

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice guidelines and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice guidelines and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice guideline and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review, requiring the approval of the Commission on Quality and Safety as well as the ACR Board of Chancellors, the ACR Council Steering Committee, and the ACR Council. The practice guidelines and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice guideline and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

Revised 2008 (Res. 21)\*

## **PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE PERFORMANCE AND INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (MRI) OF THE BRAIN**

### **PREAMBLE**

These guidelines are an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. They are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology cautions against the use of these guidelines in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the physician or medical physicist in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidelines, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in the guidelines when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations on available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of the guidelines. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from these guidelines is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to these guidelines will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a

successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of these guidelines is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

This guideline was revised collaboratively by the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain is a proven and well-established imaging modality in the evaluation and assessment of normal and abnormal conditions of the brain. MRI of the brain is the most sensitive technique available because of its high sensitivity in exploiting inherent contrast differences of tissues as a result of variable magnetic relaxation properties and magnetic susceptibilities. MRI is a rapidly changing technology, and ongoing technical improvements will continue to improve MRI diagnosis of brain disorders. This guideline outlines the principles for performing high-quality MRI of the brain.

### **II. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL**

See the [ACR Practice Guideline for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging \(MRI\)](#).

### **III. INDICATIONS**

Indications for MRI of the brain include, but are not limited to:

#### A. Primary Indications

1. Seizures
2. Cranial nerve dysfunction
3. Diplopia
4. Ataxia
5. Acute and chronic neurological deficits
6. Suspicion of neurodegenerative disease
7. Primary and secondary neoplasm
8. Aneurysm
9. Cortical dysplasia and other morphologic brain abnormalities
10. Vasculitis
11. Encephalitis
12. Brain maturation
13. Headache
14. Mental status change
15. Hydrocephalus
16. Ischemic disease and infarction
17. Suspected pituitary dysfunction
18. Inflammation or infection of the brain or meninges, or their complications
19. Postoperative evaluation
20. Demyelination and dysmyelination disorders
21. Vascular malformations
22. Arterial or venous/dural sinus abnormalities
23. Suspicion of nonaccidental trauma

#### B. Extended Indications

1. Suspicion of acute intracranial hemorrhage or evaluation of chronic hemorrhage
2. Neuroendocrine dysfunction
3. Functional imaging
4. Brain mapping
5. Blood flow and brain perfusion study
6. Image guidance for intervention or treatment planning
7. Spectroscopy (including evaluation of brain tumor, infectious processes, brain development and/or degeneration, and ischemic conditions)
8. Volumetry
9. Morphometry
10. Tractography
11. Post-traumatic conditions

#### IV. SAFETY GUIDELINES AND POSSIBLE CONTRAINDICATIONS

See the [ACR Practice Guideline for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging \(MRI\)](#) and the ACR Guidance Document for Safe MR Practices.

Peer-reviewed literature pertaining to MR safety should be reviewed on a regular basis.

#### V. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

The supervising physician must have complete understanding of the indications, risks, and benefits of the examination, as well as alternative imaging procedures. The physician must be familiar with potential hazards associated with MRI, including potential adverse reactions to contrast media. The physician should be familiar with relevant ancillary studies that the patient may have undergone. The physician performing MRI interpretation must have a clear understanding and knowledge of the anatomy and pathophysiology relevant to the MRI examination.

The clinical request form should be initiated by the referring physician or any appropriate allied health care professional acting within his or her scope of practice. It should contain pertinent information regarding the clinical indication for the procedure.

The written or electronic request for MRI of the brain should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006)

The supervising physician must also understand the pulse sequences to be used and their effect on the appearance of the images, including the potential generation of image artifacts. Standard imaging protocols may be established and varied on a case-by-case basis when necessary. These protocols should be reviewed and updated periodically.

##### A. Patient Selection

The physician responsible for the examination shall supervise patient selection and preparation, and be available in person or by phone for consultation. Patients shall be screened and interviewed prior to the examination to exclude individuals who may be at risk by exposure to the MRI environment.

Certain indications require administration of intravenous (IV) contrast media. IV contrast enhancement should be performed using appropriate injection protocols and in accordance with the institution's policy on IV contrast utilization. (See the [ACR Practice Guideline for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media](#), the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#), the [ACR Guidance Document for Safe MR Practices](#), and the [ACR Web site](#).)

Patients suffering from anxiety or claustrophobia may require sedation or additional assistance. Administration of moderate sedation may be needed to achieve a successful examination. If moderate sedation is necessary, refer to the [ACR Practice Guideline for Adult Sedation/Analgesia](#) or the [ACR Practice Guideline for Pediatric Sedation/Analgesia](#).

## B. Facility Requirements

Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment and medications should be monitored for inventory and drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and/or sizes in the patient population.

## C. Examination Technique

MRI examination of the brain can be performed with a wide array of pulse sequences. This is a rapidly evolving field, and the appropriate pulse sequences must be individualized and tailored to the clinical question at hand under the supervision of the MRI physician. The most commonly accepted basic imaging protocols for MRI of the brain currently include a T1-weighted sequence in the sagittal plane and T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) in the axial plane. If FLAIR is not available, proton density weighted sequences can be performed. A fast-spin-echo or turbo-spin-echo (or equivalent) technique can be substituted for these axial sequences. Under certain clinical circumstances, very rapid acquisitions such as echo planar imaging or single shot fast-spin-echo imaging can be performed to obtain T2 information. Diffusion imaging, if available, is helpful in many indications.

The recovery time (TR) and echo time (TE) required to optimize image quality depends on the field strength of the magnet. These parameters must therefore be adjusted by the supervising physician for image optimization. For example, lower field strength magnets may require lower TRs, while higher field strength magnets may require longer TRs for image optimization.

Slice thickness, spatial resolution, signal-to-noise ratio, acquisition time, and contrast are all interrelated. To optimize spatial resolution, imaging of the brain should be performed with a slice thickness of no greater than 5 mm and an interslice gap of no greater than 2.5 mm. Thinner slices (less than 5 mm) may be applied if clinical circumstances warrant.

Gadolinium chelates may be administered intravenously when there is suspicion of breakdown of the blood-brain barrier. Postcontrast images are obtained in the axial and/or coronal and/or sagittal planes with short TR and TE sequences (T1-weighted). Postcontrast T1-weighted images should be compared to precontrast images, although the precontrast images do not necessarily have to be performed in the same planes as the postcontrast images.

With the advent of high-performance gradient coil assemblies and amplifiers and other technical enhancements, advanced imaging applications are also an option when the appropriate hardware and software exist. Improvements in the receiver and data acquisition systems also allow for more rapid imaging. While a detailed discussion of all the evolving advanced imaging techniques is beyond the scope of this guideline, it should be noted that rapid pulse sequences and other advanced imaging techniques may provide added utility for MRI of the brain. These can include, but are not limited to: echo planar imaging, parallel imaging, diffusion weighted imaging, diffusion tensor imaging, rapid gradient-echo pulse sequences (capable of providing T1 or T2 information), susceptibility weighted imaging, functional imaging, perfusion imaging, and volumetric, morphometric, and other quantitative applications.

Certain clinical circumstances may warrant the use of proton MR spectroscopy as an adjunct to routine MR brain imaging (See the [Practice Guideline for the Performance and Interpretation of Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of the Central Nervous System](#).) Additional techniques that may be useful under the appropriate clinical circumstances include 3-dimensional imaging techniques, neuronavigation and intraoperative MR, magnetization transfer imaging, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) flow study using phase-contrast pulse sequences, and variations of single shot fast-spin-echo or turbo spin-echo imaging.

It is the responsibility of the supervising physician to determine whether additional pulse sequences or nonconventional pulse sequences and imaging techniques confer added benefit for the diagnosis and management of the patient. Generally MRI examination of the brain should be performed within parameters approved by the FDA. Examinations that use techniques not approved by

the FDA can be considered when they are judged to be medically appropriate.

## VI. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Guideline for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#).

## VII. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The MRI equipment specifications and performance shall meet all state and federal requirements. The requirements include, but are not limited to, specifications of maximum static magnetic strength, maximum rate of change of magnetic field strength (dB/dt), maximum radiofrequency power deposition (specific absorption rate), and maximum acoustic noise levels.

## VIII. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION CONCERNS

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education Concerns appearing elsewhere in the ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards book.

Specific policies and procedures related to MRI safety should be in place with documentation that is updated annually and compiled under the supervision and direction of the supervising MRI physician. Guidelines should be provided that deal with potential hazards associated with the MRI examination of the patient as well as to others in the immediate area. Screening forms must also be provided to detect those patients who may be at risk for adverse events associated with the MRI examination.

Equipment monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR Technical Standard for Diagnostic Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Magnetic Resonance Imaging \(MRI\) Equipment](#).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This guideline was revised according to the process described in the ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards book by the Guidelines and Standards Committee of the Commission on Neuroradiology in collaboration with the American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR).

Principal Reviewer: John E. Jordan, MD

### ACR Guidelines and Standards Committee

Suresh K. Mukherji, MD, Chair  
Carol A. Dolinskas, MD  
Sachin Gujar, MD  
John E. Jordan, MD  
Stephen A. Kieffer, MD  
Edward J. O'Brien, Jr., MD  
Jeffrey R. Petrella, MD  
Eric J. Russell, MD  
John L. Ulmer, MD  
Wade H. Wong, DO  
R. Nick Bryan, MD, Chair, Commission

### ASNR Guidelines Committee

F. Reed Murtagh, MD  
Carol A. Dolinskas, MD  
Eric J. Russell, MD

### Comments Reconciliation Committee

Howard B. Fleishon, MD, Co-Chair, CSC  
Amy B. Kirby, MD, Co-Chair, CSC  
R. Nick Bryan, MD, PhD  
Craig E. Clark, MD  
John E. Jordan, MD  
Alan D. Kaye, MD  
David C. Kushner, MD  
Paul A. Larson, MD  
Richard S. Levine, MD  
Lawrence A. Liebscher, MD  
Suresh K. Mukherji, MD  
Matthew S. Pollack, MD  
Michael I. Rothman, MD

## REFERENCES

1. Abdullah ND, Mathews VP. Contrast issues in brain tumor imaging. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1999;9:733-749.
2. Albers GW, Lansberg MG, Norbash AM, et al. Yield of diffusion-weighted MRI for detection of potentially relevant findings in stroke patients. *Neurology* 2000;54:1562-1567.
3. Alexander AL, Lee JE, Wu YC, Field AS. Comparison of diffusion tensor imaging measurements at 3.0 T versus 1.5 T with and without parallel imaging. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2006;16:299-309.
4. Bahn MM, Oser AB, Cross DT 3rd. CT and MRI of stroke. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1996;6:833-845.
5. Barkovich AJ, Kuzniecky RI. Neuroimaging of focal malformations of cortical development. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 1996;13:481-494.
6. Barkovich AJ. The encephalopathic neonate: choosing the proper imaging technique. *AJNR* 1997;18:1816-1820.
7. Ba-Ssalamaha A, Schick S, Heimberger K, et al. Ultrafast magnetic resonance imaging of the brain. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2000;18:237-243.

8. Beauchamp NJ Jr, Ulug AM, Passe TJ, van Zijl PC. MR diffusion imaging in stroke: review and controversies. *Radiographics* 1998;18:1269-1283; discussion 1283-1285.
9. Bradley WG, Safar FG, Furtado C, Ord J, Alksne JF. Increased intracranial volume: a clue to the etiology of idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus? *AJNR* 2004;25:1479-1484.
10. Bryan RN, Manolio TA, Schertz LD, et al. A method for using MR to evaluate the effects of cardiovascular disease on the brain: the cardiovascular health study. *AJNR* 1994;15:1625-1633.
11. Buckner RL. Event-related fMRI and the hemodynamic response. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1998;6:373-377.
12. Bydder GM, Hajnal JV, Young IR. MRI: use of the inversion recovery pulse sequence. *Clin Radiol* 1998;53:159-176.
13. Carroll CB, Scott R, Davies LE, Aziz T. The pallidotomy debate. *Br J Neurosurg* 1998;12:146-150.
14. Castillo M, Kwock L, Scatliff J, Mukherji SK. Proton MR spectroscopy in neoplastic and non-neoplastic brain disorders. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1998;6:1-20.
15. Castillo M, Kwock L. Proton MR spectroscopy of common brain tumors. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1998;8:733-752.
16. Cecil KM, Lenkinski RE. Proton MR spectroscopy in inflammatory and infectious brain disorders. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1998;8:863-880.
17. Chong BW, Kucharczyk W, Singer W, George S. Pituitary gland MR: a comparative study of healthy volunteers and patients with microadenomas. *AJNR* 1994;15:675-679.
18. Chong J, Lu D, Aragao F, et al. Diffusion-weighted MR of acute cerebral infarction: comparison of data processing methods. *AJNR* 1998;19:1733-1739.
19. Colletti PM. Magnetic resonance procedures and pregnancy. In: Shellock FG, ed. *Magnetic Resonance Procedures: Health Effects and Safety*. Boca Raton, Fla: CRC Press; 2001.
20. Conturo TE, McKinstry RC, Aronovitz JA, Neil JJ. Diffusion MRI: precision, accuracy and flow effects. *NMR Biomed* 1995;8:307-332.
21. Darby DG, Barber PA, Gerraty RP, et al. Pathophysiological topography of acute ischemia by combined diffusion-weighted and perfusion MRI. *Stroke* 1999;30:2043-2052.
22. DeLano MC, Fisher C. 3T MR imaging of the brain. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 2006;14:77-88.
23. Deliganis AV, Baxter AB, Berger MS, Marcus SG, Maravilla KR. Serial MR in gene therapy for recurrent glioblastoma: initial experience and work in progress. *AJNR* 1997;18:1401-1406.
24. Dillon WP. Tumors in and adjacent to the brain. *Curr Opin Neurol Neurosurg* 1990;3:864-866.
25. Dousset V, Armand JP, Huot P, Viaud B, Caille JM. Magnetization transfer imaging in AIDS-related brain diseases. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1997;7:447-460.
26. Dousset V, Armand JP, Lacoste D, et al. Magnetization transfer study of HIV encephalitis and progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Groupe d'Epidemiologie Clinique du SIDA en Aquitaine. *AJNR* 1997;18:895-901.
27. Faisan S, Thoraval L, Armspach JP, Foucher JR, Metz-Lutz MN, Heitz F. Hidden Markov event sequence models: toward unsupervised functional MRI brain mapping. *Acad Radiol* 2005;12:25-36.
28. Finelli DA. Magnetization transfer in neuroimaging. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1998;6:31-52.
29. Fried I. Magnetic resonance imaging and epilepsy: neurosurgical decision making. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1995;13:1163-1170.
30. Gonzalez RG. Imaging-guided acute ischemic stroke therapy: From "time is brain" to "physiology is brain". *AJNR* 2006;27:728-735.
31. Gray L, MacFall J. Overview of diffusion imaging. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1998;6:125-138.
32. Greenspan SL, Mathews VP, Caldemeyer KS, Patel MR. FLAIR and HASTE imaging in neurologic diseases. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1998;6:53-65.
33. Greenwood RS, Tupler LA, Whitt JK, et al. Brain morphometry, T2-weighted hyperintensities, and IQ in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. *Arch Neurol* 2005;62:1904-1908.
34. Grossman RI. Application of magnetization transfer imaging to multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1999;53:S8-S11.
35. Guttmann CR, Kikinis R, Anderson MC, et al. Quantitative follow-up of patients with multiple sclerosis using MRI: reproducibility. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1999;9:509-518.
36. Harwood-Nash DC. Neuroimaging and pediatrics. *Curr Opin Neurol Neurosurg* 1991;4:858-863.
37. Hausteijn J, Laniado M, Niendorf HP, et al. Triple-dose versus standard-dose gadopentetate dimeglumine: a randomized study in 199 patients. *Radiology* 1993;186:855-860.
38. Hawighorst H, Debus J, Schreiber W, et al. Contrast-enhanced magnetization transfer imaging: improvement of brain tumor conspicuity and delineation for radiosurgical target volume definition. *Radiother Oncol* 1997;43:261-267.
39. Jager HR, Albrecht T, Curati-Alasonatti WL, Williams EJ, Haskard DO. MRI in neuro-Behcet's syndrome: comparison of conventional spin-echo

- and FLAIR pulse sequences. *Neuroradiology* 1999;41:750-758.
40. Kanal E, Barkovich AJ, Bell C, et al. ACR guidance document for safe MR practices. *AJR* 2007;188:1447-1474.
  41. Kates R, Atkinson D, Brant-Zawadzki M. Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR): clinical prospectus of current and future applications. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 1996;8:389-396.
  42. Ketonen LM. Neuroimaging of the aging brain. *Neurol Clin* 1998;16:581-598.
  43. Kim MG, Provenzale JM, Law M. Magnetic resonance and diffusion tensor imaging in pediatric white matter diseases. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;17:265-274.
  44. Knauth M, Forsting M, Hartmann M, Heiland S, Balzer T, Sartor K. MR enhancement of brain lesions: increased contrast dose compared with magnetization transfer. *AJNR* 1996;17:1853-1859.
  45. Knopp EA. Venous disease and tumors. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1995;3:509-528.
  46. Koudriavtseva T, Pozzilli C, Fiorelli M, et al. Determinants of Gd-enhanced MRI response to IFN-beta-1a treatment in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 1998;4:403-407.
  47. Krautmacher C, Willinek WA, Tschampa HJ, et al. Brain tumors: full-and half-dose contrast-enhanced MR imaging at 3.0 T compared with 1.5 T--initial experience. *Radiology* 2005;237:1014-1019.
  48. Kumon Y, Zenke K, Kusunoki K, et al. Diagnostic use of isotropic diffusion-weighted MRI in patients with ischemic stroke: detection of the lesion responsible for the clinical deficit. *Neuroradiology* 1999;41:777-784.
  49. Larkman DJ, Nunes RG. Parallel magnetic resonance imaging. *Phys Med Biol* 2007;52:R15-R55.
  50. Lee DH, Vellet AD, Eliasziw M, et al. MR imaging field strength: prospective evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of MR for diagnosis of multiple sclerosis at 0.5 and 1.5 T. *Radiology* 1995;194:257-262.
  51. Lewine JD, Orrison WW Jr. Magnetic source imaging: basic principles and applications in neuroradiology. *Acad Radiol* 1995;2:436-440.
  52. Li TQ, Chen ZG, Hindmarsh T. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging of acute cerebral ischemia. *Acta Radiol* 1998;39:460-473.
  53. Lindsey RO, Yetkin FZ, Prost R, Houghton VM. Effect of dose and field strength on enhancement with paramagnetic contrast media. *AJNR* 1994;15:1849-1852.
  54. Maeda M, Maley JE, Crosby DL, et al. Application of contrast agents in the evaluation of stroke: conventional MR and echo-planar MR imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1997;7:23-28.
  55. Mathews VP, Caldemeyer KS, Ulmer JL, Nguyen H, Yuh WT. Effects of contrast dose, delayed imaging, and magnetization transfer saturation on gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging of brain lesions. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1997;7:14-22.
  56. Medina LS, Zurakowski D, Strife KR, Roberston RL, Poussaint TY, Barnes PD. Efficacy of fast screening MR in children and adolescents with suspected intracranial tumors. *AJNR* 1998;19:529-534.
  57. Mehta RC, Pike GB, Enzmann DR. Magnetization transfer magnetic resonance imaging: a clinical review. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 1996;8:214-230.
  58. Meltzer CC, Fukui MB, Kanal E, Smirniotopoulos JG. MR imaging of the meninges. Part I. Normal anatomic features and nonneoplastic disease. *Radiology* 1996;201:297-308.
  59. Moseley ME, Glover GH. Functional MR imaging: capabilities and limitations. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1995;5:161-191.
  60. Mugler JP 3rd. Overview of MR imaging pulse sequences. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1999;7:661-697.
  61. Mukherjee P, McKinstry RC. Diffusion tensor imaging and tractography of human brain development. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2006;16:19-43.
  62. Muroff LR, Runge VM. The use of MR contrast in neoplastic disease of the brain. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 1995;7:137-157.
  63. Nemzek WR. The trigeminal nerve. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 1996;8:132-154.
  64. Nimsky C, Ganslandt O, Von Keller B, Romstock J, Fahlbusch R. Intraoperative high-field-strength MR imaging: implementation and experience in 200 patients. *Radiology* 2004;233:67-78.
  65. Noujaim SE, Rossi MD, Rao SK, et al. CT and MR imaging of neurocysticercosis. *AJR* 1999;173:1485-1490.
  66. Pagani E, Bammer R, Horsfield MA, et al. Diffusion MR imaging in multiple sclerosis: technical aspects and challenges. *AJNR* 2007;28:411-420.
  67. Parsons MW, Li T, Barber PA, et al. Combined (1)H MR spectroscopy and diffusion-weighted MRI improves the prediction of stroke outcome. *Neurology* 2000;55:498-505.
  68. Paulus W, Trenkwalder C. Imaging of nonmotor symptoms in Parkinson syndromes. *Clin Neurosci* 1998;5:115-120.
  69. Peng H, Arfanakis K. Diffusion tensor encoding schemes optimized for white matter fibers with selected orientations. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2007;25:147-153.
  70. Pope WB, Sayre J, Perlina A, Villablanca JP, Mischel PS, Cloughesy TF. MR imaging correlates of survival in patients with high-grade gliomas. *AJNR* 2005;26:2466-2474.
  71. Post MJ, Yiannoutsos C, Simpson D, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in

- AIDS: are there any MR findings useful to patient management and predictive of patient survival? AIDS Clinical Trials Group, 243 Team. *AJNR* 1999;20:1896-1906.
72. Poussaint TY, Rodriguez D. Advanced neuroimaging of pediatric brain tumors: MR diffusion, MR perfusion, and MR spectroscopy. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2006;16:169-192.
  73. Provenzale JM, Barboriak DP. Brain infarction in young adults: etiology and imaging findings. *AJR* 1997;169:1161-1168.
  74. Ricci PE. Imaging of adult brain tumors. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1999;9:651-669.
  75. Ricci PE Jr. Proton MR spectroscopy in ischemic stroke and other vascular disorders. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1998;8:881-900.
  76. Richards TL, Dager SR, Posse S. Functional MR spectroscopy of the brain. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1998;8:823-834.
  77. Roberts TP, Rowley HA. Mapping of the sensorimotor cortex: functional MR and magnetic source imaging. *AJNR* 1997;18:871-880.
  78. Roos KL. Encephalitis. *Neurol Clin* 1999;17:813-833.
  79. Ross DA, Brunberg JA, Drury I, Henry TR. Intracerebral depth electrode monitoring in partial epilepsy: the morbidity and efficacy of placement using magnetic resonance image-guided stereotactic surgery. *Neurosurgery* 1996;39:327-333; discussion 333-334.
  80. Runge VM. Safety of MR contrast agents. In: Shellock, FG, ed. *Magnetic Resonance Procedures: Health Effects and Safety*. Boca Raton, Fla: CRC Press; 2001.
  81. Runge VM, Muroff LR, Wells JW. Principles of contrast enhancement in the evaluation of brain diseases: an overview. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1997;7:5-13.
  82. Runge VM, Wells JW. Update: safety, new applications, new MR agents. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 1995;7:181-195.
  83. Runge VM. The use of MR contrast in nonneoplastic disease of the brain. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 1995;7:158-167.
  84. Russell EJ, Schaible TF, Dillon W, et al. Multicenter double-blind placebo-controlled study of gadopentetate dimeglumine as an MR contrast agent: evaluation in patients with cerebral lesions. *AJR* 1989;152:813-823.
  85. Saindane AM, Law M, Ge Y, Johnson G, Babb JS, Grossman RI. Correlation of diffusion tensor and dynamic perfusion MR imaging metrics in normal-appearing corpus callosum: support for primary hypoperfusion in multiple sclerosis. *AJNR* 2007;28:767-772.
  86. Sawyer-Glover AM, Shellock FG. Pre-MRI procedure screening: recommendations and safety considerations for biomedical implants and devices. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2000;12:92-106.
  87. Schonewille WJ, Tuhim S, Singer MB, Atlas SW. Diffusion-weighted MRI in acute lacunar syndromes. A clinical-radiological correlation study. *Stroke* 1999;30:2066-2069.
  88. Schwartz RB, Hsu L, Wong TZ, et al. Intraoperative MR imaging guidance for intracranial neurosurgery: experience with the first 200 cases. *Radiology* 1999;211:477-488.
  89. Seidenwurm DJ, McDonnell CH 3rd, Raghavan N, Breslau J. Cost utility analysis of radiographic screening for an orbital foreign body before MR imaging. *AJNR* 2000;21:426-433.
  90. Shellock FG. *Magnetic Resonance Procedures: Health Effects and Safety*. Boca Raton, Fla: CRC Press; 2001.
  91. Shellock FG. *Guide to MR Procedures and Metallic Objects: Update 2001*. 7th edition. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2001.
  92. Shellock FG. *Reference Manual for MR Safety*. Salt Lake City, Utah: Amirsys, Inc; 2002.
  93. Silver NC, Barker GJ, Miller DH. Standardization of magnetization transfer imaging for multicenter studies. *Neurology* 1999;53:S33-S39.
  94. Simonetta AB. Imaging of suprasellar and parasellar tumors. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 1999;9:717-732.
  95. Simonson TM, Magnotta VA, Ehrhardt JC, Crosby DL, Fisher DJ, Yuh WT. Echo-planar FLAIR imaging in evaluation of intracranial lesions. *Radiographics* 1996;16:575-584.
  96. Sitoh YY, Tien RD. Neuroimaging in epilepsy. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1998;8:277-288.
  97. Sorensen AG, Tievsky AL, Ostergaard L, Weisskoff RM, Rosen BR. Contrast agents in functional MR imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1997;7:47-55.
  98. Spencer SS, Theodore WH, Berkovic SF. Clinical applications: MRI, SPECT, and PET. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1995;13:1119-1124.
  99. Srinivasan A, Goyal M, Al Azri F, Lum C. State-of-the-art imaging of acute stroke. *Radiographics* 2006;26:S75-S95.
  100. Stahl R, Dietrich O, Teipel SJ, Hampel H, Reiser MF, Schoenberg SO. White matter damage in Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment: assessment with diffusion-tensor MR imaging and parallel imaging techniques. *Radiology* 2007;243:483-492.
  101. Stone JA, Chakeres DW, Schmalbrock P. High-resolution MR imaging of the auditory pathway. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1998;6:195-217.
  102. Sunshine JL, Tarr RW, Lanzieri CF, Landis DM, Selman WR, Lewin JS. Hyperacute stroke:

- ultrafast MR imaging to triage patients prior to therapy. *Radiology* 1999;212:325-332.
103. Talos IF, Zou KH, Kikinis R, Jolesz FA. Volumetric assessment of tumor infiltration of adjacent white matter based on anatomic MRI and diffusion tensor tractography. *Acad Radiol* 2007;14:431-436.
  104. Takizawa M, Shimoda T, Nonaka M, et al. Parallel imaging of head with a dedicated multi-coil on a 0.4T open MRI. *Magn Reson Med Sci* 2005;4:95-101.
  105. Tice HM, Jones KM, Mulkern RV, et al. Fast spin-echo imaging of intracranial neoplasms. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1993;17:425-431.
  106. Tsuchiya K, Inaoka S, Mizutani Y, Hachiya J. Fast fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery MR of intracranial infections. *AJNR* 1997;18:909-913.
  107. Turner R, Howseman A, Rees GE, Josephs O, Friston K. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of the human brain: data acquisition and analysis. *Exp Brain Res* 1998;123:5-12.
  108. Tyler DJ, Robson MD, Henkelman RM, Young IR, Bydder GM. Magnetic resonance imaging with ultrashort TE (UTE) PULSE sequences: technique considerations. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2007;25:279-289.
  109. van Buchem MA. Magnetization transfer: applications in neuroradiology. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1999;23:S9-S18.
  110. Van de Moortele PF, Akgun C, Adriany G, et al. B (1) destructive interferences and spatial phase patterns at 7 T with a head transceiver array coil. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;54:1503-1518.
  111. Vymazal J, Righini A, Brooks RA, et al. T1 and T2 in the brain of healthy subjects, patients with Parkinson disease, and patients with multiple system atrophy: relation to iron content. *Radiology* 1999;211:489-495.
  112. Wagner BJ, Richardson KJ, Moran AM, Carrier DA. Intracranial vascular malformations. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 1995;16:253-268.
  113. Wang WC, Gallagher DM, Pegelow CH, et al. Multicenter comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and transcranial Doppler ultrasonography in the evaluation of the central nervous system in children with sickle cell disease. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2000;22:335-339.
  114. Weight DG, Bigler ED. Neuroimaging in psychiatry. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1998;21:725-759.
  115. Willinek WA, Kuhl CK. 3.0 T neuroimaging: technical considerations and clinical applications. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2006;16:217-228.
  116. Yang Y, Glover GH, van Gelderen P, et al. A comparison of fast MR scan techniques for cerebral activation studies at 1.5 tesla. *Magn Reson Med* 1998;39:61-67.
  117. Yuh WT, Christoforidis GA, Koch RM, et al. Clinical magnetic resonance imaging of brain tumors at ultrahigh field: a state-of-the-art review. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;17:53-61.
  118. Yuh WT, Ueda T, White M, Schuster ME, Taoka T. The need for objective assessment of the new imaging techniques and understanding the expanding roles of stroke imaging. *AJNR* 1999;20:1779-1784.
  119. Zimmerman RA, Wang ZJ. The value of proton MR spectroscopy in pediatric metabolic brain disease. *AJNR* 1997;18:1872-1879.

---

\*Guidelines and standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council. For guidelines and standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the guideline or standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Guideline

- 2002 (Resolution 8)
- Amended 2006 (Resolution 35)
- Revised 2008 (Resolution 21)