

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice guidelines and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice guidelines and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice guideline and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review, requiring the approval of the Commission on Quality and Safety as well as the ACR Board of Chancellors, the ACR Council Steering Committee, and the ACR Council. The practice guidelines and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice guideline and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

2007 (Resolution 33)*

ACR–AIUM PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF TRANSCRANIAL DOPPLER ULTRASOUND FOR ADULTS AND CHILDREN

PREAMBLE

These guidelines are an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. They are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology cautions against the use of these guidelines in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the physician or medical physicist in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidelines, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in the guidelines when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of the guidelines. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from these guidelines is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment.

Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to these guidelines will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of these guidelines is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

I. INTRODUCTION

The clinical aspects contained in specific sections of this guideline (Introduction, Indications, Specifications of the Examination, and Equipment Specifications) were developed collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM). Recommendations for physician requirements, written request for the examination, procedure documentation, and quality control vary between the two organizations and are addressed by each separately.

Transcranial Doppler is a noninvasive technique that assesses blood flow within the circle of Willis and the vertebrobasilar system in children who have a closed anterior fontanelle and in adults.

II. INDICATIONS

Indications for a transcranial Doppler ultrasound examination include, but are not limited to:

A. Adults

1. Detection of stenosis or occlusion in a major intracranial artery in the circle of Willis and vertebrobasilar system, including monitoring thrombolytic therapy for acute stroke patients.
2. Follow-up of patients with known stenosis or occlusion of a major intracranial artery in the circle of Willis and vertebrobasilar system.
3. Detection and monitoring of vasospasm in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage.
4. Detection of circulating emboli in a major intracranial artery in the circle of Willis and vertebrobasilar system.
5. Detection of right-to-left shunts using agitated saline injection.
6. Assessment of vasomotor reactivity.
7. Confirmation of the clinical diagnosis of brain death by detection of complete cerebral circulatory arrest.
8. Intraoperative and periprocedural monitoring to detect embolization, thrombosis, hypoperfusion, and hyperperfusion.

B. Children

1. Evaluation of stenosis or occlusion in the circle of Willis and vertebrobasilar system in patients with sickle cell anemia to determine the need for and continuation of blood transfusions.
2. Follow-up of patients with known stenosis or occlusion of an artery in the circle of Willis and vertebrobasilar system in patients with sickle cell anemia.
3. Detection of vasculopathy, such as moyamoya.
4. Assessment of arteriovenous malformations.
5. Confirmation of the clinical diagnosis of brain death by detection of complete cerebral circulatory arrest in infants more than 6 months of age.

III. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PHYSICIAN

Each organization addresses this requirement individually. ACR language is as follows:

See the [ACR–SPR–SRU Practice Guideline for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations](#).

IV. WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE EXAMINATION

Each organization addresses this requirement individually. ACR language is as follows:

The written or electronic request for transcranial Doppler ultrasound should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006)

V. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

Either spectral Doppler or grayscale, color, and spectral Doppler ultrasound (transcranial duplex) should be performed with the patient in the supine position. Representative views of each anterior, middle, and posterior cerebral artery in the circle of Willis and vertebrobasilar system should be obtained, including documentation of pathology. Two windows can be used after closure of the anterior fontanelle to examine the intracranial vessels: the temporal bone and the foramen magnum.

The transtemporal window is the area on the temporal bone cephalad to the zygomatic arch and anterior to the ear. On grayscale images, the hypoechoic heart-shaped cerebral peduncles and echogenic star-shaped basilar cistern are the reference landmarks. Anterior to the cistern is the middle cerebral artery, which should be insonated with Doppler ultrasound, including color and spectral Doppler analysis. With a 2 MHz transducer or multifrequency transducer with 2 MHz spectral Doppler, the middle cerebral artery should be interrogated at 2 to 5 mm intervals from its most superficial point below the calvarium to the bifurcation of the A1 segment, and the anterior cerebral artery should be studied as far medially as possible.

The posterior cerebral artery is found immediately anterior to the heart-shaped cerebral peduncles and has forward flow toward the transducer in the P1 segment while flow in the more distal P2 segment is directed away from the probe. After completing insonation of the right sided vessels, repeat the imaging planes on the left side.

The foramen magnum can be used to study the vertebral and basilar arteries. The patient should be turned to one side and the neck should be flexed so that the chin touches the chest. A 2 MHz transducer is placed over the upper neck at the base of the skull and angled through the foramen of magnum towards the nose. The reference landmark is the hypoechoic medulla or bridge of the nose for nonimaging transducers. The vertebral arteries should be interrogated at 2 to 5 mm intervals. On color Doppler ultrasound, the vertebral arteries have a V-shaped configuration as they extend superiorly to form the basilar artery. Flow in the vertebral and basilar arteries is directed away from the transducer and should be interrogated up to the distal end of the basilar artery.

In patients with suspected carotid stenoses or occlusions, a transorbital examination of the ophthalmic arteries and carotid siphons can be performed at reduced omitting power levels (10% or 17 mW). In patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage and signs of vasospasm, a submandibular approach can be used to sample the distal internal carotid artery in the neck to calculate mean flow velocity ratios between the middle cerebral and internal carotid arteries, also known as the hemispheric index. Both approaches are performed with 2 MHz spectral Doppler without angle correction.

Waveform analysis of the cerebral arteries should be performed, including the time average mean maximum velocity in children with sickle cell disease according to the STOP trial criteria. In adults, either mean flow velocity or peak systolic velocity with pulsatility indexes should be recorded. The velocity is obtained at 2 to 5 mm intervals along the entire course of the vessel. Velocity can be measured either by the automatic tracing method or by performing a manual tracing. Angle correction should not be used.

VI. DOCUMENTATION

Each organization addresses this requirement individually. ACR language is as follows:

Adequate documentation is essential for high-quality patient care. There should be a permanent record of the ultrasound examination and its interpretation. Comparison with prior relevant imaging studies may prove helpful. Images of all appropriate areas, both normal and abnormal, should be recorded. Variations from normal size should generally be accompanied by measurements. Images should be labeled with the patient identification, facility identification, examination date, image orientation and vessel labeling. An official interpretation (final report) of the ultrasound examination should be included in the patient's medical record. Retention of the ultrasound examination images should be consistent both

with clinical need and with relevant legal and local healthcare facility requirements.

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Guideline for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#).

VII. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

Transcranial Doppler should be performed with a real-time scanner with Doppler capability, using a 2 to 4 MHz transducer that can penetrate the temporal bone and foramen magnum, or a nonimaging Doppler instrument with 2 MHz pulsed Doppler capability. Doppler images and/or data are obtained at 2 mm intervals with a 4 to 6 mm gate (larger steps such as 5 mm are allowed for 10 to 15 mm gates). Color or spectral Doppler should be used to locate the intracranial vessels in all cases. The color gain settings should be maximized so that a well defined flow jet is displayed. The Doppler setting should be adjusted to obtain the highest velocity in all cases. Doppler power output should be as low as reasonably achievable.

VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Each organization addresses this requirement individually. ACR language is as follows:

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR web page (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>).

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Real Time Ultrasound Equipment](#).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This guideline was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards* on the ACR web page (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>) by the ACR Guidelines and Standards Committee of the Commission on Ultrasound in collaboration with the AIUM.

Principal Drafter: Marilyn J. Siegel, MD

Collaborative Subcommittee

ACR

Marilyn J. Siegel, MD, Chair
Edward I. Bluth, MD

AIUM

Andrei V. Alexandrov, MD, RVT
Brian S. Garra, MD
Charles H. Tegeler, MD

ACR Guidelines and Standards Committee

Gretchen A. Gooding, MD, Chair
Raymond E. Bertino, MD
Mary C. Frates, MD
Ruth B. Goldstein, MD
Beatrice L. Madrazo, MD
Jon W. Meilstrup, MD
Michelle L. Melany, MD
Miriam N. Mikhail, MD
Sara M. O'Hara, MD
Suhaz G. Parulekar, MD
John S. Pellerito, MD
Philip W. Ralls, MD
Michelle L. Robbin, MD
Carol M. Rumack, MD, Chair, Commission

Marcela Bohm-Velez, MD, Co-Chair, CSC Subcommittee
Harry C. Knipp, MD, Co-Chair, CSC Subcommittee

Suggested Reading (Additional articles not cited in the document but that the committee recommends for further reading on this topic)

1. Adams R, McKie V, Nichols F, et al. The use of transcranial ultrasonography to predict stroke in sickle cell disease. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:605-610.
2. Adams RJ. TCD in sickle cell disease: an important and useful test. *Pediatr Radiol* 2005;35:229-234.
3. Adams RJ, McKie VC, Hsu L, et al. Prevention of a first stroke by transfusions in children with sickle cell anemia and abnormal results on transcranial Doppler ultrasonography. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:5-11.
4. Adams RJ, Brambilla D, Optimizing Primary Stroke Prevention in Sickle Cell Anemia (STOP 2) Trial Investigators. Discontinuing prophylactic transfusions used to prevent stroke in sickle cell disease. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:2769-2778.
5. Alexandrov AV, Molina CA, Grotta JC, et al. Ultrasound-enhanced systemic thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2170-2178.
6. Alexandrov AV, Sloan MA, Wong LK, et al. Practice standards for transcranial Doppler ultrasound: part 1-test performance. *J Neuroimaging* 2007;17:11-18.
7. Bode H, Sauer M, Pringsheim W. Diagnosis of brain death by transcranial Doppler sonography. *Arch Dis Child* 1988;63:1474-1478.
8. Bogdahn U, Becker G, Winkler J, Greiner K, Perez J, Meurers B. Transcranial color-coded real-time sonography in adults. *Stroke* 1990;21:1680-1688.
9. Bulas D. Screening children for sickle cell vasculopathy: guidelines for transcranial Doppler evaluation. *Pediatr Radiol* 2005;35:235-241.
10. Bulas DI, Jones A, Seibert JJ, Driscoll C, O'Donnell R, Adams RJ. Transcranial Doppler (TCD) screening for stroke prevention in sickle cell anemia: pitfalls in technique variation. *Pediatr Radiol* 2000;30:733-738.
11. Jauss M, Zanette E. Detection of right-to-left shunt with ultrasound contrast agent and transcranial Doppler sonography. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2000;10:490-496.
12. Krejza J, Mariak Z, Melhem ER, Bert RJ. A guide to the identification of major cerebral arteries with transcranial color Doppler sonography. *AJR* 2000;174:1297-1303.
13. Lupetin AR, Davis DA, Beckman I, Dash N. Transcranial Doppler sonography. Part 1. Principles, technique, and normal appearances. *Radiographics* 1995;15:179-191.
14. Muller M, Hermes M, Bruckmann H, Schimrigk K. Transcranial Doppler ultrasound in the evaluation of collateral blood flow in patients with internal carotid artery occlusion: correlation with cerebral angiography. *AJNR* 1995;16:195-202.
15. Neish AS, Blews DE, Simms CA, Merritt RK, Spinks AJ. Screening for stroke in sickle cell anemia: comparison of transcranial Doppler imaging and nonimaging US techniques. *Radiology* 2002;222:709-714.
16. Petty GW, Mohr JP, Pedley TA, et al. The role of transcranial Doppler in confirming brain death: sensitivity, specificity, and suggestions for performance and interpretation. *Neurology* 1990;40:300-303.
17. Sloan MA, Alexandrov AV, Tegeler CH, et al. Assessment: transcranial Doppler ultrasonography: report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2004;62:1468-1481.
18. Steiger HJ, Aaslid R, Stoos R, Seiler RW. Transcranial Doppler monitoring in head injury: relations between type of injury, flow velocities, vasoreactivity, and outcome. *Neurosurgery* 1994;34:79-85.
19. Verlhac S, Bernaudin F, Tortrat D, et al. Detection of cerebrovascular disease in patients with sickle cell disease using transcranial Doppler sonography: correlation with MRI, MRA and conventional angiography. *Pediatr Radiol* 1995;25 Suppl 1:S14-S19.

*Guidelines and standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised or approved by the ACR Council. For guidelines

and standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the guideline or standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Guideline

2007 (Resolution 33)