

The American College of Radiology, with more than 30,000 members, is the principal organization of radiologists, radiation oncologists, and clinical medical physicists in the United States. The College is a nonprofit professional society whose primary purposes are to advance the science of radiology, improve radiologic services to the patient, study the socioeconomic aspects of the practice of radiology, and encourage continuing education for radiologists, radiation oncologists, medical physicists, and persons practicing in allied professional fields.

The American College of Radiology will periodically define new practice guidelines and technical standards for radiologic practice to help advance the science of radiology and to improve the quality of service to patients throughout the United States. Existing practice guidelines and technical standards will be reviewed for revision or renewal, as appropriate, on their fifth anniversary or sooner, if indicated.

Each practice guideline and technical standard, representing a policy statement by the College, has undergone a thorough consensus process in which it has been subjected to extensive review, requiring the approval of the Commission on Quality and Safety as well as the ACR Board of Chancellors, the ACR Council Steering Committee, and the ACR Council. The practice guidelines and technical standards recognize that the safe and effective use of diagnostic and therapeutic radiology requires specific training, skills, and techniques, as described in each document. Reproduction or modification of the published practice guideline and technical standard by those entities not providing these services is not authorized.

2005 (Res. 30)*

ACR PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE PERFORMANCE AND INTERPRETATION OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY ANGIOGRAPHY (CTA)

PREAMBLE

These guidelines are an educational tool designed to assist practitioners in providing appropriate radiologic care for patients. They are not inflexible rules or requirements of practice and are not intended, nor should they be used, to establish a legal standard of care. For these reasons and those set forth below, the American College of Radiology cautions against the use of these guidelines in litigation in which the clinical decisions of a practitioner are called into question.

The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure or course of action must be made by the physician or medical physicist in light of all the circumstances presented. Thus, an approach that differs from the guidelines, standing alone, does not necessarily imply that the approach was below the standard of care. To the contrary, a conscientious practitioner may responsibly adopt a course of action different from that set forth in the guidelines when, in the reasonable judgment of the practitioner, such course of action is indicated by the condition of the patient, limitations of available resources, or advances in knowledge or technology subsequent to publication of the guidelines. However, a practitioner who employs an approach substantially different from these guidelines is advised to document in the patient record information sufficient to explain the approach taken.

The practice of medicine involves not only the science, but also the art of dealing with the prevention, diagnosis, alleviation, and treatment of disease. The variety and complexity of human conditions make it impossible to always reach the most appropriate diagnosis or to predict with certainty a particular response to treatment. Therefore, it should be recognized that adherence to these guidelines will not assure an accurate diagnosis or a

successful outcome. All that should be expected is that the practitioner will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The sole purpose of these guidelines is to assist practitioners in achieving this objective.

I. INTRODUCTION

Computed tomography angiography (CT angiography) is a proven and useful procedure for the detection and characterization of vascular diseases and of vascular anatomy relevant to the treatment of extravascular disorders. CT angiography may be used as the primary modality for detecting disease or as an adjunctive tool for better characterizing known disease or assessing changes in disease state over time. While it is not possible to detect all abnormalities using CT angiography, adherence to the following guideline will maximize the probability of their detection.

CT angiography is a form of medical imaging that involves the exposure of patients to ionizing radiation. It should only be performed under the supervision of a physician with the necessary training in radiation protection to optimize examination safety. Medical physicists and trained technical staff must be available.

CT angiography should be performed only for a valid medical reason and with the minimum exposure that provides the image quality necessary for adequate diagnostic information.

This guideline applies to all CT angiograms performed in all settings.

II. DEFINITION

CT angiography is defined as a CT examination that is primarily performed for assessment of the heart, arteries, or veins of the body. It requires at a minimum a thin section helical (spiral) CT acquisition coupled with a power injection of intravenous iodinated contrast medium. Three dimensional rendering and multiplanar reformations are important components of many CTA examinations.

III. INDICATIONS

Indications for CT angiography of cardiac and extracardiac vessels include, but are not limited to, the diagnosis, characterization, and/or surveillance of:

1. Arterial and venous aneurysms.
2. Atherosclerotic occlusive disease.
3. Nonatherosclerotic, noninflammatory vasculopathy.
4. Traumatic injuries to arteries and veins.
5. Arterial dissection and intramural hematoma.
6. Arterial and venous thromboembolism (see also the [ACR Practice Guideline for Computed Tomography \(CT\) for the Detection of Pulmonary Embolism in Adults](#)).
7. Congenital vascular anomalies.
8. Vascular anatomic variants.
9. Vascular interventions (percutaneous and surgical).
10. Vasculitis and collagen vascular diseases.
11. Vascular infection.

For the pregnant or potentially pregnant patient, see the [ACR Practice Guideline for Imaging Pregnant or Potentially Pregnant Adolescents and Women with Ionizing Radiation](#).

IV. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

See the [ACR Practice Guideline for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography \(CT\)](#).

Physician

Examinations must be performed under the supervision of and interpreted by a physician who has the following qualifications:

1. The physician should meet the criteria listed in the [ACR Practice Guideline for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography \(CT\)](#) and in the [ACR Practice Guideline for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media](#).

2. The physician is responsible for reviewing indications for the examination and for specifying the parameters of image acquisition; the route, volume, timing, type, and rate of contrast injection; and the method of image reconstruction and storage. The physician should monitor the quality of the images and interpret the study. Interpreting physicians must be knowledgeable of the anatomy and diseases of the cardiovascular system and their treatment.
3. Nonradiologist physicians meeting the aforementioned criteria additionally must be knowledgeable of the spectrum of nonvascular abnormalities presenting on CT scans. They should be capable of identifying and characterizing important nonvascular abnormalities that may manifest on CT angiograms, including neoplasia, sequela of infection, visceral and musculoskeletal trauma, noninfectious inflammatory diseases, congenital anomalies and normal anatomic variants, and any other abnormalities that might necessitate treatment or further characterization through additional diagnostic testing.
4. The physician should be familiar with the use of 3D processing workstations and be capable of performing or directing a technologist in creation of 3D renderings, multiplanar reformations, and measurements of vessel dimensions.

V. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE EXAMINATION

The written or electronic request for a CTA should provide sufficient information to demonstrate the medical necessity of the examination and allow for its proper performance and interpretation.

Documentation that satisfies medical necessity includes 1) signs and symptoms and/or 2) relevant history (including known diagnoses). Additional information regarding the specific reason for the examination or a provisional diagnosis would be helpful and may at times be needed to allow for the proper performance and interpretation of the examination.

The request for the examination must be originated by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider. The accompanying clinical information should be provided by a physician or other appropriately licensed health care provider familiar with the patient's clinical problem or question and consistent with the state's scope of practice requirements. (ACR Resolution 35, adopted in 2006)

A. Patient Selection and Preparation

Patients without absolute contraindication to the administration of iodinated contrast media are candidates for CT angiography. If a relative contraindication to the administration of iodinated contrast medium is present, measures to reduce the possibility of contrast medium reactions or nephrotoxicity should be followed as defined in the [ACR Practice Guideline for the Use of Intravascular Contrast Media](#), or an alternative vascular imaging modality should be considered, e.g., MRA.

When possible, patients should be well hydrated and intravenous access established. A 20-gauge or larger antecubital intravenous catheter is the preferred administration route of iodinated contrast medium for CT angiography; however a 22-gauge catheter placed in the hand or lower extremity may be used if necessary. All catheters used for the CT angiogram should first be tested with a rapidly injected bolus of sterile saline to insure that the venous access is secure and can accommodate the rapid bolus, minimizing the risk of contrast medium extravasations. The injection site should be monitored by medical personnel trained in the rapid recognition of IV extravasations. Department procedures for care of IV extravasations should be documented.

B. CT Equipment

The use of a multidetector-row CT scanner is preferred for CT angiography; however CT angiography can be performed with a single-detector-row CT scanner. Helical CT acquisition is mandatory for CT angiography. A complete gantry rotation should be no greater than 1 second and preferably less. The scanner must be capable of detecting and reliably diagnosing pathology in the adjacent structures and end organs of the vessels. For cardiac and some ascending aortic CT angiography, an ECG-gated acquisition should be performed that allows retrospective reconstruction of the scan volume at multiple phases through the cardiac cycle.

A powered contrast medium injector that allows programming of both the volume and flow rate must be used for CT angiography examinations.

A workstation capable of creating multiplanar reformations, maximum-intensity projections, and volume renderings or shaded surface displays should be available for CT angiograms, and applicable to the appropriate study. The workstation should also allow the direct measurement of vascular diameters and, when appropriate, path lengths and angles.

C. Examination Technique

Prior to acquiring the CT angiogram, an unenhanced helical CT acquisition may be necessary for detecting

mural or extravascular hemorrhage, mapping of arterial calcification, or localization of the anatomy of interest. The section thickness for this preliminary CT acquisition is application dependent. Ideally it should be the same thickness as the CTA but definitely should not exceed 5 mm. The radiation exposure to the patient should be minimized within the limits of acceptable image quality. If infants and children are being imaged, there should be written guidelines for acceptable CT radiation exposure, including weight or age-appropriate guidelines to reflect the as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) principle.

Because of substantial variations in the time required for an intravenous contrast medium injection to reach the target vascular anatomy, an assessment of patient-specific circulation time is frequently required, although not mandatory. Circulation timing can be performed using two techniques:

1. Intravenous injection of a small bolus (e.g., 10 to 15 ml) of contrast medium at the rate and through the access that will be used for the CT angiogram followed by acquisition of sequential stationary CT images at the level of the artery or vein of interest. The rate and intensity of enhancement of the lumen of interest are then used to create a time density curve. The peak of the curve is used to determine the scanning delay.
2. The use of automated triggering software based on monitoring of the attenuation within the vessel of interest by the CT scanner following initiation of the full dose of contrast media injection. The CT angiogram is automatically started when the enhancement in the vessel reaches a predetermined operator selected level.

The administration of iodinated contrast media for the CT angiogram should ideally be performed with a minimum flow rate of 3 ml per second in any patient weighing 50 or more kilograms. Higher flow rates up to 5 ml per second or greater are frequently required for larger patients, and in general higher flow rates are required for shorter acquisitions. Therefore, contrast injection parameters should be modified on an individual patient basis whenever necessary. In children, contrast medium dosing should be scaled to body weight. Injection rate should be scaled similarly and preferably delivered via powered injection. The volume of contrast medium should be selected in consideration of the patient's weight and comorbidities that might increase the risk of nephrotoxicity. When performing thoracic CT angiography, a right-arm injection is preferable to a left-arm injection to avoid artifacts from undiluted contrast medium in the left brachiocephalic vein. When possible, a bolus of saline following the iodinated contrast medium

injection may reduce the volume of contrast medium required to achieve adequate vascular opacification.

The CT angiographic acquisition should be performed with a nominal section thickness of 3 mm or less depending on the vascular territory to be assessed. The scan should be reconstructed with overlapping sections at a maximum increment of 50 % of the effective section thickness. When recording to film, display settings of window width and level should be customized to allow clear delineation of the enhanced vascular lumen from mural calcium. A delayed phase acquisition may be indicated in some settings and is usually performed with a maximum of 5 mm section thickness. These include, but are not limited to, the detection of endoleaks following arterial stent grafting, mapping of venous anatomy following arterial assessment, and ureteral and renal collecting system mapping.

Postprocessing of the CT angiogram by either physicians or licensed radiology technologists to provide multiplanar reformations and/or 3D renderings is mandatory. Volume renderings, maximum-intensity projections, shaded surface displays, and curved planar reformations must be created by a person knowledgeable of both cardiovascular anatomy and pathology to avoid misrepresenting normal regions as diseased and vice versa. Segmentation of the CT data through a variety of manual and automated means may facilitate vascular visualization, but must be performed with care to avoid excluding key regions of the anatomy or creating pseudolesions. Images should be clearly labeled to indicate left and right. Manual labeling that identifies the artery and its situs is required when lateral or sagittal views of one of the iliac, upper extremity, or lower extremity arteries are displayed or when aortic branches are presented in isolation, typically using curved planar reformations. Post-processed images should be recorded and archived in a manner similar to the source CT sections.

D. Interpretation

CT angiograms are preferentially interpreted on a workstation that allows stacked cine paging of the primary transverse and the reformatted CT sections; however when unavailable, film based recording may substitute for interpretation. A complete interpretation includes review of the transverse CT sections, multiplanar/curved reformations, volume renderings, maximum-intensity projections, and other images produced during postprocessing. On occasion the interpreting physician will personally create postprocessed images documenting important findings that are essential to the interpretation of the study. These images should be archived with the patient's original study or other postprocessed images. Pertinent measurements of vascular dimensions should be performed digitally on the workstations. Interpretation of

the CT angiogram includes an assessment of the end-organs of the vessels examined for secondary findings of the vascular abnormalities and alternative causes for the patient's symptoms. Organs adjacent to the examined vessels should be viewed at appropriate windows for potential pathology.

VI. DOCUMENTATION

Reporting should be in accordance with the [ACR Practice Guideline for Communication of Diagnostic Imaging Findings](#). In addition to examining the vascular structures of interest, the CT sections should be examined for extravascular abnormalities that may have clinical relevance. These abnormalities should be described in the formal report of the examination.

VII. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

For diagnostic quality CT angiography, the CT scanner should meet or exceed the following specifications:

1. Type of scanner: single-row or multi-row helical or electron beam CT. Cardiac CT angiography should be performed on a MDCT scanner, preferably with greater than or equal to 16 active detector rows.
2. Gantry rotation: 1 second or less for extracardiac and 0.5 second or less for cardiac CT angiography.
3. Tube heat capacity that allows for a single ≥ 20 second acquisitions.
4. Minimum section thickness: no greater than 3 mm, preferably no greater than 1.5 mm.

To maximize information available from the CT scan and thus derive the full diagnostic benefit for the patient following X-ray irradiation, any CT scanner used for CT angiography must allow display and interpretation of the full 12 bits (from -1000 to 3095 Hounsfield Units) of attenuation information. Additionally the display field of view must be sufficient to allow an assessment of the vasculature of interest, the end-organ, and adjacent tissues.

Appropriate emergency equipment and medications must be immediately available to treat adverse reactions associated with administered medications. The equipment and medications should be monitored for inventory and drug expiration dates on a regular basis. The equipment, medications, and other emergency support must also be appropriate for the range of ages and sizes in the patient population.

VIII. RADIATION SAFETY IN IMAGING

Radiologists, medical physicists, radiologic technologists, and all supervising physicians have a responsibility to

minimize radiation dose to individual patients, to staff, and to society as a whole, while maintaining the necessary diagnostic image quality. This concept is known as “as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).”

Facilities, in consultation with the medical physicist, should have in place and should adhere to policies and procedures, in accordance with ALARA, to vary examination protocols to take into account patient body habitus, such as height and/or weight, body mass index or lateral width. The dose reduction devices that are available on imaging equipment should be active or manual techniques should be used to moderate the exposure while maintaining the necessary diagnostic image quality. Periodically, radiation exposures should be measured and patient radiation doses estimated by a medical physicist in accordance with the appropriate ACR Technical Standard. (ACR Resolution 17, adopted in 2006 – revised in 2009, Resolution 11)

IX. QUALITY CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT, SAFETY, INFECTION CONTROL, AND PATIENT EDUCATION

Policies and procedures related to quality, patient education, infection control, and safety should be developed and implemented in accordance with the ACR Policy on Quality Control and Improvement, Safety, Infection Control, and Patient Education appearing elsewhere in the ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards book.

Equipment performance monitoring should be in accordance with the [ACR Technical Standard for Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of Computed Tomography \(CT\) Equipment](#).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This guideline was developed according to the process described in the ACR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards book by the Committee on Body CT of the Commission on General, Small, and Rural Practice.

Principal Drafter: Geoffrey D. Rubin, MD

Drafting Committee: Chieh-Min Fan, MD
Elliot K. Fishman, MD
John Kaufman, MD

Committee on Body CT

Lincoln L. Berland, MD, Chair
James A. Brink, MD
Jay P. Heiken, MD
Edward Lubat, MD
Alec J. Megibow, MD
Geoffrey D. Rubin, MD
William P. Shuman, MD

J. Bruce Hauser, MD, Chair, Commission

Comments Reconciliation Committee

Thomas B. Fletcher, MD, Chair, CSC
Paul H. Ellenbogen, MD
Paul A. Larson, MD
Lincoln Berland, MD
Chieh-Min Fan, MD
Elliot K. Fishman, MD
J. Bruce Hauser, MD
John A. Kaufman, MD
Alan D. Kaye, MD
Bruce M. Markle, MD
Geoffrey D. Rubin, MD

Suggested Reading

1. Adriaensen ME, Kock MC, Stijnen T, et al. Peripheral arterial disease: therapeutic confidence of CT versus digital subtraction angiography and effect on additional imaging recommendations. *Radiology* 2004;233:385-391.
2. Behar JV, Nelson RC, Zidar JP, et al. Thin-section multidetector CT angiography of renal artery stents. *AJR* 2002;178:1155-1159.
3. Cademartiri F, Nieman K, van der Lugt A, et al. Intravenous contrast material administration at 16-detector row helical CT coronary angiography: test bolus versus bolus-tracking technique. *Radiology* 2004;233:817-823.
4. Casey SO, Alberico RA, Patel M, et al. Cerebral CT venography. *Radiology* 1996;198:163-170.
5. Catalano C, Fraioli F, Leghi A, et al. Infrarenal aortic and lower-extremity arterial disease: diagnostic performance of multi-detector row CT angiography. *Radiology* 2004;231:555-563.
6. Coulam CH, Rubin GD. Acute aortic abnormalities. *Semin Roentgenol* 2001;36:148-164.
7. Dammert S, Krings T, Moller-Hartmann W, et al. Detection of intracranial aneurysms with multislice CT: comparison with conventional angiography. *Neuroradiology* 2004;46:427-434.
8. Dillon EH, van Leeuwen MS, Fernandez MA, et al. Spiral CT angiography. *AJR* 1993;160:1273-1278.
9. Galanski M, Prokop M, Chavan A, et al. Renal arterial stenoses: spiral CT angiography. *Radiology* 1993;189:185-192.
10. Gavant ML, Flick P, Menke P, et al. CT aortography of thoracic aortic rupture. *AJR* 1996;166:955-961.
11. Goodman DN, Hoh BL, Rabinov JD, et al. CT angiography before embolization for hemorrhage in head and neck cancer. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2003;24:140-142.
12. Hirai T, Korogi Y, Murata Y, et al. Maximum stenosis of extracranial internal carotid artery: effect of luminal morphology on stenosis measurement by

- using CT angiography and conventional DSA. *Radiology* 2001;221:802-809.
13. Hoffmann MH, Shi H, Manzke R, et al. Noninvasive coronary angiography with 16 detector row CT: effect of heart rate. *Radiology* 2005;234:86-97.
 14. Hong C, Chrysant GS, Woodard PK, et al. Coronary artery stent patency assessed with in-stent contrast enhancement measured at multi-detector row CT angiography: initial experience. *Radiology* 2004;233:286-291.
 15. Horton KM, Fishman EK. Multidetector CT angiography of pancreatic carcinoma: part 1, evaluation of arterial involvement. *AJR* 2002;178:827-831.
 16. Horton KM, Fishman EK. Multidetector CT angiography of pancreatic carcinoma: part 2, evaluation of arterial involvement. *AJR* 2002;178:833-836.
 17. Jayaraman MV, Mayo-Smith WW, Tung GA, et al. Detection of intracranial aneurysms: multi-detector row CT angiography compared with DSA. *Radiology* 2004;230:510-518.
 18. Josephson SA, Bryant SO, Mak HK, et al. Evaluation of carotid stenosis using CT angiography in the initial evaluation of stroke and TIA. *Neurology* 2004;63:457-460.
 19. Kaatee R, Beek FJ, de Lange EE, et al. Renal artery stenosis: detection and quantification with spiral CT angiography versus optimized digital subtraction angiography. *Radiology* 1997;205:121-127.
 20. Karanas YL, Antony A, Rubin G, et al. Preoperative CT angiography for free fibula transfer. *Microsurgery* 2004;24:125-127.
 21. Katz DA, Marks MP, Napel SA, et al. Circle of Willis: evaluation with spiral CT angiography, MR angiography, and conventional angiography. *Radiology* 1995;195:445-449.
 22. Kawamoto S, Montgomery RA, Lawler LP, et al. Multidetector CT angiography for preoperative evaluation of living laparoscopic kidney donors. *AJR* 2003;180:1633-1638.
 23. Kopp AF, Kuttner A, Trabold T, et al. Multislice CT in cardiac and coronary angiography. *Br J Radiol* 2004;77:S87-S97.
 24. Lee SS, Kim TK, Byun JH, et al. Hepatic arteries in potential donors for living related liver transplantation: evaluation with multi-detector row CT angiography. *Radiology* 2003;227:391-399.
 25. Lepanto L, Arzoumanian Y, Gianfelice D, et al. Helical CT with CT angiography in assessing periampullary neoplasms: identification of vascular invasion. *Radiology* 2002;222:347-352.
 26. Lu B, Zhuang N, Mao SS, et al. Baseline heart rate-adjusted electrocardiographic triggering for coronary artery electron-beam CT angiography. *Radiology* 2004;233:590-595.
 27. Maintz D, Tombach B, Juergens KU, et al. Revealing in-stent stenoses of the iliac arteries: comparison of multidetector CT with MR angiography and digital radiographic angiography in a phantom model. *AJR* 2002;179:1319-1322.
 28. Martin ML, Tay KH, Flak B, et al. Multidetector CT angiography of the aortoiliac system and lower extremities: a prospective comparison with digital subtraction angiography. *AJR* 2003;180:1085-1091.
 29. Munera F, Soto JA, Palacio DM, et al. Penetrating neck injuries: helical CT angiography for initial evaluation. *Radiology* 2002;224:366-372.
 30. Napel S, Marks MP, Rubin GD, et al. CT angiography with spiral CT and maximum intensity projection. *Radiology* 1992;185:607-610.
 31. Ofer A, Nitecki SS, Linn S, et al. Multidetector CT angiography of peripheral vascular disease: a prospective comparison with intraarterial digital subtraction angiography. *AJR* 2003;180:719-724.
 32. Randoux B, Marro B, Marsault C. Carotid artery stenosis: competition between CT angiography and MR angiography. *AJNR* 2004;25:663-664.
 33. Rubin GD, Dake MD, Napel SA, et al. Three-dimensional spiral CT angiography of the abdomen: initial clinical experience. *Radiology* 1993;186:147-152.
 34. Rubin GD, Schmidt AJ, Logan LJ, et al. Multi-detector row CT angiography of lower extremity arterial inflow and runoff: initial experience. *Radiology* 2001;221:146-158.
 35. Rubin GD, Shiau MC, Leung AN, et al. Aorta and iliac arteries: single versus multiple detector-row helical CT angiography. *Radiology* 2000;215:670-676.
 36. Schoepf UJ, Costello P. CT angiography for diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: state of the art. *Radiology* 2004;230:329-337.
 37. Schoepf UJ, Helmberger T, Holzknrecht N, et al. Segmental and subsegmental pulmonary arteries: evaluation with electron-beam versus spiral CT. *Radiology* 2000;214:433-439.
 38. Schuster ME, Fishman JE, Copeland JF, et al. Pulmonary embolism in pregnant patients: a survey of practices and policies for CT pulmonary angiography. *AJR* 2003;181:1495-1498.
 39. Schwartz RB, Jones KM, Chernoff DM, et al. Common carotid artery bifurcation: evaluation with spiral CT. Work in progress. *Radiology* 1992;185:513-519.
 40. Tanikake M, Shimizu T, Narabayashi I, et al. Three-dimensional CT angiography of the hepatic artery: use of multi-detector row helical CT and a contrast agent. *Radiology* 2003;227:883-889.
 41. Teksam M, McKinney A, Casey S, et al. Multi-section CT angiography for detection of cerebral aneurysms. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2004;25:1485-1492.
 42. Vernhet H, Serfaty JM, Serhal M, et al. Abdominal CT angiography before surgery as a predictor of postoperative death in acute aortic dissection. *AJR* 2004;182:875-879.

43. Willmann JK, Weishaupt D, Kobza R, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafts: ECG-gated multi-detector row CT angiography—influence of image reconstruction interval on graft visibility. *Radiology* 2004;232:568-577.
44. Willmann JK, Mayer D, Banya M, et al. Evaluation of peripheral arterial bypass grafts with multi-detector row CT angiography: comparison with duplex US and digital subtraction angiography. *Radiology* 2003;229:465-474

*Guidelines and standards are published annually with an effective date of October 1 in the year in which amended, revised or approved by the ACR Council. For guidelines and standards published before 1999, the effective date was January 1 following the year in which the guideline or standard was amended, revised, or approved by the ACR Council.

Development Chronology for this Guideline

2005 (Resolution 30)

Amended 2006 (Resolution 17, 35)

Amended 2009 (Resolution 11)