et al. Needle tract implantation of hepatocellular carcinoma and pancreatic carcinoma after ultrasound-guided percutaneous puncture: clinical and pathologic characteristics and the treatment of needle tract implantation. *World journal of surgery.* 2004;28(1):29-32.
96. Shuto T, Yamamoto T, Tanaka S, et al. Resection of needle-tract implantation after percutaneous puncture for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of gastroenterology.* 2004;39(9):907-908.
97. Stigliano R, Marelli L, Yu D, Davies N, Patch D, Burroughs AK. Seeding following percutaneous diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for hepatocellular carcinoma. What is the risk and the outcome? Seeding risk for percutaneous approach of HCC. *Cancer treatment reviews.* 2007;33(5):437-447.
98. Covey AM, Gandhi R, Brody LA, Getrajdman G, Thaler HT, Brown KT. Factors associated with pneumothorax and pneumothorax requiring treatment after percutaneous lung biopsy in 443 consecutive

- patients. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2004;15(5):479-483.
99. Heck SL, Blom P, Berstad A. Accuracy and complications in computed tomography fluoroscopy-guided needle biopsies of lung masses. *European radiology*. 2006;16(6):1387-1392.
 100. Tomiyama N, Yasuhara Y, Nakajima Y, et al. CT-guided needle biopsy of lung lesions: a survey of severe complication based on 9783 biopsies in Japan. *Eur J Radiol*. 2006;59(1):60-64.
 101. Yamagami T, Kato T, Hirota T, Yoshimatsu R, Matsumoto T, Nishimura T. Duration of pneumothorax as a complication of CT-guided lung biopsy. *Australas Radiol*. 2006;50(5):435-441.
 102. Yeow KM, Su IH, Pan KT, et al. Risk factors of pneumothorax and bleeding: multivariate analysis of 660 CT-guided coaxial cutting needle lung biopsies. *Chest*. 2004;126(3):748-754.
 103. Hiraki T, Mimura H, Gobara H, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for pneumothorax and chest tube placement after CT fluoroscopy-guided percutaneous lung biopsy: retrospective analysis of the procedures conducted over a 9-year period. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010;194(3):809-814.
 104. Nakamura M, Yoshizako T, Koyama S, Kitagaki H. Risk factors influencing chest tube placement among patients with pneumothorax because of CT-guided needle biopsy of the lung. *Journal of medical imaging and radiation oncology*. 2011;55(5):474-478.
 105. Wagner JM, Hinshaw JL, Lubner MG, et al. CT-guided lung biopsies: pleural blood patching reduces the rate of chest tube placement for postbiopsy pneumothorax. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;197(4):783-788.
 106. Brown KT, Brody LA, Getrajdman GI, Napp TE. Outpatient treatment of iatrogenic pneumothorax after needle biopsy. *Radiology*. 1997;205(1):249-252.
 107. Gupta S, Hicks ME, Wallace MJ, Ahrar K, Madoff DC, Murthy R. Outpatient management of postbiopsy pneumothorax with small-caliber chest tubes: factors affecting the need for prolonged drainage and additional interventions. *Cardiovascular and interventional radiology*. 2008;31(2):342-348.
 108. Sinner WN. Complications of percutaneous transthoracic needle aspiration biopsy. *Acta radiologica: diagnosis*. 1976;17(6):813-828.
 109. Kazerooni EA, Lim FT, Mikhail A, Martinez FJ. Risk of pneumothorax in CT-guided transthoracic needle aspiration biopsy of the lung. *Radiology*. 1996;198(2):371-375.
 110. Khan MF, Straub R, Moghaddam SR, et al. Variables affecting the risk of pneumothorax and intrapulmonary hemorrhage in CT-guided transthoracic biopsy. *European radiology*. 2008;18(7):1356-1363.
 111. Laurent F, Latrabe V, Vergier B, Michel P. Percutaneous CT-guided biopsy of the lung: comparison between aspiration and automated cutting needles using a coaxial technique. *Cardiovascular and interventional radiology*. 2000;23(4):266-272.
 112. Poe RH, Kallay MC, Wicks CM, Odoroff CL. Predicting risk of pneumothorax in needle biopsy of the lung. *Chest*. 1984;85(2):232-235.
 113. Saji H, Nakamura H, Tsuchida T, et al. The incidence and the risk of pneumothorax and chest tube placement after percutaneous CT-guided lung biopsy: the angle of the needle trajectory is a novel predictor. *Chest*. 2002;121(5):1521-1526.
 114. Topal U, Ediz B. Transthoracic needle biopsy: factors effecting risk of pneumothorax. *Eur J Radiol*. 2003;48(3):263-267.
 115. Yeow KM, See LC, Lui KW, et al. Risk factors for pneumothorax and bleeding after CT-guided percutaneous coaxial cutting needle biopsy of lung lesions. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2001;12(11):1305-1312.
 116. Yildirim E, Kirbas I, Harman A, et al. CT-guided cutting needle lung biopsy using modified coaxial technique: factors effecting risk of complications. *Eur J Radiol*. 2009;70(1):57-60.

**Society of Interventional Radiology
Standards of Practice Committee
Classification of Complications by Outcome**

Minor Complications

- A. No therapy, no consequence.
- B. Nominal therapy, no consequence; includes overnight admission for observation only.

Major Complications

- A. Require therapy, minor hospitalization (\leq 48 hours).
- B. Require major therapy, unplanned increase in level of care, prolonged hospitalization ($>$ 48 hours).
- C. Permanent adverse sequelae.
- D. Death.

*Practice parameters and technical standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council. For practice parameters and technical standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the practice parameter or technical standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Practice Parameter

- Adopted 1994 (Resolution 4)
- Amended 1995 (Resolution 24, 53)
- Revised 1999 (Resolution 8)
- Revised 2004 (Resolution 28)
- Amended 2006 (Resolution 16g, 17, 34, 35, 36)
- Amended 2007 (Resolution 12m, 38)
- Revised 2008 (Resolution 14)
- Amended 2009 (Resolution 11)
- Revised 2013 (Resolution 35)
- Amended 2014 (Resolution 39)
- Revised 2018 (Resolution 14)
- Amended 2018 (Resolution 44)
- Amended 2019 (Resolution 23)
- Amended 2020 (Resolution 8)
- Amended 2022 (Resolution 41f)