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ACR–ACNM PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF FLUORINE-18 FLUCICLOVINE PET/CT FOR RECURRENT PROSTATE CANCER

PREAMBLE

This document is an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. Practice Parameters and Technical Standards are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care\(^1\). For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology and our collaborating medical specialty societies caution against the use of these documents in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question. The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the practitioner in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidance in this document, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in this document when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of this document. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from the guidance in this document is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to the guidance in this document will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

\(^1\) Iowa Medical Society and Iowa Society of Anesthesiologists v. Iowa Board of Nursing, ___ N.W.2d ___ (Iowa 2013) Iowa Supreme Court refuses to find that the ACR Technical Standard for Management of the Use of Radiation in Fluoroscopic Procedures (Revised 2008) sets a national standard for who may perform fluoroscopic procedures in light of the standard’s stated purpose that ACR standards are educational tools and not intended to establish a legal standard of care. See also, Stanley v. McCarver, 63 P.3d 1076 (Ariz. App. 2003) where in a concurring opinion the Court stated that “published standards or guidelines of specialty medical organizations are useful in determining the duty owed or the standard of care applicable in a given situation” even though ACR standards themselves do not establish the standard of care.
I. INTRODUCTION

This practice parameter has been developed collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the American College of Nuclear Medicine (ACNM).

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer in American men and the third leading cause of cancer death. One in 7 men will develop prostate cancer during his lifetime, and about 1 man in 39 will die from it [1]. Despite initial definitive local therapy, 20% to 50% of patients may have recurrence [2-4]. Conventional imaging of prostate cancer has a limitation in the detection and localization of recurrent disease due to indolent disease biology [5,6]. Thus, different molecular techniques such as targeting amino acid transport have been investigated for the evaluation of recurrent prostate cancer [7].

Amino acids are in demand for cell metabolism and are the building blocks of proteins [8]. The amino acid transporter systems are upregulated in prostate cancer cells, predominantly large neutral amino acid transporter systems (LAT1, LAT3, and LAT4) and alanine-serine-cysteine transporter systems (ASCT1, ASCT2) [9-15]. The overexpression of ASCT2 and LAT1 is associated with more aggressive disease, while the overexpression of ASCT2 and LAT3 is stimulated by androgen signaling in androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells [10,13,14,16,17]. Although prostate cancer imaging can be performed with naturally occurring amino acid, (such as C11-methionine), this is not optimal because of increased metabolites and decreased tumor-to-background ratio [7].

Fluciclovine (anti-1-amino-3-F-18-fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid, FACBC, Axumin™) is an artificial amino acid with the most comprehensive clinical studies for detection of prostate cancer to date [18-28]. (The official international nomenclature for the radiotracer is “fluciclovine (F18),” but it will be referred to as “fluciclovine” in this document.) Fluciclovine is predominantly transported via transport systems ASCT2 and LAT1 [16]. Due to influx and efflux of the amino acid via the transporters, there is a declining time activity curve, and peak uptake of the tracer in the tumor will occur quickly and early at 5 to 20 minutes postinjection with a variable rate of washout. Fluciclovine has demonstrated great utility in the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer [27].

Fluciclovine diagnostic performance was found to be superior to In-111-Indium-capromab-pendetide and computed tomography in the diagnosis and localization of prostate cancer recurrence. A single-center study of 115 patients reported overall positive scans (positivity rate) of 82.8% [27]. Biopsy was used as the primary reference standard. Diagnostic performance for prostate/prostate bed recurrence demonstrated 90.2% sensitivity, 40.0% specificity, 73.6% accuracy, 75.3% positive predictive value (PPV), and 66.7% negative predictive value (NPV). Diagnostic performance for extraprostatic recurrence was 55.0%, 96.7%, 72.9%, 95.7%, and 61.7%, respectively. Overall, the localization of extraprostatic lesions was found to be more specific than that of local recurrence with a lower false positive rate. For the evaluation of skeletal lesions, no dedicated analysis was performed to date. According to investigators’ overall experience, fluciclovine demonstrates intense focal uptake in lytic prostate cancer lesions, moderate uptake within mixed sclerotic lesions, and may be absent in dense sclerotic lesions [22,26,29-31]. The fluciclovine scan should not replace bone scintigraphy (technetium-99m-MDP or F18-NaF) for the evaluation of bone metastatic lesion.

Fluciclovine PET/CT scan was approved by the FDA in May of 2016 for the imaging of patients with suspected prostate cancer recurrence based on the elevation of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level (biochemical failure) [32].

II. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this practice parameter, the following definitions apply:

PET/CT scanner: A hybrid device that includes a single patient table for acquiring a PET scan and CT scan in sequential fashion. If the patient stays reasonably immobile between the scans, the PET and CT data are aligned and can be accurately fused.
PET/CT acquisitions: The extent of imaging field of view from the mid thighs to the skull base is considered standard. Extension of field of view can be considered if clinically indicated.

PET/CT registration: The process of aligning PET and CT image sets that represent the same body volume such that there is a voxel-by-voxel match for the purpose of attenuation correction as well as combined image display (fusion).

PET/CT fusion: The simultaneous display (superimposed or not) of registered PET and CT image sets. When superimposed, the image sets are typically displayed with the PET data color-coded onto the grayscale CT data.

III. INDICATIONS

Fluciclovine PET/CT imaging is indicated for patients with the suspicion of recurrent prostate cancer based on the elevation of PSA level following prior treatment.

IV. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

A. Physician

Physicians’ qualifications and responsibilities are detailed in the ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for Performing FDG-PET/CT in Oncology [33].

B. Qualified Medical Physicist

Qualified Medical Physicists’ qualifications and responsibilities are detailed in the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of PET/CT Imaging Equipment [34].

C. Radiologic and Nuclear Medicine Technologist

Technologists’ qualifications and responsibilities are detailed in the ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for Performing FDG-PET/CT in Oncology [33].

D. Radiation Safety Officer

The Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) must meet applicable requirements of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for training as specified in 10 CFR 35.50, or equivalent state regulations [35].

V. FLUCICLOVINE PET/CT EXAMINATION SPECIFICATIONS

A. Written Request

The written or electronic request for fluciclovine PET/CT for recurrent prostate cancer examination should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately
licensed health care provider familiar with the patient’s clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2016, Resolution 12-b)

B. Patient Preparation

The major goals of preparation are to minimize radiopharmaceutical uptake in normal tissues while maintaining uptake in target tissues (neoplastic disease). The preparation should include, but not be limited to, the following:

1. Appointment:
   a. Instruct patients to avoid strenuous activity for 24 hours prior to fluciclovine injection.
   b. A minimum fasting for 4 hours before the study, except for small amounts of water to take medication.

2. Prior to fluciclovine injection:
   a. Obtain a focused history that includes:
      i. Reason for examination (symptoms, diagnoses, and recent imaging examinations)
      ii. Treatment (surgical, radiation, and/or chemotherapy)
      iii. Medications
      iv. Recent trauma/exercise
      v. Presence of concurrent infection
      vi. Specific details and dates should be obtained whenever possible
      vii. PSA level

C. Radiopharmaceutical

Fluciclovine average administered activity is 10 mCi. It is given intravenously in a maximum recommended volume of 5 mL, using 0.9% sodium chloride for volume adjustment.

The specific administered activity depends upon the local imaging protocol. The local protocol may require a standard activity or the activity may vary as a function of various parameters such as patient size, scanning mode (2-D versus 3-D), percentage of scan bed (slice) overlap, clinical indication, or other factors.

The radiation dose to the patient is the combination of the dose from the radiopharmaceutical and the dose from the CT portion of the examination. Lower administered activities or changes in CT parameters resulting in decreased radiation dose may be appropriate with advances in PET/CT technology.

When feasible, the radiopharmaceutical should be injected intravenously at a site away from sites of known or suspected disease.

D. CT Imaging Component

   Protocol for CT Imaging

The CT performed as part of a PET/CT examination provides attenuation-correction information and diagnostic information that may be relevant to both PET interpretation and overall patient care.

A variety of protocols exist for performing the CT scan in the context of PET/CT scanning, but in general a higher-quality CT acquisition for anatomic correlation and attenuation correction is recommended with fluciclovine. Although the exact cutoff between high- and low-dose CT may be scanner and site specific, the image quality provided, for example, with a 100 mAs CT is preferred over a 40 mAs CT. Regardless of the CT technique used, a careful review of CT images is necessary for comprehensive interpretation of the PET/CT examination.

Gastrointestinal contrast media may be administered to improve visualization unless medically contraindicated. This may be positive contrast media such as diluted barium sulfate or diatrizoic acid or
negative-contrast media such as water. Highly concentrated barium collections may result in an attenuation-correction artifact that leads to a significant overestimation of the regional radiopharmaceutical concentration and should be avoided [36]; dilute barium sulfate and oral iodinated contrast media cause fewer artifacts [36-39]. It is recommended that because of the potential for variant bladder activity, the patient should be instructed to withhold from voiding immediately before being placed in the PET/CT scanner. It is best to have the patient void before oral contrast administration approximately 30 minutes before scanning. It is currently believed that a relatively distended bladder may mitigate occasional fluciclovine excretion. Yet, consideration should of course be made for patient comfort while on the PET/CT table.

The patient should be positioned supine with arms above the head. If a patient cannot tolerate this position, a more comfortable position may be utilized in order to maximize immobility and comfort.

When indicated, the CT scan can be performed with intravenous contrast media using appropriate injection techniques. High intravascular concentrations of intravenous contrast media may cause a localized attenuation-correction artifact on the PET image [37,40], but the impact is usually limited [37,41]. If intravenous contrast use is standard at a site, this should be done after acquisition of the fluciclovine PET/CT so as not to increase radiopharmaceutical bladder excretion due to the diuretic effect of the contrast media.

Breathing patterns during CT acquisition should be optimized so that the positions of the diaphragm on the PET and the CT images match as closely as possible.

If a single-breath-hold technique is used for CT imaging, optimal alignment of the PET and CT images is obtained with respiration suspended in the shallow end–expiratory (end–tidal volume) phase. If respiration is not suspended during CT imaging, the patient should be coached on shallow/quiet breathing. To optimize breathing pattern, gating of the PET and/or CT could be beneficial.

E. PET Imaging Component

Protocol for PET Imaging

Images should be obtained 3 to 5 minutes (target 4 minutes) following radiopharmaceutical administration. Because of the relatively rapid kinetics of fluciclovine, tumor to normal tissue activity is highest 4 to 10 minutes after injection. If the scan is started too early, biodistribution will be altered with increased blood pool. If too late, there may be increased muscle activity. This potential for altered biodistribution should be taken into account during interpretation.

Imaging guidelines recommend 5 minutes per bed position acquisition in the pelvis and 3 to 5 minutes per bed position in the remainder of the body, but these suggestions are entirely scanner dependent. An example of a successful scanning protocol on a modern time-of-flight instrument is provided below. Scanning should start from mid to upper thigh and proceed to base of the skull, with a total scan time of approximately 20 to 30 minutes. Starting acquisition caudally for the indication of suspected recurrent prostate cancer is especially critical with fluciclovine due to its specific kinetics [26].

Imaging protocols should be optimized for imaging equipment–specific recommendations. Consultation with a medical physicist may be helpful to optimize image quality on any specific scanner.

Estimation of radiopharmaceutical accumulation using the standardized uptake value (SUV) is based on local radioactivity concentration measured on images corrected for attenuation and normalized for the injected activity and body weight, lean body mass, or body surface area. The accuracy of SUV measurements depends on the accuracy of the calibration of the PET device, among other factors. As the SUV is becoming a more common value for determining tumor response over time, measures should be taken to minimize the factors that may affect it. These include using the same scanner configuration on subsequent examinations (including reconstruction algorithms, attenuation maps, etc), maintaining the same interval between injection and scanning, avoiding infiltration of injected activity, and using the same measurement techniques [42].
Recording changes in the intensity of radiopharmaceutical uptake with SUV measurements, expressed in absolute values and percent changes, may be appropriate in some clinical scenarios. However, the technical protocol and analysis of images needs to be consistent in the 2 data sets.

F. PET/CT Fluciclovine Sample Protocol:

Optimally the study would be performed by 2 technologists or other qualified personnel.

1. Position patient supine on scanner table with arms above head. Ensure maximal comfort for the patient. If patient cannot tolerate this position for the duration of the study, a different arm position may be chosen.
2. Obtain topogram to define the region to be scanned by CT and PET.
3. Bring patient out of scanner (being careful not to move patient or shift position) and have patient place arms at side. Have stopwatch ready.
4. Inject fluciclovine as intravenous bolus and flush with no less than 10 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride solution. At the time of injection, start stopwatch.
5. After completing the injection and starting stopwatch, ask the patient to raise the arms above the head in the same position for CT and PET. CT will be performed from mid to upper thighs below ischium to skull base (be sure to set the thigh level first and then adjust the number of beds to cover or get through the skull base).
6. Start CT scan with scanning in the craniocaudal direction. To diminish breathing artifacts, patient is instructed to perform quiet tidal breathing while scanning through the diaphragm.
7. At 4 minutes on stopwatch, start PET emission scan, with scanning in the caudocranial direction (from below ischium to skull base).
8. After completion of the scan, the patient should be removed from the scanner and encouraged to void before leaving the PET facility. The patient should be encouraged to drink plenty of fluids and void frequently throughout the day.

Note that in case of specific workflow and equipment challenges with a single technologist present, it would also be possible to acquire the CT first, and then inject fluciclovine and proceed to emission acquisition, but this would have greater risk of misregistration between CT and PET as the arm would have to be moved after CT for injection of fluciclovine.

G. Interpretation

With integrated PET/CT systems, the software packages typically provide a comprehensive platform for image review, including registered and aligned CT images, fluciclovine PET images with and without attenuation correction, and PET/CT fusion images in the axial, coronal, and sagittal planes. In addition, maximum-intensity-projection (MIP) images of the PET examination should be generated for review.

No absolute PSA threshold is recommended for fluciclovine imaging; however, positive fluciclovine uptake is more likely with PSA >1 ng/mL with rapidly rising PSA kinetics before it reached 1 ng/mL. Fluciclovine PET/CT scan may be especially useful before salvage therapy for accurate treatment planning. Fluciclovine PET/CT should be interpreted with knowledge of typical locations for prostate cancer recurrence (eg, prostatectomy bed and deep pelvic lymph nodes versus peripheral inguinal or distal external iliac nodes).

Although the pattern of fluciclovine uptake and associated CT findings as well as correlation with history, physical examination, and other imaging modalities are usually the most helpful in differentiating benign from malignant lesions, SUV measurement may also be utilized in sites typical for prostate cancer with comparison to nontarget tissue backgrounds (eg, lumbar spine bone marrow and blood pool).

Abnormal positive uptake in soft tissue will be defined as uptake visually clearly above that of the bone marrow (preferred L3 vertebrae) for lesions >1 cm. Soft-tissue lesions smaller than 1 cm and subject to partial volume
effect and in a suspicious location, may still be considered suspicious if uptake is visually equal to or approaches marrow and significantly greater than blood pool [26].

1. Diagnostic criteria of prostate cancer recurrence in sites typical for recurrent prostate cancer:
   a. Prostatectomy bed and seminal vesicles
      - Focal uptake greater than bone marrow should be considered suspicious for cancer.
      - If anatomical correlate for a focus of fluciclovine uptake is small (<1 cm) and if the uptake approaches marrow and is significantly greater than blood pool, it may also be considered suspicious for cancer.
   b. Prostate
      - Focal asymmetric uptake equal to or greater than bone marrow should be considered suspicious for cancer recurrence, as above. If anatomical correlate for a focus of asymmetric fluciclovine uptake is small (<1 cm) and if the uptake approaches marrow and is significantly greater than blood pool, it can also be considered suspicious for cancer.
      - If the uptake is diffuse and homogenous, apply a threshold of significantly greater than marrow (visually apparent).
      - Note that anecdotally, median lobe uptake (central base invaginating into bladder) has a higher false positivity.
   c. Lymph nodes
      - Uptake in lymph nodes >1 cm with a distribution typical for recurrent prostate cancer, greater than bone marrow as above, should be considered suspicious for cancer.
      - If a lymph node is small (<1 cm), is located in a distribution typical for recurrence, and has uptake that approaches marrow and is significantly greater than blood pool, it is also suspicious for cancer.
      - If uptake is seen in lymph nodes with an atypical location for recurrence (eg, inguinal, distal external iliac, hilar, and axillary nodes) it may be considered suspicious for recurrence if seen in the context of other clearly malignant disease. Otherwise, mild symmetric uptake in atypical lymph nodes may be considered physiologic.
   d. Bones
      - Focal uptake clearly visualized on MIP or PET images is considered suspicious for cancer. Fluciclovine uptake in lytic metastatic lesions is typically intense and has moderate intensity in mixed sclerotic lesions.
      - A bone abnormality visualized on CT only (eg, dense sclerosis without uptake) is considered nonspecific and does not exclude the presence of metastasis; CT findings without fluciclovine uptake may be further evaluated with alternative imaging modalities for further characterization (eg, MRI, F18-NaF PET/CT, technetium-99m MDP SPECT-CT).
      - Degenerative disk and facet uptake may be seen but is less common and intense than F18-FDG uptake. Focal uptake in what initially appears to be a Schmorl node with irregular borders and which on follow-up manifests as a prostate metastasis have been described.

Tissues other than neoplastic disease may show substantial physiologic fluciclovine uptake (eg, liver and pancreas). Alternatively, other conditions may lead to poor fluciclovine uptake in neoplastic tissue. The following list includes situations in which fluciclovine uptake is caused by processes other than prostate cancer and in which fluciclovine uptake does not occur despite the presence of recurrent prostate cancer:

1. Typical tissue with physiologic uptake:
   - Pituitary gland has moderate uptake
   - Salivary glands and lymphoid tissue of Waldeyer’s ring have moderate symmetric uptake
   - Thyroid gland may have mild diffuse uptake
   - Breast parenchyma has mild diffuse uptake that may be absent or less than blood pool with increasing fatty changes
• Esophagus and stomach have mild to moderate uptake, more frequently involving the distal esophagus and gastroesophageal junction
• Liver and pancreas have diffuse intense physiologic uptake.
• Renal parenchyma has mild to moderate uptake.
• Physiologic mild to moderate perirethral activity is common. Sagittal images can help differentiate physiologic uptake in the urethra from disease in the prostatectomy bed.
• Urinary bladder wall has mild diffuse uptake.
• Adrenal glands have mild diffuse unilateral or bilateral uptake. A subset of patients may have intense unilateral or bilateral uptake, which does not imply pathology
• Small and large bowel has mild to moderate uptake.
• Bone marrow and muscle (cardiac and skeletal) may have heterogeneous activity. In particular, bone marrow activity is more heterogeneous than is typically seen with F18-FDG PET.
• It is common to see retention of radiotracer in the axillary, subclavian vein, or other venous structures on side of injection, and can be differentiated from nodal disease by correlation on PET/CT.

2. Causes of potential false-positive fluciclovine PET/CT interpretation:
   a. Prostate
      • Benign prostatic hypertrophy
      • Acute and chronic inflammation, including after radiation
      • Infection
      • Higher false positive rates are seen in patients with an intact prostate
   b. Lymph nodes
      • Infection and inflammation, especially if symmetric and in atypical locations for prostate cancer spread
      • Nodal disease from extraprostatic malignancies. Fluciclovine can be taken up by other cancer cells with upregulated amino acid transport (eg, breast cancer, colon cancer, lymphoma).
   c. Musculoskeletal system
      • Benign and malignant bone lesions (eg, osteoid osteoma and multiple myeloma) have variable uptake.
      • Mild uptake may be seen in degenerative disk and facet disease, but this finding is less common and less intense than usually seen with FDG.
      • Cutaneous and musculoskeletal inflammation has variable uptake
      • Intense though benign activity within a joint or at a muscular insertion has occasionally been observed.
   d. Extraprostatic tumors or neoplasms
      • Primary brain tumors (eg, glioma, meningioma) and brain metastases have variable uptake that is usually greater than brain parenchyma. Physiologic brain parenchyma has uptake less than blood pool.
      • Pituitary and adrenal adenomas can have focal uptake greater than surrounding tissue
      • Breast, lung, colon, and other carcinomas
      • Lymphoma
      • Any focal uptake in renal masses should be considered suspicious for malignancy. Papillary renal cell carcinoma has been shown to have increased uptake, whereas clear cell carcinoma has uptake equal to renal parenchyma.

3. Causes of potential false-negative fluciclovine PET/CT interpretation:
   a. Low PSA levels
      • Fluciclovine PET performance is affected by PSA levels and doubling time. Fluciclovine PET is less likely to be positive in patients with a PSA <1 ng/mL unless doubling time is rapid.
   b. Bone
      • Densely sclerotic metastatic lesions may have no fluciclovine uptake
   c. Early bladder activity in a small percentage of patients can interfere with evaluation of the prostatectomy bed, prostate, and seminal vesicles
VI. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

See the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of PET/CT Imaging Equipment, the ACR–ASNR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Computed Tomography (CT) of the Extracranial Head and Neck, the ACR–SCBT-MR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Thoracic Computed Tomography (CT), and the ACR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Computed Tomography (CT) of the Abdomen and Computed Tomography (CT) of the Pelvis [34,43-45]. The equipment specifications for the performance of fluorine-18 fluciclovine PET/CT are the same as for FDG-PET/CT.

A. Performance Guidelines

For patient imaging, the PET/CT scanner should meet or exceed the following specifications:

1. For the PET scanner
   a. In-plane spatial resolution: <6.5 mm
   b. Axial resolution: <6.5 mm
   c. Sensitivity (3-D): >4.0 cps/kBq
   d. Sensitivity (2-D): >1.0 cps/kBq
   e. Uniformity: <5%

2. For the CT scanner
   a. Spiral scan time: <5 seconds (<2 seconds is preferable)
   b. Slice thickness and collimation: <5 mm (<2 mm is preferable)
   c. Limiting spatial resolution: >8 lp/cm for >32-cm display field of view (DFOV) and >10 lp/cm for <24-cm DFOV

3. For the combined PET/CT scanner
   a. Maximum coscan range (CT and PET): >160 cm
   b. Maximum patient weight: >350 lb
   c. Patient port diameter: >59 cm

B. Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat adverse reactions associated with administered medications, to include iodinated contrast media. The equipment and medications should be monitored for inventory and drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and sizes in the patient population.

C. A fusion workstation with the capability to display PET, CT, and fused images with different percentages of PET and CT blending should also be available. The workstation should also have the capability to measure SUVs with volumetric regions of interest (ROIs).

D. PET/CT scanning done specifically for radiation therapy planning should be performed with a flat table top, immobilization devices as needed, and the use of appropriate positioning systems in order to best match patient positioning during radiation therapy.

VII. DOCUMENTATION

A. Reporting should be in accordance with the ACR Practice Parameter for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings [46].

The report should include the radiopharmaceutical, administered activity and route of administration, as well as any other pharmaceuticals administered, also with dosage and route of administration.
The technique section of the report should include the radiopharmaceutical (e.g., 18F-Fluciclovine), the administered activity, route, and site of administration. The patient weight, time from injection to scanning, and technique for calculating SUVs (i.e., body weight, lean body weight, or body surface area) should also be reported.

Details of oral or intravenous contrast agents, if used for the CT attenuation-correction portion of the examination, should also be reported to include the volume and route of administration. Other information relevant to contrast administration, such as steroid preparation, prehydration, or dialysis history, should be included. The report should also include documentation of contrast reactions and subsequent treatment, if observed during the examination.

The history section should include the clinical indications for the examination (e.g., biochemical recurrence of disease) as well as available information regarding prior treatments (e.g., prostatectomy, brachytherapy, XRT), level and date of concerning PSA, and any details of suspicious abnormalities identified on prior imaging studies (e.g., new adenopathy on CT abdomen/pelvis or MRI pelvis, indeterminate lesions on bone scan).

The findings section should include a description of the location, extent, and intensity of abnormal radiopharmaceutical avidity in relation to normal comparable tissues (bone marrow and blood pool) and should describe the relevant morphologic findings on the CT images. Ideally, anatomic abnormalities related to areas of abnormal radiopharmaceutical avidity should be compared to prior cross-sectional examinations when available and the image with series numbers should also be included. Optionally, lesion/background ratio may be reported but a recommended absolute cutoff ratio has not been established. Often injection-site infiltrates, such as in arms, or attenuation-correction errors can significantly alter radiopharmaceutical uptake in lesions, leading to false conclusions and therefore should be used as an adjunct to the qualitative assessment of lesion avidity.

If the CT scan was requested and performed as a diagnostic examination, the CT component of the examination should be reported separately to satisfy regulatory, administrative, or reimbursement requirements. In that case, the PET/CT report should refer to the diagnostic CT scan report for findings not related to the PET/CT combined findings [47-49]. Even if the CT scan was not requested as a diagnostic examination, clinically important non-oncologic findings (e.g., pneumothorax, aortic aneurysm, bowel obstruction, pneumoperitoneum, fracture) on the CT scan should be reported.

VIII. EQUIPMENT QUALITY CONTROL

PET performance monitoring should be in accordance with the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Nuclear Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Gamma Cameras and the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of PET/CT Imaging Equipment [34,50].

CT monitoring should be in accordance with the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Computed Tomography (CT) Equipment [51].

The quality control (QC) procedures for 18F-Fluciclovine PET/CT should include both the PET procedures and the CT procedures according to the ACR Technical Standards. The equipment QC for 18F-Fluciclovine PET/CT are the same as for fluorine-18 FDG-PET/CT. The QC procedures for PET should include a calibration measurement of activity in a phantom containing a known radiopharmaceutical concentration, generally as a function of axial position within the scanner field of view. The QC procedures for the CT should include air and water calibrations in Hounsfield units for a range of kV. A daily check on the stability of the individual detectors should also be performed to identify detector failures and drifts.

In addition, for PET/CT, the alignment between the PET and CT scanners should be checked periodically. Such a check should determine an offset between the PET and CT scanners that is incorporated into the fused image display to ensure accurate image alignment.
IX. RADIATION SAFETY IN IMAGING

Radiologists, medical physicists, registered radiologist assistants, radiologic technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility for safety in the workplace by keeping radiation exposure to staff, and to society as a whole, “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) and to assure that radiation doses to individual patients are appropriate, taking into account the possible risk from radiation exposure and the diagnostic image quality necessary to achieve the clinical objective. All personnel that work with ionizing radiation must understand the key principles of occupational and public radiation protection (justification, optimization of protection and application of dose limits) and the principles of proper management of radiation dose to patients (justification, optimization and the use of dose reference levels)


Nationally developed guidelines, such as the ACR Appropriateness Criteria®, should be used to help choose the most appropriate imaging procedures to prevent unwarranted radiation exposure.

Facilities should have and adhere to policies and procedures that require varying ionizing radiation examination protocols (plain radiography, fluoroscopy, interventional radiology, CT) to take into account patient body habitus (such as patient dimensions, weight, or body mass index) to optimize the relationship between minimal radiation dose and adequate image quality. Automated dose reduction technologies available on imaging equipment should be used whenever appropriate. If such technology is not available, appropriate manual techniques should be used.

Additional information regarding patient radiation safety in imaging is available at the Image Gently® for children (www.imagegently.org) and Image Wisely® for adults (www.imagewisely.org) websites. These advocacy and awareness campaigns provide free educational materials for all stakeholders involved in imaging (patients, technologists, referring providers, medical physicists, and radiologists).

Radiation exposures or other dose indices should be measured and patient radiation dose estimated for representative examinations and types of patients by a Qualified Medical Physicist in accordance with the applicable ACR technical standards. Regular auditing of patient dose indices should be performed by comparing the facility’s dose information with national benchmarks, such as the ACR Dose Index Registry, the NCRP Report No. 172, Reference Levels and Achievable Doses in Medical and Dental Imaging: Recommendations for the United States or the Conference of Radiation Control Program Director’s National Evaluation of X-ray Trends. (ACR Resolution 17 adopted in 2006 – revised in 2009, 2013, Resolution 52).

X. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education on the ACR website (https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Practice-Parameters-and-Technical-Standards).

For specific issues regarding CT quality control, see the ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography (CT) [52].

For specific issues regarding PET and PET/CT quality control, see section VIII on Equipment Quality Control.

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the ACR–AAPM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Computed Tomography (CT) Equipment [51].
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