

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice guidelines and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice guidelines and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice guideline and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review, requiring the approval of the Commission on Quality and Safety as well as the ACR Board of Chancellors, the ACR Council Steering Committee, and the ACR Council. The practice guidelines and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice guideline and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

Revised 2009 (Resolution 13)*

ACR–SNM–SPR PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF PULMONARY SCINTIGRAPHY IN ADULTS AND CHILDREN

PREAMBLE

These guidelines are an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. They are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology cautions against the use of these guidelines in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the physician or medical physicist in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidelines, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in the guidelines when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of the guidelines. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from these guidelines is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment.

Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to these guidelines will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of these guidelines is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

I. INTRODUCTION

This guideline was revised collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR), the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), and the Society of Nuclear Medicine (SNM).

It is intended to guide physicians performing pulmonary scintigraphy in adult and pediatric patients. Properly performed ventilation imaging with radioaerosol or gaseous radiopharmaceuticals and perfusion imaging with technetium-99m-labeled perfusion agents that localize by temporary capillary blockade are sensitive tools for detecting certain kinds of pulmonary abnormalities. Correlation with clinical data and current chest radiographs is imperative to optimize the interpretation of images.

Application of this guideline should be in accordance with the [ACR–SNM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Procedures Using Radiopharmaceuticals](#).

II. GOAL

The goal of pulmonary scintigraphy is to enable the interpreting physician to detect and, in some cases, to

quantitate abnormalities of pulmonary perfusion and/or ventilation.

III. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS

Clinical indications for pulmonary scintigraphy include, but are not limited to:

A. Indications

1. Assessment of the probability of acute or chronic pulmonary thromboembolic disease.
2. Evaluation of the presence of chronic pulmonary emboli.
3. Quantification of differential pulmonary function.
4. Evaluation of lung transplants.
5. Evaluation of the effects of congenital heart or lung disease such as cardiac shunts, pulmonary arterial stenoses, and arteriovenous fistulae and their treatment.
6. Confirmation of the presence of bronchopleural fistulae.
7. Evaluation of the effects of chronic pulmonary parenchymal disorders such as cystic fibrosis.
8. Evaluation of arteriovenous fistulae or shunts.

B. Relative Contraindications

There are no absolute contraindications for pulmonary scintigraphy. Potential benefits must outweigh the minor risks of the procedure.

For the pregnant or potentially pregnant patient, see the [ACR Practice Guideline for Imaging Pregnant or Potentially Pregnant Adolescents and Women with Ionizing Radiation](#). When possible the doses of the radiopharmaceuticals used should also be decreased.

IV. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

See the [ACR–SNM Technical Standard for Diagnostic Procedures Using Radiopharmaceuticals](#).

V. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

The written or electronic request for pulmonary scintigraphy should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to

allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state's scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006)

A. Pulmonary Perfusion Imaging

1. Radiopharmaceutical

Technetium-99m-labeled macroaggregated human serum albumin (MAA) is the agent used. The administered activity for adults is 3.0 to 5.0 millicuries (111 to 185 MBq) administered intravenously unless the perfusion scan is done before an aerosol scan, when the dose should be 1.0 to 3.0 millicuries (37 to 111 MBq). The injection should be in the supine or close-to-supine position.

Imaging with single head systems should be in the upright (sitting) position, if possible because doing so provides improved visualization at the costophrenic angles. With dual-head systems both the injection and imaging are performed with the patient in the supine position. The range of particle sizes should be between 10 and 90 microns in diameter and should not exceed 150 microns. Between 150,000 and 500,000 particles should be used. For adult patients with known pulmonary arterial hypertension or right-to-left cardiac shunts, the dose and number of particles injected may be decreased, but no fewer than 100,000 particles should be used.

Infants and small children, and children with right-to-left shunt or pulmonary hypertension, should receive 10,000 to 30,000 particles depending on the age of the patient and the severity of involvement [1]. The pediatric administered activity should be as low as practically achievable for appropriate image quality.

2. Administration

The patient should be supine for 10 minutes, if possible, prior to injection. To minimize settling and clumping, the vial should be gently agitated before the radiopharmaceutical is withdrawn. The syringe should be agitated prior to injection of the agent for the same reason. A 22-gauge or larger needle is preferred to help reduce the

chance of damage to the particles. Upon injection, care should be taken not to draw blood back into the syringe to avoid formation of clots, which may produce hot emboli on the lung images. When possible, injection should be directly intravenous, avoiding intravenous tubing and use of indwelling catheters. The patient should be as close to supine as possible for injection, and infusion should be slow (10 to 15 seconds). If possible, the patient should cough or take several deep breaths prior to and during the injection.

3. Imaging

Imaging may begin immediately after the agent has been administered. Preferably 8 and a minimum of 6 views (anterior, posterior, both posterior oblique, and either both anterior oblique or both lateral images) should be obtained for at least 300,000 counts per image if a small crystal (<300 mm in diameter) scintillation camera is used, or 500,000 counts per image, if a large crystal camera is used. Counts per image may be reduced in infants and small children. For critically ill patients who require portable perfusion lung scan imaging, a minimum of 1 anterior view with bilateral anterior oblique views is an acceptable alternative to the usual 6 to 8 views. SPECT imaging may be used as a supplemental or alternative study.

B. Pulmonary Ventilation Imaging

1. Aerosol

a. Radiopharmaceutical

Thirty to 50 millicuries (1,110 to 1,850 MBq) of technetium-99m diethylene-triamine pentacetic acid (DTPA) or other approved radiopharmaceutical is placed in a nebulizer and agitated with oxygen. If the aerosol study is performed first, the patient should inhale enough radioaerosol to deposit about 1 millicurie (37 MBq) in the lungs (approximately 100,000 counts per minute). If the ventilation study is performed after a perfusion study, the patient should inhale enough aerosol to triple or quadruple the perfusion count rate.

b. Administration

The flow rate should be adjusted to deliver the particle size at or below about 1 micron in diameter. Patient cooperation is required for success of the study. Care should be exercised to prevent spillage of the aerosol into the environment.

c. Imaging

The same images as for the perfusion study should be obtained.

2. Xenon-133

a. Radiopharmaceutical

Xenon-133, a radioactive gas is administered by mask and requires a delivery and trapping system or external exhaust system. The usual administered activity for adults is 10 to 30 millicuries (370 to 1,110 MBq). The administered activity for children is 0.3 millicuries/kg (11.1 to 1,110 MBq/kg) with a minimum of 3.0 millicuries (111 MBq).

b. Administration

A special room with negative pressure ventilation is desirable. Patient cooperation is required for success of the study. Care should be exercised to prevent spillage of the agent into the environment. Patients who are severely dyspneic or who are on ventilator support may not be able to undergo xenon-133 ventilation imaging.

c. Imaging

The ventilation phase is usually, but not always, performed before the perfusion phase. Three sets of images are usually obtained, nearly always in the posterior projection. The first is a breath-holding view of the first deep breath after introduction of the agent ("inhalation view"). The second is an "equilibration" phase, during which the patient rebreathes the xenon-133 and oxygen, usually for 2 to 3 minutes, and 1 or 2 images are acquired. The third is the "wash-out phase," during which the patient inhales room air, possibly mixed with oxygen, but exhales into the xenon-133 trap. Serial images are obtained at 15 to 60 second intervals for 5 minutes or until wash-out is complete, whichever comes first. Right and left posterior oblique equilibrium images may also be obtained early in the "equilibrium phase" and/or during wash-out (typically obtained during the third and fourth minutes of wash-out), to provide additional information about the location of ventilation abnormalities.

VI. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

For small-crystal (<300 mm in diameter) scintillation cameras, a diverging collimator is desirable. For larger crystal scintillation cameras, low-energy all-purpose/general all-purpose (LEAP/GAP) or high-resolution collimators are desirable. Large-field cameras

with parallel-hole collimators provide less distortion than diverging collimators.

VII. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Most studies are performed to assess possible acute pulmonary thromboembolic disease. Several basic interpretation criteria have been validated and may be used for guidance. Pulmonary scintigraphy does not directly identify pulmonary emboli but is useful in establishing a probability of pulmonary embolism and aids in the decision about whether a patient would benefit from other imaging studies (e.g., computed tomography (CT) pulmonary angiography, catheter pulmonary angiography, and/or duplex lower extremity sonography).

For patients being studied for acute pulmonary embolic disease, current chest radiographs, preferably posteroanterior and lateral should be obtained and inspected by the interpreting physician to ascertain whether confounding conditions (e.g., pneumonias, tumors, congestive heart failure, pleural effusions, pneumothoraces) are present. Optimally the radiographs should be obtained immediately before or after the study, but no later than 24 hours from the time of the lung scan or other acute symptoms.

If available, prior pulmonary scintigrams, chest CT, or abdominal CT (if the abdominal CT examination includes the lower lungs) should be reviewed to evaluate for chronic unresolved pulmonary embolic or other persistent abnormalities.

Quantitative measures of relative lung perfusion (comparing lungs or dividing each lung into thirds and calculating the percentage of total counts in each region) may be useful in nonembolic and preoperative disease assessment and evaluation of post-lung transplant patients [2,3]. Quantitative comparison of regional perfusion and ventilation may also be useful.

If a patient with an abnormal lung scan is diagnosed as having pulmonary emboli, a follow-up perfusion lung scan should be considered to establish a baseline for continued evaluation, particularly in patients with comorbid cardiopulmonary disease and/or a large initial perfusion deficit. Preferably, this follow-up study should be performed 1 to 3 months following the initial thromboembolic episode [4].

VIII. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Guideline for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#).

The report should include the radiopharmaceutical used and the dose and route of administration, as well as any

other pharmaceuticals administered, also with dose and route of administration.

IX. RADIATION SAFETY

Radiologists, imaging technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility to minimize radiation dose to individual patients, to staff, and to society as a whole, while maintaining the necessary diagnostic image quality. This concept is known as “as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).”

Facilities, in consultation with the radiation safety officer, should have in place and should adhere to policies and procedures for the safe handling and administration of radiopharmaceuticals, in accordance with ALARA, and must comply with all applicable radiation safety regulations and conditions of licensure imposed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and by state and/or other regulatory agencies. Quantities of radiopharmaceuticals should be tailored to the individual patient by prescription or protocol.

X. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing under the heading *Position Statement on QC & Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education* on the ACR web page (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>).

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR Technical Standard for Medical Nuclear Physics Performance Monitoring of Gamma Cameras](#).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This guideline was revised according to the process described under the heading *The Process for Developing ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards* on the ACR web page (<http://www.acr.org/guidelines>) by the Guidelines and Standards Committee of the ACR Commission on Nuclear Medicine in collaboration with the SPR and the SNM.

Collaborative Committee

ACR

Mark F. Fisher, MD, Chair
Paul D. Shreve, MD

SNM

Warren R. Janowitz, MD, JD
Henry D. Royal, MD

SPR

Michael J. Gelfand, MD
Marguerite T. Parisi, MD
Stephanie E. Spottswood, MD

Guidelines and Standards Committee – Nuclear Medicine

Jay A. Harolds, MD, FACR, Co-Chair
Darlene F. Metter, MD, FACR, Co-Chair
Robert F. Carretta, MD
Gary L. Dillehay, MD, FACR
Mark F. Fisher, MD
Lorraine M. Fig, MD, MB, ChB, MPH
Leonie Gordon, MD
Bennett S. Greenspan, MD, FACR
Milton J. Guiberteau, MD, FACR
Warren R. Janowitz, MD, JD
Ronald L. Korn, MD
Gregg A. Miller, MD
Christopher J. Palestro, MD
Henry D. Royal, MD
Paul D. Shreve, MD
William G. Spies, MD, FACR
Manuel L. Brown, MD, FACR, Chair, Commission

Guidelines and Standards Committee – Pediatric

Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR, Chair
Taylor Chung, MD
Brian D. Coley, MD
Kristin L. Crisci, MD
Eric N. Faerber, MD, FACR
Lynn A. Fordham, MD
Lisa H. Lowe, MD
Laureen M. Sena, MD
Sudha P. Singh, MD, MB,BS
Samuel Madoff, MD
Donald P. Frush, MD, Chair, FACR, Commission

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Jay A. Harolds, MD, FACR, Chair
Manuel L. Brown, MD, FACR
R. Edward Coleman, MD, FACR
Mark F. Fisher, MD
Donald P. Frush, MD, FACR
Michael J. Gelfand, MD
Marta Hernanz-Schulman, MD, FACR
Warren R. Janowitz, MD, JD
Alan D. Kaye, MD, FACR
David C. Kushner, MD, FACR
Paul A. Larson, MD, FACR
Lawrence A. Liebscher, MD, FACR
Darlene F. Metter, MD, FACR
Marguerite T. Parisi, MD
Henry D. Royal, MD
Paul D. Shreve, MD
Stephanie E. Spottswood, MD

Edward V. Staab, MD, FACR
Richard A. Szucs, MD, FACR

REFERENCES

1. Treves. Table 5.2. *Pediatric Nuclear Medicine 3rd Edition*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2007:96.
2. Ell PJ GS, ed. *Nuclear Medicine in Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment*. 3rd Edition ed. Edinburgh, Scotland: Churchill Livingstone; 2004.
3. Zeissman HA OMJ, Thrall J. *Nuclear Medicine: The Requisites*. 3rd ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2006.
4. Mettler FA GM, ed. *Essentials of Nuclear Medicine Imaging*. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders Elsevier; 2006.

Suggested Reading (Additional articles that are not cited in the document but that the committee recommends for further reading on this topic)

5. Christiansen F, Nilsson T, Mare K, Carlsson A. Adding a visual linear scale probability to the PIOPED probability of pulmonary embolism. *Acta Radiol* 1997;38:458-463.
6. DiCarli MF, Gerbaudo VH, Singh TP, et al. Cardiopulmonary studies in pediatric nuclear medicine. In: Sandler MP, Coleman RE, Patton JA, et al, eds. *Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine*. 3rd edition. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 2003:1161-1178.
7. Fisher RE, Scott JA, Palmer EL. Neural networks in ventilation-perfusion imaging. *Radiology* 1996;198:699-706.
8. Freeman LM. The low probability V/Q lung scan: can its credibility be enhanced? *J Nucl Med* 1996;37:582-584.
9. Gates GF, Orme HW, Dore EK. Measurement of cardiac shunting with technetium-labeled albumin aggregates. *J Nucl Med* 1971;12:746-749.
10. Goldberg SN, Richardson DD, Palmer EL, Scott JA. Pleural effusion and ventilation/perfusion scan interpretation for acute pulmonary embolus. *J Nucl Med* 1996;37:1310-1313.
11. Gottschalk A, Sostman HD, Coleman RE, et al. Ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy in the PIOPED study. Part II: evaluation of the scintigraphic criteria and interpretations. *J Nucl Med* 1993;34:1119-1126.
12. Gottschalk A, Stein PD, Henry JW, Relyea B. Can pulmonary angiography be limited to the most suspicious side if the contralateral side appears normal on the ventilation/perfusion lung scan? Data from PIOPED. *Chest* 1996;110:392-394.
13. Gottschalk A, Stein PD, Henry JW, Relyea B. Matched ventilation, perfusion and chest radiographic abnormalities in acute pulmonary embolism. *J Nucl Med* 1996;37:1636-1638.
14. Line BR. Scintigraphic studies of nonembolic lung disease. In: Sandler MP, Coleman RE, Patton JA, et

- al, eds. *Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine*. 4th edition. Baltimore, Md: Williams & Wilkins; 2003:377-409.
15. Palmer J, Bitzen U, Jonson B, Bajc M. Comprehensive ventilation/perfusion SPECT. *J Nucl Med* 2001;42:1288-1294.
 16. Parker JA, Coleman RE, Siegel BA, Sostman HD, McKusick KA, Royal HD. Procedure guideline for lung scintigraphy. *J Nucl Med* 1996;37:1906-1910.
 17. Patel SJ, Reede DL, Katz DS, Subramaniam R, Amorosa JK. Imaging the pregnant patient for nonobstetric conditions: algorithms and radiation dose considerations. *Radiographics* 2007;27:1705-1722.
 18. Reinartz P, Wildberger JE, Schaefer W, Nowak, B, Mahnken AH, Buell U. Tomographic imaging in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: a comparison between V/Q lung scintigraphy in SPECT technique and multislice spiral CT. *J Nucl Med* 2004;45:1501-1508.
 19. Scarsbrook A, Bradley K, Gleeson F. Perfusion scintigraphy still has important role in evaluation of majority of pregnant patients with suspicion of pulmonary embolism. *Radiology* 2007;244:623-625.
 20. Scott JA, Fisher RE, Palmer EL. Neural networks in ventilation-perfusion imaging. Part II: effects of interpretive variability. *Radiology* 1996;198:707-713.
 21. Sostman HD, Coleman RE, DeLong DM, Newman GE, Paine S. Evaluation of revised criteria for ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Radiology* 1994;193:103-107.
 22. Stein PD, Fowler SE, Goodman LR, et al. Multidetector computed tomography for acute pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:2317-2327.
 23. Webber MM, Gomes AS, Roe D, La Fontaine RL, Hawkins RA. Comparison of Biello, McNeil and PIOPED criteria for the diagnosis of pulmonary emboli on lung scans. *AJR* 1990;154:975-981.
 24. Wernly JA, DeMeester TR, Kirchner PT, Myerowitz PD, Oxford DE, Golomb HM. Clinical value of quantitative ventilation-perfusion lung scans in the surgical management of bronchogenic carcinoma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1980;80:535-543.
 25. Worsley DF, Alavi A. Comprehensive analysis of the results of the PIOPED study. Prospective investigation of pulmonary embolism diagnosis study. *J Nucl Med* 1995;36:2380-2387.

*Guidelines and standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised or approved by the ACR Council. For guidelines and standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the guideline or standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Guideline

1995 (Resolution 28)
 Revised 1999 (Resolution 15)
 Revised 2004 (Resolution 31e)
 Amended 2006 (Resolution 35)
 Revised 2009 (Resolution 13)