

American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Clinical Condition: Multiple Gestations

Variant 1: Patients with high index of suspicion for multiple gestations — assisted reproductive techniques, large dates for pregnancy, and elevated maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal	9		O
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 2: Patients with low index of suspicion for multiple gestations — all pregnancies or family history of twins.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal	9		O
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 3: Initial ultrasound has diagnosed twins on the same scan.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
On the Same US Exam			
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (determine chorionicity and amnionicity)	9		O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (detailed anatomic survey)	9	Fetal anomalies are more frequent in twins than in singletons.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (assess amniotic fluid)	9	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (assess twin sizes and discordancy)	9	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (assess cervix)	9	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (assess nuchal translucency for each twin)	9	Not routine for many centers but can be performed at 11-14 weeks if the patient desires first-trimester screening. The scan may be less optimal for fetuses farther away from the transducer.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (umbilical artery Doppler for each twin)	3	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	O
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Clinical Condition:**Multiple Gestations****Variant 4:****Parameters to measure for twin discordance.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL</u>*
Measurement Parameter US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (abdominal circumference)	9	Same table to be used as for singletons.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (weight)	9	Same table to be used as for singletons. Weight based upon a standard regression equation using measurements of at least three parameters.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (biparietal diameter)	9	Same table to be used as for singletons.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (head circumference)	9	Same table to be used as for singletons.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (femur)	9	Same table to be used as for singletons.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (head/abdomen circumference ratio)	6	Same table to be used as for singletons.	O
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal (femur/abdominal circumference ratio)	3	Same table to be used as for singletons.	O
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

MULTIPLE GESTATIONS

Expert Panel on Women's Imaging: Sandra O. DeJesús Allison, MD¹; Marcia C. Javitt, MD²; Phyllis Glanc, MD³; Rochelle F. Andreotti, MD⁴; Genevieve L. Bennett, MD⁵; Douglas L. Brown, MD⁶; Theodore Dubinsky, MD⁷; Mukesh G. Harisinghani, MD⁸; Robert D. Harris, MD, MPH⁹; Donald G. Mitchell, MD¹⁰; Pari V. Pandharipande, MD, MPH¹¹; Harpreet K. Pannu, MD¹²; Ann E. Podrasky, MD¹³; Thomas D. Shipp, MD¹⁴; Cary Lynn Siegel, MD¹⁵; Lynn Simpson, MD¹⁶; Jade J. Wong-You-Cheong, MD¹⁷; Carolyn M. Zelop, MD.¹⁸

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Multiple gestations are high-risk compared with singleton pregnancies [1-2]. Dichorionic twin pregnancies must also be diamniotic, and they have the lowest risk (ie, a 10% risk) that one or both fetuses will not survive beyond the neonatal period. When twins share one placenta (ie, monochorionic-diamniotic twinning) the risk increases to 25%. This increased mortality is due to complications related to blood vessel communications between the cardiovascular circulations of the individual twins. These conditions include twin-twin transfusion syndrome (TTTS), twin embolization syndrome, and acardius, or twin-reversed arterial perfusion sequence. When twins also share the same compartment — monochorionic-monoamniotic twinning — the loss rate jumps to 50%, with the incremental mortality attributable to cord entanglement accidents.

The major sources of morbidity and mortality common to all twin gestations are prematurity and intrauterine growth

restriction, which may affect one or both fetuses. There may be an earlier onset to placental postmaturity complications. There is also an increased incidence of congenital anomalies among all twins, although anatomic malformations occur four to five times more frequently in monozygotic than in dizygotic twins [3]. The overall risk for at least one of a monochorionic/monoamniotic twin pair having a structural congenital cardiac anomaly is eight times that of a monochorionic/diamniotic twin pair. In addition, if one of a pair of monochorionic twins is affected, the risk of the other twin having a cardiac anomaly is also higher [4]. All categories of perinatal morbidity and mortality among twins occur with even greater frequency in higher-order multiple gestations [5].

Predicting or identifying pregnancy complications is an important obstetric goal. It allows the perinatal team to plan antenatal management, necessary interventions, and timing of delivery. To date, in spite of the increasing incidence of multifetal pregnancies related to assisted reproduction, there is a paucity of medical literature regarding imaging schedules for diagnosis and follow-up in this population. The appropriateness criteria presented here have been revised to address diagnosing a multiple gestation in the first trimester, and to scan for detailed anatomic evaluation and comparative growth at 18-20 weeks. The roles of the monitoring of fetal well-being, nuchal translucency screening (NTS), and the timing of follow-up growth scans are discussed. Triplet and higher-order multiple gestations are not specifically addressed, but they should be treated as very-high-risk pregnancies.

Initial Ultrasound Examination

Determination of multiple gestations in the first trimester is important for several reasons. Monochorionic twins and triplets are at a higher risk for twin-twin transfusion, fetal growth restriction, congenital anomalies, vasa previa, velamentous insertion of the umbilical cord, and fetal death. Because of the increased morbidity and mortality directly related to problems resulting from chorionicity, establishment of, amnionicity and chorionicity is essential in implementing an antepartum management strategy [6-11]. Because of the high risk of perinatal loss in higher order multiple pregnancies, ultrasound (US) guided reduction may be offered to reduce the number of fetuses with the hope of optimizing the outcome for the remaining fetuses. Chorionicity and amnionicity must be determined prior to reduction because the presence of vascular anastomoses in monochorionic gestations increases the risk of injury or damage to the remaining fetuses [12].

The first trimester is considered the most optimal time to diagnose chorionicity with US [8,13], as the number of gestational sacs equals the number of chorions. Sonographic assignment of monochorionicity during the first trimester has higher sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values than assignment during the second trimester [14]. As with twins, the

¹Principal Author, Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia.

²Panel Chair, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia.

³Panel Vice-chair, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Bayview Campus, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

⁴Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee.

⁵New York University Medical Center, New York, New York.

⁶Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota.

⁷University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington.

⁸Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston Massachusetts.

⁹Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, New Hampshire.

¹⁰Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

¹¹Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston Massachusetts.

¹²Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York.

¹³Baptist Hospital of Miami/South Miami Center for Women and Infants, Miami, Florida.

¹⁴Diagnostic Ultrasound Associates, Boston, Massachusetts, American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

¹⁵Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, St. Louis, Missouri.

¹⁶Columbia University, New York, New York, American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

¹⁷University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland.

¹⁸Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

sonographic determination of chorionicity can be applied to higher order gestations with a high degree of accuracy.

It has been suggested that amnionicity can be predicted indirectly by counting the number of yolk sacs [15], however if the amnions are not evident by US due to the early gestational age or only a single yolk sac is identified, a follow-up US to directly identify the amnion(s) is useful [16]. Chorionicity can be accurately predicted in the early to mid first trimester by counting the number of gestational sacs. Features that may be helpful for determining chorionicity in the very late first through third trimester include number of placentas, fetal gender, the lambda or twin peak sign, and dividing membrane thickness. Several studies support that the finding of a thick dividing intertwin membrane and the identification of the “lambda” or “twin peak” sign during the 11-14 week scan as the best sign to determine chorionicity [10,16-20]. While it is practical to perform the initial scan at the time of second trimester biochemical screening, earlier recognition of pregnancies that will require closer antenatal monitoring and carrying higher potential risks may be desired so that women with high risk pregnancies may be afforded opportunity to access appropriate antenatal input prior to routine anomaly scanning and allow more accurate diagnosis of amnionicity. Bora et al found that performing the US at 7-9 weeks gestation showed a very high agreement with 11-14 week scan in the diagnosis of chorionicity and amnionicity. In monochorionic pregnancies, however, the diagnosis of amnionicity was less accurate owing to normal later sonographic demonstration of the amniotic membrane [21]. In these cases, a later scan at 11-14 weeks can be obtained when the diagnosis can be made with greater confidence.

Because first-trimester US for pregnancy is not universally routine, the early diagnosis of multiple gestation via US relies on maintaining a high index of suspicion in patients with uterine size greater than expected for dates [22], a history of assisted reproduction, and elevation of maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein [23-24]. Detection rates for multiple gestation in the first trimester approach 99.3% [17]. In the RADIUS trial, 129 multifetal pregnancies were studied. Those screened with US were consistently diagnosed earlier than those not screened. In spite of this, no statistically significant fetal benefits were demonstrated in those who were screened in this trial [25]. During the initial scan it is also important to evaluate the amount of amniotic fluid for each twin, to image the cervix to check for changes of shortening or dilatation [26], and to assess the size of each twin and the degree of discordance, if any, between them. Intertwin disparity in size has been shown to be predictive to the development of TTTS [27]. Although there are a few studies with contradicting results [28-29], Salomon et al showed that twins with discordant crown-rump length (CRL) had a poor outcome [30] and therefore early discordance of CRL >10% should probably heighten fetal surveillance. In the absence of reliable predictors of TTTS, serial surveillance of monochorionic pregnancies

starting in early second trimester may be prudent. Some investigators suggest US every two weeks to monitor growth, perform US Doppler and check amniotic fluid volume [31].

The prevalence of congenital anomalies is higher in twin gestations than in singletons, further underscoring the importance of detailed US scans in all patients carrying multiple gestations. Fetal echocardiography should be considered in monochorionic gestations. Risk assessment for aneuploidy using maternal serum biochemistry is limited. It is not possible to accurately assess the contribution of each fetus; therefore, one cannot distinguish which twin is affected with an abnormal result. In addition, levels from the normal twin can mask abnormal levels in the affected twin. Because it is fetus specific, nuchal translucency may be the best option for aneuploidy screening in multiple gestations [32-33]. The feasibility of NTS in twins has been found to be comparable with that in singletons [34]. However, it is important to keep in mind that the quality of NTS measurements in a pregnancy with multiple fetuses compared to a singleton pregnancy may be less optimal especially in fetuses located farther from the abdominal wall [35].

Follow-up Ultrasound Examination and Antepartum Surveillance

Tests for evaluating fetal well-being include sonographic assessment of fetal growth and amniotic fluid volume, umbilical artery Doppler (UAD), nonstress test (NST), and biophysical profile (BPP). NST and UAD are considered more predictive of fetal well-being than the other two [36] because of the inaccuracy of amniotic fluid volume estimation in multiple gestations.

Estimation of fetal weight in twin gestations is essential for management and the selection of optimal timing of delivery. Evaluation for growth restriction, weight discordance, and TTTS relies on accurate estimation of fetal weight. The formulas for estimated fetal weight (EFW) currently in use are based on singleton pregnancies, and data on the accuracy of these formulas for twin pregnancies are sparse and contradictory [37-39]. Danon et al [40] found the accuracy of sonographically determined EFW to be lower for twin gestations than for singleton gestation. Therefore, EFW based on US measurements should be treated with caution.

Hartley et al [41] showed that growth restriction confers worse perinatal outcome in twins when compared with singletons. Some investigators suggest that serial US scans to assess fetal weight and growth should be done every 3-4 weeks from 18-20 weeks until delivery [24]. Others advocate follow-up beginning at 28 weeks, since fetal growth in twin pregnancies mirrors singleton growth until 28 to 32 weeks [42-43]. There are others who advocate the use of twin growth charts in the third trimester. Fetal growth in twin and multifetal pregnancies after 30 weeks of gestation lags behind the growth of singleton pregnancies. Therefore, 2- to 4-week intervals for serial US scans to monitor interval growth in the third

trimester is recommended by D'Alton and Mercer [44]. Even closer surveillance may be indicated if there is a monochorionic or monoamniotic twin pair as part of the multifetal pregnancy, particularly if there is discordance in fetal sizes or amniotic fluid volumes.

The necessary parameters to measure or calculate in assessing the likelihood of intrauterine growth restriction include weight and abdominal circumference [45-47]. Measurements of biparietal diameter, head circumference, and femur length are all indicated, but ratios of head or femur to abdominal circumference are probably not needed. There is conflicting evidence about whether the same measurement tables developed for singleton pregnancies are indicated for twins, rather than tables specifically generated for twins [48-49]. Twin pregnancies are at greater risk of intrauterine growth restriction, which may affect one or both fetuses, and there is concern that growth tables for twins, which do show smaller measurements than singletons in the third trimester, may be incorporating tendencies toward growth restriction within their normal values. It is important to remember that twins can be concordantly growth-restricted. If both twins are small for dates on follow-up sonograms, protocols for monitoring fetal well-being are indicated, just as they would be in significantly discordant twins.

Grobman et al [50] showed a positive predictive value of 85% for birthweight discordance (BWD) (20% or more) where growth restriction using EFW was first documented at 20-24 weeks gestation. This predictive value decreased with increasing gestational age, which supports screening at 20-24 weeks gestation, 28 weeks at the latest [51]. If growth discordance (>20% to 30%, calculated as a percentage of the larger twin's weight) or growth restriction (<10%) is discovered, US is recommended every 2 weeks [24].

Discordant fetal growth is seen in a significant proportion of twin pregnancies. Detection is important because discordance is associated with perinatal complications and with higher risk of fetal structural or chromosomal abnormalities. Significant discordance often influences decision-making regarding timing and mode of delivery. Although there is conflicting evidence in the literature as to the accuracy of US in predicting the delivery of twins with discordant birthweight [52-54], Diaz-Garcia et al [55] found that US prediction of BWD within 15 days of delivery is accurate enough for routine clinical use. Discordance in EFW is the most widely used method of identifying discordant growth. [56]. Some authors suggest that discordance should be defined as mild if weight estimates for the twins are 15% different, moderate if 20% different, and severe if 25% different or greater [57]. The incidence of infant death is 25%, congenital anomalies 38%, and small for gestational age 32% in premature infants with BWD $\geq 30\%$ [58]. For mild discordance, scans for growth at 3-week intervals with use of UAD analysis are probably indicated. For moderate discordance, scans for growth at 2-3-week intervals should be considered, and UAD, BPP, and/or NST are

indicated. When discordance is severe, growth scans at 2-week intervals are preferred, with BPP and/or NST necessary and UAD also indicated. If both twins have fallen below the 10th percentile for gestational age relative to menstrual dates and/or dating by the initial sonogram, that should also be taken as an indication for increased surveillance of growth and fetal health.

Approximately 10%-20% of monochorionic twin pregnancies may be associated with TTTS, a type of twin discordance. In the past, the diagnosis was made when US demonstrated a 20% weight discordance. More recently, it was found that around 20 weeks gestational age, if there is polyhydramnios of the recipient twin (with the largest fluid pocket of 8-10 cm) and there is oligohydramnios in the donor twin (with largest pocket ≤ 2 cm), then the diagnosis can be made [59-60]. In addition to the evaluation of amniotic fluid volume, bladder volume, and hydrops in each twin, Doppler findings may be used to assess these pregnancies complicated by intertwin vascular connections within the placenta or between cord insertion sites. While arterio-arterial anastomoses are most common, it is the number and location of arterio-venous anastomoses that are most important in TTTS. Other Doppler findings include absent or reversed end-diastolic flow within the umbilical artery, pulsatile umbilical vein flow, and absent or reversed end-diastolic flow within the ductus venosus [61-62]. UAD generally is not a rapidly fluctuating or deteriorating parameter, but rather is a long-term predictor of the status of the uteroplacental circulation [63-64]. As such, it has prognostic significance for the likelihood of growth restriction and perinatal morbidity and mortality, and it may change weekly if abnormal [65]. The values and patterns of change in vascular resistance are the same as for singletons [66]. Doppler assessment of umbilical venous blood flow and umbilical artery systolic/diastolic ratios are considered useful in predicting and confirming concordant and discordant growth in twins. Absent end diastolic flow is associated with low birth weight, growth restriction, and perinatal mortality in triplet and quadruplet pregnancies [67-68].

On each indicated follow-up sonogram, it remains equally important to continue to measure twins for development of new or progressive discordance, and to evaluate the cervix and each twin's amniotic fluid. Transvaginal sonography has been shown to be able to accurately assess cervical length and predict spontaneous delivery before 34 weeks as early as the 23rd week [69-72]. A large multicenter study of cervical length in twin pregnancies determined that a cervical length of <25 mm at 24 weeks gestation was the best predictor of delivery before 32 weeks of gestation and was significantly more common in the 24th and 28th weeks of gestation in twin gestations compared with singletons [72-73]. Cervical length can be predictive of preterm delivery in TTTS [74]. Fox et al found that in twin gestations, a cervical length that decreases by 20% over two measurements is a significant predictor of very preterm birth, even in the setting of a normal cervical length. They advocate taking serial

cervical length measurements starting at <24 weeks [75]. As mentioned previously, oligohydramnios in one sac may be a sign of TTTS if the other twin is presenting with polyhydramnios. Oligohydramnios in one or both sacs may indicate uteroplacental insufficiency necessitating further testing to screen for fetal well-being [76].

Weekly surveillance for all multifetal pregnancies has not been validated in prospective studies. Surveillance with an NST or BPP for pregnancies complicated by abnormal fluid volumes, pregnancy-induced hypertension, fetal anomalies, growth abnormalities, monoamnicity, or other standard obstetric indications is as reliable in multiple gestations as in singleton gestations [77].

The most effective fetal surveillance system for multiple gestations is currently not known. The gestational age at which testing should be initiated is still not established. It is also still unclear whether testing should be performed once or more than once per week or whether there is a need to test normally growing dichorionic twins. There are no current studies that prove that routine antepartum surveillance provides objective benefit in the absence of other high-risk conditions [78]. At present, antepartum fetal testing in multiple gestations is recommended in all situations in which surveillance would ordinarily be performed in a singleton pregnancy (including in utero growth restriction). In the most recent practice bulletin of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the recommendation based on consensus and expert opinion was that the management of discordant growth restriction or death of one fetus in a high-order multiple gestation should be individualized, taking into consideration the welfare of the other fetuses [78].

Summary

- The evaluation of multiple gestations is a challenging and important task. The intensity of the obstetrical management of such pregnancies must be titrated to the degree of risk present in each individual case.
- The number of fetuses present, their chorionicity and amnionicity, and risk factors such as growth restriction of one or more fetuses, amniotic fluid alterations, or presence of fetal anomalies must all be taken into account. These parameters will all affect the frequency of growth assessment, the intensity of surveillance for fetal well-being, and the institution of pharmacological and other medical therapeutic interventions.
- US imaging, together with its associated techniques for monitoring fetal compensation or distress, serves as the mainstay for evaluating the complexities of each multifetal pregnancy, helping the obstetrician chart a course toward a successful outcome.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each

imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
O	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊕	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊕ ⊕	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv
*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as NS (not specified).		

Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Procedure Information](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

1. Ghai V, Vidyasagar D. Morbidity and mortality factors in twins. An epidemiologic approach. *Clin Perinatol* 1988; 15(1):123-140.
2. Harrison SD, Cyr DR, Patten RM, Mack LA. Twin growth problems: causes and sonographic analysis. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 1993; 14(1):56-67.
3. Wenstrom KD, Gall SA. Incidence, morbidity and mortality, and diagnosis of twin gestations. *Clin Perinatol* 1988; 15(1):1-11.
4. Manning N, Archer N. A study to determine the incidence of structural congenital heart disease in monochorionic twins. *Prenat Diagn* 2006; 26(11):1062-1064.
5. Sassoon DA, Castro LC, Davis JL, Hobel CJ. Perinatal outcome in triplet versus twin gestations. *Obstet Gynecol* 1990; 75(5):817-820.
6. Bajoria R, Kingdom J. The case for routine determination of chorionicity and zygosity in multiple pregnancy. *Prenat Diagn* 1997; 17(13):1207-1225.
7. Dube J, Dodds L, Armson BA. Does chorionicity or zygosity predict adverse perinatal outcomes in twins? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 186(3):579-583.
8. Finberg HJ. The "twin peak" sign: reliable evidence of dichorionic twinning. *J Ultrasound Med* 1992; 11(11):571-577.

9. Menon DK. A retrospective study of the accuracy of sonographic chorionicity determination in twin pregnancies. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2005; 8(3):259-261.
10. Monteagudo A, Timor-Tritsch IE, Sharma S. Early and simple determination of chorionic and amniotic type in multifetal gestations in the first fourteen weeks by high-frequency transvaginal ultrasonography. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1994; 170(3):824-829.
11. Winn HN, Gabrielli S, Reece EA, Roberts JA, Salafia C, Hobbins JC. Ultrasonographic criteria for the prenatal diagnosis of placental chorionicity in twin gestations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 161(6 Pt 1):1540-1542.
12. Benson CB, Doubilet PM, Acker D, Heffner LJ. Multifetal pregnancy reduction of both fetuses of a monochorionic pair by intrathoracic potassium chloride injection of one fetus. *J Ultrasound Med* 1998; 17(7):447-449.
13. Benirschke K. The biology of the twinning process: how placentation influences outcome. *Semin Perinatol* 1995; 19(5):342-350.
14. Lee YM, Cleary-Goldman J, Thaker HM, Simpson LL. Antenatal sonographic prediction of twin chorionicity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 195(3):863-867.
15. Bromley B, Benacerraf B. Using the number of yolk sacs to determine amnionicity in early first trimester monochorionic twins. *J Ultrasound Med* 1995; 14(6):415-419.
16. Shen O, Samueloff A, Beller U, Rabinowitz R. Number of yolk sacs does not predict amnionicity in early first-trimester monochorionic multiple gestations. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 27(1):53-55.
17. Carroll SGM, Soothill PW, Abdel-Fattah SA, Porter H, Montague I, Kyle PM. Prediction of chorionicity in twin pregnancies at 10-14 weeks of gestation. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2002; 109(2):182-186.
18. Senat MV, Quarello E, Levailant JM, Buonumano A, Boulvain M, Frydman R. Determining chorionicity in twin gestations: three-dimensional (3D) multiplanar sonographic measurement of intra-amniotic membrane thickness. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 28(5):665-669.
19. Sepulveda W, Sebire NJ, Hughes K, Odibo A, Nicolaides KH. The lambda sign at 10-14 weeks of gestation as a predictor of chorionicity in twin pregnancies. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1996; 7(6):421-423.
20. Stenhouse E, Hardwick C, Maharaj S, Webb J, Kelly T, Mackenzie FM. Chorionicity determination in twin pregnancies: how accurate are we? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 19(4):350-352.
21. Bora SA, Papageorgiou AT, Bottomley C, Kirk E, Bourne T. Reliability of transvaginal ultrasonography at 7-9 weeks' gestation in the determination of chorionicity and amnionicity in twin pregnancies. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 32(5):618-621.
22. Sueters M, Middeldorp JM, Oepkes D, Lopriore E, Vandenbussche FP. Twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome at 11 weeks of gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 193(3 Pt 1):887-888.
23. Cleary-Goldman J, Morgan MA, Robinson JN, D'Alton ME, Schulkin J. Multiple pregnancy: knowledge and practice patterns of obstetricians and gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 104(2):232-237.
24. Modena AB, Berghella V. Antepartum management of multifetal pregnancies. *Clin Perinatol* 2005; 32(2):443-454, vii.
25. Ewigman BG, Crane JP, Frigoletto FD, LeFevre ML, Bain RP, McNellis D. Effect of prenatal ultrasound screening on perinatal outcome. RADIUS Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329(12):821-827.
26. Michaels WH, Schreiber FR, Padgett RJ, Ager J, Pieper D. Ultrasound surveillance of the cervix in twin gestations: management of cervical incompetency. *Obstet Gynecol* 1991; 78(5 Pt 1):739-744.
27. Sebire NJ, Souka A, Skentou H, Geerts L, Nicolaides KH. Early prediction of severe twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Hum Reprod* 2000; 15(9):2008-2010.
28. El Kateb A, Nasr B, Nassar M, Bernard JP, Ville Y. First-trimester ultrasound examination and the outcome of monochorionic twin pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn* 2007; 27(10):922-925.
29. Sperling L, Kiil C, Larsen LU, et al. Detection of chromosomal abnormalities, congenital abnormalities and transfusion syndrome in twins. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 29(5):517-526.
30. Salomon LJ, Cavicchioni O, Bernard JP, Duyme M, Ville Y. Growth discrepancy in twins in the first trimester of pregnancy. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 26(5):512-516.
31. Consensus views arising from the 50th Study Group: Multiple Pregnancy. In: Kilby M, Baker P, Critchley H, Field D, eds. *Multiple pregnancy*. London: RCOG Press; 2006:283-286.
32. Spencer K, Nicolaides KH. Screening for trisomy 21 in twins using first trimester ultrasound and maternal serum biochemistry in a one-stop clinic: a review of three years experience. *BJOG* 2003; 110(3):276-280.
33. Wald NJ, Rish S, Hackshaw AK. Combining nuchal translucency and serum markers in prenatal screening for Down syndrome in twin pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn* 2003; 23(7):588-592.
34. Maymon R, Dreazen E, Tovbin Y, Bukovsky I, Weinraub Z, Herman A. The feasibility of nuchal translucency measurement in higher order multiple gestations achieved by assisted reproduction. *Hum Reprod* 1999; 14(8):2102-2105.
35. Zohav E, Segal O, Rabinson J, Meltzer S, Anteby EY, Orvieto R. Quality of nuchal translucency measurements in multifetal pregnancies. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2006; 19(10):663-666.
36. Devoe LD, Ware DJ. Antenatal assessment of twin gestation. *Semin Perinatol* 1995; 19(5):413-423.
37. Jensen OH, Jenssen H. Prediction of fetal weights in twins. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 1995; 74(3):177-180.
38. Lynch L, Lapinski R, Alvarez M, Lockwood CJ. Accuracy of ultrasound estimation of fetal weight in multiple pregnancies. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1995; 6(5):349-352.
39. Roberts WE, Gnam EC, 3rd, Magann EF, Martin JN, Jr., Morrison JC. Labor and membrane rupture in twin gestation. Can they affect the ability to estimate fetal weight? *J Reprod Med* 2001; 46(5):462-466.
40. Danon D, Melamed N, Bardin R, Meizner I. Accuracy of ultrasonographic fetal weight estimation in twin pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 112(4):759-764.
41. Hartley RS, Hitti J, Emanuel I. Size-discordant twin pairs have higher perinatal mortality rates than nondiscordant pairs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 187(5):1173-1178.
42. Alexander GR, Kogan M, Martin J, Papiernik E. What are the fetal growth patterns of singletons, twins, and triplets in the United States? *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1998; 41(1):114-125.
43. Grumbach K, Coleman BG, Arger PH, Mintz MC, Gabbe SV, Mennuti MT. Twin and singleton growth patterns compared using US. *Radiology* 1986; 158(1):237-241.
44. D'Alton ME, Mercer BM. Antepartum management of twin gestation: ultrasound. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1990; 33(1):42-51.
45. Blickstein I, Friedman A, Caspi B, Lancet M. Ultrasonic prediction of growth discordancy by intertwin difference in abdominal circumference. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1989; 29(2):121-124.
46. Brink Henriksen T, Villadsen GE, Hedegaard M, Secher NJ. Prediction of light-for-gestational age at delivery in twin pregnancies: an evaluation of fetal weight deviation and growth discordance measured by ultrasound. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1992; 47(3):195-200.
47. Rodis JF, Vintzileos AM, Campbell WA, Nochimson DJ. Intrauterine fetal growth in discordant twin gestations. *J Ultrasound Med* 1990; 9(8):443-448.
48. Reece EA, Yarkoni S, Abdalla M, et al. A prospective longitudinal study of growth in twin gestations compared with growth in singleton pregnancies. II. The fetal limbs. *J Ultrasound Med* 1991; 10(8):445-450.
49. Reece EA, Yarkoni S, Abdalla M, et al. A prospective longitudinal study of growth in twin gestations compared with growth in singleton pregnancies. I. The fetal head. *J Ultrasound Med* 1991; 10(8):439-443.
50. Grobman WA, Parilla BV. Positive predictive value of suspected growth aberration in twin gestations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999; 181(5 Pt 1):1139-1141.
51. Gonzalez-Quintero VH, Luke B, O'Sullivan M J, et al. Antenatal factors associated with significant birth weight discordancy in twin gestations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003; 189(3):813-817.
52. Banks CL, Nelson SM, Owen P. First and third trimester ultrasound in the prediction of birthweight discordance in dichorionic twins. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2008; 138(1):34-38.
53. Caravello JW, Chauhan SP, Morrison JC, Magann EF, Martin JN, Jr., Devoe LD. Sonographic examination does not predict twin

- growth discordance accurately. *Obstet Gynecol* 1997; 89(4):529-533.
54. Van Mieghem T, Deprest J, Klaritsch P, et al. Ultrasound prediction of intertwin birth weight discordance in monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn* 2009; 29(3):240-244.
 55. Diaz-Garcia C, Bernard JP, Ville Y, Salomon LJ. Validity of sonographic prediction of fetal weight and weight discordance in twin pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn* 2010; 30(4):361-367.
 56. Klam SL, Rinfret D, Leduc L. Prediction of growth discordance in twins with the use of abdominal circumference ratios. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 192(1):247-251.
 57. Blickstein I. The definition, diagnosis, and management of growth-discordant twins: an international census survey. *Acta Genet Med Gemellol (Roma)* 1991; 40(3-4):345-351.
 58. Chang YL, Chang TC, Chang SD, et al. Sonographic prediction of significant intertwin birth weight discordance. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2006; 127(1):35-40.
 59. Quintero RA. Twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Clin Perinatol* 2003; 30(3):591-600.
 60. Yamamoto M, Ville Y. Recent findings on laser treatment of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 18(2):87-92.
 61. Egan JF, Borgida AF. Multiple gestations: the importance of ultrasound. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 2004; 31(1):141-158.
 62. Feldstein VA. Understanding twin-twin transfusion syndrome: role of Doppler ultrasound. *Ultrasound Q* 2002; 18(4):247-254.
 63. Gaziano EP, Knox GE, Bendel RP, Calvin S, Brandt D. Is pulsed Doppler velocimetry useful in the management of multiple-gestation pregnancies? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1991; 164(6 Pt 1):1426-1431; discussion 1431-1423.
 64. Hastie SJ, Danskin F, Neilson JP, Whittle MJ. Prediction of the small for gestational age twin fetus by Doppler umbilical artery waveform analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 74(5):730-733.
 65. Degani S, Gonen R, Shapiro I, Paltiely Y, Sharf M. Doppler flow velocity waveforms in fetal surveillance of twins: a prospective longitudinal study. *J Ultrasound Med* 1992; 11(10):537-541.
 66. Akiyama M, Kuno A, Tanaka Y, et al. Comparison of alterations in fetal regional arterial vascular resistance in appropriate-for-gestational-age singleton, twin and triplet pregnancies. *Hum Reprod* 1999; 14(10):2635-2643.
 67. Ezra Y, Jones J, Farine D. Umbilical artery waveforms in triplet and quadruplet pregnancies. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 1999; 47(4):239-243.
 68. Gerson AG, Wallace DM, Bridgens NK, Ashmead GG, Weiner S, Bolognese RJ. Duplex Doppler ultrasound in the evaluation of growth in twin pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol* 1987; 70(3 Pt 1):419-423.
 69. Sperling L, Kiil C, Larsen LU, et al. How to identify twins at low risk of spontaneous preterm delivery. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 26(2):138-144.
 70. Vayssiere C, Favre R, Audibert F, et al. Cervical assessment at 22 and 27 weeks for the prediction of spontaneous birth before 34 weeks in twin pregnancies: is transvaginal sonography more accurate than digital examination? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 26(7):707-712.
 71. Fox NS, Saltzman DH, Klauser CK, Peress D, Gutierrez CV, Rebarber A. Prediction of spontaneous preterm birth in asymptomatic twin pregnancies with the use of combined fetal fibronectin and cervical length. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2009; 201(3):313 e311-315.
 72. Vayssiere C, Favre R, Audibert F, et al. Cervical length and funneling at 22 and 27 weeks to predict spontaneous birth before 32 weeks in twin pregnancies: a French prospective multicenter study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 187(6):1596-1604.
 73. Goldenberg RL, Iams JD, Miodovnik M, et al. The preterm prediction study: risk factors in twin gestations. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996; 175(4 Pt 1):1047-1053.
 74. Robyr R, Boulvain M, Lewi L, et al. Cervical length as a prognostic factor for preterm delivery in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome treated by fetoscopic laser coagulation of chorionic plate anastomoses. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 25(1):37-41.
 75. Fox NS, Rebarber A, Klauser CK, Peress D, Gutierrez CV, Saltzman DH. Prediction of spontaneous preterm birth in asymptomatic twin pregnancies using the change in cervical length over time. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010; 202(2):155 e151-154.
 76. Ayres A, Johnson TR. Management of multiple pregnancy: prenatal care-part I. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2005; 60(8):527-537.
 77. Newman RB, Ellings JM. Antepartum management of the multiple gestation: the case for specialized care. *Semin Perinatol* 1995; 19(5):387-403.
 78. ACOG Practice Bulletin #56: Multiple gestation: complicated twin, triplet, and high-order multifetal pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 104(4):869-883.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.