

## American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

**Clinical Condition:** Multiple Gestations

**Variant 1:** Patients with high index of suspicion for multiple gestations—assisted reproductive techniques, large dates for pregnancy, and elevated maternal serum alphafetoprotein.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<a href="#">RRL*</a>
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal	9		None
<b><u>Rating Scale:</u></b> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

**Variant 2:** Patients with low index of suspicion for multiple gestations—all pregnancies or family history of twins.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<a href="#">RRL*</a>
US pregnant uterus transabdominal or transvaginal	9		None
<b><u>Rating Scale:</u></b> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

**Variant 3:** Initial ultrasound has diagnosed twins on the same scan.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<a href="#">RRL*</a>
<b>On the Same US Exam</b> US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) determine chorionicity and amnionicity	9		None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) detailed anatomic survey	9	Fetal anomalies are more frequent in twins than in singletons.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) assess amniotic fluid	9	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) assess twin sizes and discordancy	9	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) assess cervix	9	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) umbilical artery Doppler for each twin	3	Frequency of follow-up examinations is usually based on chorionicity and size concordance. See narrative.	None
<b><u>Rating Scale:</u></b> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

**Clinical Condition:****Multiple Gestations****Variant 4:****Parameters to measure for twin discordance.**

<b>Radiologic Procedure</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b><u>RRL</u>*</b>
<b>Measurement Parameter</b> US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) abdominal circumference	9	Same table to be used as singletons.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) weight	9	Same table to be used as singletons. Weight based upon a standard regression equation using measurements of at least three parameters.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) biparietal diameter	9	Same table to be used as singletons.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) head circumference	9	Same table to be used as singletons.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) femur	9	Same table to be used as singletons.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) head/abdomen circumference ratio	6	Same table to be used as singletons.	None
US pregnant uterus (transabdominal or transvaginal) femur/abdominal circumference ratio	3	Same table to be used as singletons.	None
<b><u>Rating Scale:</u> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate</b>			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

## MULTIPLE GESTATIONS

Expert Panel on Women's Imaging: Sandra O. DeJesus Allison, MD<sup>1</sup>; Rochelle F. Andreotti, MD<sup>2</sup>; Susanna I. Lee MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Teresita L. Angtuaco, MD<sup>4</sup>; Mindy M. Horrow, MD<sup>5</sup>; Marcia C. Javitt, MD<sup>6</sup>; Anna S. Lev-Toaff, MD<sup>7</sup>; Ann E. Podrasky, MD<sup>8</sup>; Leslie M. Scoutt, MD<sup>9</sup>; Carolyn Zelop, MD.<sup>10</sup>

### **Summary of Literature Review**

All multiple gestations are high-risk compared with singleton pregnancies [1,2]. Dichorionic twin pregnancies, all of which must also be diamniotic, are the safest form of twinning and carry a 10% risk that one or both fetuses will not survive beyond the neonatal period. When twins share one placenta—monochorionic-diamniotic twinning—that risk increases to 25%, with the increased mortality due to complications related to blood vessel communications between the cardiovascular circulations of the individual twins. These conditions include twin-twin transfusion syndrome (TTTS), twin embolization syndrome, and acardius, or twin-reversed arterial perfusion sequence. When twins also share the same compartment—monochorionic-monoamniotic twinning—the loss rate jumps to 50%, with the incremental mortality attributable to cord entanglement accidents.

The major sources of morbidity and mortality common to all twin gestations are prematurity and intrauterine growth restriction, which may affect one or both fetuses. There may be an earlier onset to placental postmaturity complications. There is also an increased incidence of congenital anomalies among all twins, although anatomic malformations occur four to five times more frequently in monozygotic than in dizygotic twins [3]. The overall risk for at least one of a monochorionic/monoamniotic twin pair having a structural congenital cardiac anomaly is eight times that of a monochorionic/diamniotic twin pair. In addition, if one of a pair of monochorionic twins is affected, the risk to the other twin for a cardiac anomaly is also higher [4]. All categories of perinatal morbidity and mortality among twins occur with even greater frequency in higher-order multiple gestations [5].

It has been the task of this committee to determine, by evaluation of the medical literature and use of consensus techniques, the timing and frequency for assessing the health status of multiple gestations. To date, in spite of the increasing incidence of multifetal pregnancies related to assisted reproduction, there is lack of medical literature regarding imaging schedules for diagnosis and follow-up in this population. The appropriateness criteria presented here have been revised to address diagnosing a multiple gestation in the first trimester, with a scan for detailed anatomic evaluation and comparative growth at 18-20 weeks. Triplet and higher-order multiple gestations are not specifically addressed, but these should all be treated as very-high-risk pregnancies.

Growth scans should be performed at least every 3-4 weeks. Some form of fetal monitoring, probably best accomplished by some variant of the sonographic biophysical profile (since it is very difficult to confirm that nonstress tests have successfully interrogated each fetus of a triplet or greater multifetal pregnancy), should be considered on a weekly or more frequent basis once the pregnancy has reached the point of potential postnatal viability. Even closer surveillance may be indicated if there is a monochorionic or monoamniotic twin pair as part of the multifetal pregnancy, particularly if there is discordance in fetal sizes or amniotic fluid volumes.

### **Initial Ultrasound Exam**

Determination of multiple gestation in the first trimester is important for several reasons. Monochorionic twins and triplets are at a higher risk for twin twin transfusion, fetal growth restriction, congenital anomalies, vasa previa, velamentous insertion of the umbilical cord, and fetal death. Because of the increased morbidity and mortality directly related to problems resulting from chorionicity, establishment of placentation, amnionicity, and chorionicity is essential in implementing an antepartum management strategy [6-11]. Because of the high risk of perinatal loss, multifetal pregnancy reduction is often offered to reduce number of fetuses with the hope of optimizing the outcome for the remaining fetuses. Chorionicity must be determined prior to reduction because the presence of vascular anastomoses in monochorionic gestations increases the risk of injury or damage to the remaining fetuses [12].

The first trimester is considered the most optimal time to diagnose chorionicity with ultrasound (US) [8,13]. Sonographic assignment of monochorionicity during the first trimester had higher sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values than assignment during the second trimester [14]. As with twins, the techniques of sonographic determination of chorionicity can be applied to other multiple gestations with a high degree of accuracy.

Because first-trimester US for pregnancy is not universally routine, the early diagnosis of multiple gestation via US relies on maintaining a high index of

<sup>1</sup>Principal Author, Georgetown Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia.

<sup>2</sup>Panel Chair, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee.

<sup>3</sup>Panel Vice-chair, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

<sup>4</sup>University of Arkansas Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas.

<sup>5</sup>Albert Einstein Medical Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

<sup>6</sup>Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia.

<sup>7</sup>Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

<sup>8</sup>Baptist Hospital of Miami, Miami, Fla.

<sup>9</sup>Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut.

<sup>10</sup>St. Francis Hospital and Medical Center, Hartford, Connecticut, American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

suspicion in patients with uterine size greater than expected for dates, a history of assisted reproduction, and elevation of maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein [15,16]. Detection rates for multiple gestation in the first trimester approach 99.3% [17]. In the RADIUS trial, 129 multifetal pregnancies were studied. Those screened with US were consistently diagnosed earlier than those not screened. In spite of this, no statistically significant fetal benefits were demonstrated in those who were screened in this trial [18].

During the initial scan it is also important to assess the size of each twin and the degree of discordance, if any, between them; to evaluate the amount of amniotic fluid for each; and to image the cervix to check for changes of effacement or dilatation [19].

#### **Follow-up Ultrasound Exam/Antepartum Surveillance**

Tests for evaluating fetal well-being include sonographic assessment of fetal growth and amniotic fluid volume, umbilical artery Doppler, nonstress test (NST), and biophysical profile (BPP). NST and Doppler are considered more predictive of fetal well-being than the other two [20], because of the inaccuracy of amniotic fluid volume estimation in multiple gestations.

Hartley et al [21] showed that growth restriction confers worse perinatal outcome in twins when compared with singletons. Serial US scans to assess fetal weight and growth should be done every 3-4 weeks from 18-20 weeks until delivery [16]. Others advocate follow-up beginning at 28 weeks since fetal growth in twin pregnancies mirrors singleton growth until 28 to 32 weeks [22,23]. There are others that advocate the use of twin growth charts in the third trimester. Fetal growth in twin and multifetal pregnancies after 30 weeks of gestation lags behind the growth of singleton pregnancies. Therefore, 2- to 4-week intervals for serial US scans to monitor interval growth in the third trimester is recommended by D'Alton and Mercer [24].

If growth discordance (>20% to 30%, calculated as a percentage of the larger twin's weight) or growth restriction (<10%) is discovered, US is recommended every 2 weeks [16]. Optimal detection of fetal growth deceleration leading to growth discordance is between 20 and 28 weeks [25]. Doppler testing has been validated in multifetal gestations; the values and patterns of change in vascular resistance are the same as for singletons [26].

Weekly surveillance for all multifetal pregnancies has not been validated in prospective studies. Surveillance with nonstress testing or biophysical profile for pregnancies complicated by abnormal fluid volumes, pregnancy-induced hypertension, fetal anomalies, growth abnormalities, monoamnicity, or other standard obstetric indications is as reliable in multiple gestations as in singleton gestations [27].

The necessary parameters to measure or calculate in assessing the likelihood of intrauterine growth restriction include weight and abdominal circumference [28-30]. Measurements of biparietal diameter, head circumference,

and femur length are all indicated, but ratios of head or femur to abdominal circumference are probably not needed. The use of the same measurement tables developed for singleton pregnancies is indicated for twins, rather than tables specifically generated for twins [31,32]. Twin pregnancies are at greater risk of intrauterine growth restriction, which may affect one or both fetuses, and there is concern that growth tables for twins, which do show smaller measurements than singletons in the third trimester, may be incorporating tendencies toward growth restriction within their normal values. It is important to remember that twins can be concordantly growth-restricted, and if both are becoming small for dates on follow-up sonograms, protocols for monitoring fetal well-being will still be indicated, just as they would be in significantly discordant twins.

Discordant fetal growth is seen in a significant proportion of twin pregnancies. The abdominal circumference ratio has been shown to be a good predictor of birth weight discordance, and it can be added to the follow-up protocol [33]. Discordance is considered mild if weight estimates for the twins are 15% different, moderate if 20% different, and severe if 25% different or greater [34]. For mild discordance, scans for growth at 3-week intervals with use of umbilical artery Doppler (UAD) analysis are probably indicated. For moderate discordance, scans for growth at 2-3-week intervals should be considered, and UAD, BPP, and/or NST are indicated. When discordance is severe, growth scans at 2-week intervals are preferred, with BPP and/or NST necessary and UAD also indicated. If both twins have fallen below the 10th percentile for gestational age relative to menstrual dates and/or dating by the initial sonogram, that should also be taken as an indication for increased surveillance of growth and fetal health.

Oligohydramnios in one or both sacs may indicate uteroplacental insufficiency necessitating further testing to screen for fetal well-being. It may also be an early sign of TTTS if the other twin is presenting with polyhydramnios. If deemed abnormal, fetal well-being scans (which may include BPP, NST, and UAD) are recommended every 2 weeks. Therefore amniotic fluid determination is another standard parameter evaluated during the routine sonographic examination [35].

UAD is, in general, not a rapidly fluctuating or deteriorating parameter, but rather a long-term predictor of the status of the uteroplacental circulation [36,37]. As such, it has prognostic significance for the likelihood of growth restriction and perinatal morbidity and mortality, and it may change weekly if abnormal [38]. Doppler assessment of umbilical venous blood flow and systolic/diastolic ratios is considered useful in predicting and confirming concordant and discordant growth in twins. Absent end diastolic flow is associated with low birth weight, growth restriction, and perinatal mortality in triplet and quadruplet pregnancies [39,40].

On each indicated follow-up sonogram, it remains equally important to measure twins for development of

discordance, and to evaluate the cervix and each twin's amniotic fluid. Transvaginal sonography has been shown to be able to accurately assess cervical length and predict spontaneous delivery before 34 weeks as early as the 27<sup>th</sup> or even the 23<sup>rd</sup> week [41,42]. A large multicenter study of cervical length in twin pregnancies determined that a cervical length of less than 25 mm at 24 weeks gestation was the best predictor of delivery before 32 weeks of gestation and was significantly more common in the 24<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> weeks of gestation in twin gestations compared with singletons [43].

Approximately 10%-20% of monochorionic twin pregnancies may be associated with TTTS, a type of twin discordance. In addition to the evaluation of amniotic fluid volume, bladder volume, and hydrops in each twin, Doppler findings may be used to assess these pregnancies complicated by intertwin vascular connections within the placenta, between cord insertion sites, for the presence of an arterio-arterial anastomosis. Other Doppler findings include absent or reversed end-diastolic flow within the umbilical artery, pulsatile umbilical vein flow, and absent or reversed end-diastolic flow within the ductus venosus [44,45].

The most effective fetal surveillance system for multiple gestations is currently not known. The gestational age at which testing should be initiated is still not established. It is also still unclear whether testing should be performed once or more than once per week or whether there is a need to test normally growing dichorionic twins. There are no current studies that prove that routine antepartum surveillance provides objective benefit in the absence of other high-risk conditions [46]. At present, antepartum fetal testing in multiple gestations is recommended in all situations in which surveillance would ordinarily be performed in a singleton pregnancy (including in utero growth retardation). In the most recent practice bulletin of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the recommendation based on consensus and expert opinion was that the management of discordant growth restriction or death of one fetus in a high-order multiple gestation should be individualized, taking into consideration the welfare of the other fetuses [46].

### Summary

The evaluation of multiple gestations is a challenging and important task. The intensity of the obstetrical management of such pregnancies must be titrated to the degree of risk present in each individual case. The number of fetuses present, their chorionic and amniotic status, and risk factors such as growth restriction of one or more fetuses, amniotic fluid alterations, or presence of fetal anomalies must all be taken into account. These parameters will all affect the frequency of growth assessment, the intensity of surveillance for fetal well-being, and the institution of pharmacological and other medical therapeutic interventions. Ultrasonographic imaging, together with its associated techniques for monitoring fetal compensation or distress, serves as the mainstay for evaluating the complexities of each

multifetal pregnancy, helping the obstetrician chart a course toward a successful outcome.

### Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations	
Relative Radiation Level	Effective Dose Estimate Range
None	0
Minimal	< 0.1 mSv
Low	0.1-1 mSv
Medium	1-10 mSv
High	10-100 mSv

### Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

### References

1. Ghai V, Vidyasagar D. Morbidity and mortality factors in twins. An epidemiologic approach. *Clin Perinatol* 1988; 15(1):123-140.
2. Harrison SD, Cyr DR, Patten RM, Mack LA. Twin growth problems: causes and sonographic analysis. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 1993; 14(1):56-67.
3. Wenstrom KD, Gall SA. Incidence, morbidity and mortality, and diagnosis of twin gestations. *Clin Perinatol* 1988; 15(1):1-11.
4. Manning N, Archer N. A study to determine the incidence of structural congenital heart disease in monochorionic twins. *Prenat Diagn* 2006; 26(11):1062-1064.
5. Sasso DA, Castro LC, Davis JL, Hobel CJ. Perinatal outcome in triplet versus twin gestations. *Obstet Gynecol* 1990; 75(5):817-820.
6. Bajoria R, Kingdom J. The case for routine determination of chorionicity and zygosity in multiple pregnancy. *Prenat Diagn* 1997; 17(13):1207-1225.
7. Dube J, Dodds L, Armson BA. Does chorionicity or zygosity predict adverse perinatal outcomes in twins? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 186(3):579-583.
8. Finberg HJ. The "twin peak" sign: reliable evidence of dichorionic twinning. *J Ultrasound Med* 1992; 11(11):571-577.
9. Menon DK. A retrospective study of the accuracy of sonographic chorionicity determination in twin pregnancies. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2005; 8(3):259-261.
10. Monteagudo A, Timor-Tritsch IE, Sharma S. Early and simple determination of chorionic and amniotic type in multifetal gestations in the first fourteen weeks by high-frequency transvaginal ultrasonography. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1994; 170(3):824-829.
11. Winn HN, Gabrielli S, Reece EA, Roberts JA, Salafia C, Hobbins JC. Ultrasonographic criteria for the prenatal diagnosis of placental chorionicity in twin gestations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 161(6 Pt 1):1540-1542.
12. Benson CB, Doubilet PM, Acker D, Heffner LJ. Multifetal pregnancy reduction of both fetuses of a monochorionic pair by

- intrathoracic potassium chloride injection of one fetus. *J Ultrasound Med* 1998; 17(7):447-449.
13. Benirschke K. The biology of the twinning process: how placentation influences outcome. *Semin Perinatol* 1995; 19(5):342-350.
  14. Lee YM, Cleary-Goldman J, Thaker HM, Simpson LL. Antenatal sonographic prediction of twin chorionicity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 195(3):863-867.
  15. Cleary-Goldman J, Morgan MA, Robinson JN, D'Alton ME, Schulkin J. Multiple pregnancy: knowledge and practice patterns of obstetricians and gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 104(2):232-237.
  16. Modena AB, Berghella V. Antepartum management of multifetal pregnancies. *Clin Perinatol* 2005; 32(2):443-454, vii.
  17. Carroll SG, Soothill PW, Abdel-Fattah SA, Porter H, Montague I, Kyle PM. Prediction of chorionicity in twin pregnancies at 10-14 weeks of gestation. *BJOG* 2002; 109(2):182-186.
  18. Ewigman BG, Crane JP, Frigoletto FD, LeFevre ML, Bain RP, McNellis D. Effect of prenatal ultrasound screening on perinatal outcome. RADIUS Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329(12):821-827.
  19. Michaels WH, Schreiber FR, Padgett RJ, Ager J, Pieper D. Ultrasound surveillance of the cervix in twin gestations: management of cervical incompetency. *Obstet Gynecol* 1991; 78(5 Pt 1):739-744.
  20. Devoe LD, Ware DJ. Antenatal assessment of twin gestation. *Semin Perinatol* 1995; 19(5):413-423.
  21. Hartley RS, Hitti J, Emanuel I. Size-discordant twin pairs have higher perinatal mortality rates than nondiscordant pairs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 187(5):1173-1178.
  22. Alexander GR, Kogan M, Martin J, Papiernik E. What are the fetal growth patterns of singletons, twins, and triplets in the United States? *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1998; 41(1):114-125.
  23. Grumbach K, Coleman BG, Arger PH, Mintz MC, Gabbe SV, Mennuti MT. Twin and singleton growth patterns compared using US. *Radiology* 1986; 158(1):237-241.
  24. D'Alton ME, Mercer BM. Antepartum management of twin gestation: ultrasound. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1990; 33(1):42-51.
  25. Gonzalez-Quintero VH, Luke B, O'Sullivan M J, et al. Antenatal factors associated with significant birth weight discordancy in twin gestations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003; 189(3):813-817.
  26. Akiyama M, Kuno A, Tanaka Y, et al. Comparison of alterations in fetal regional arterial vascular resistance in appropriate-for-gestational-age singleton, twin and triplet pregnancies. *Hum Reprod* 1999; 14(10):2635-2643.
  27. Newman RB, Ellings JM. Antepartum management of the multiple gestation: the case for specialized care. *Semin Perinatol* 1995; 19(5):387-403.
  28. Blickstein I, Friedman A, Caspi B, Lancet M. Ultrasonic prediction of growth discordancy by intertwin difference in abdominal circumference. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1989; 29(2):121-124.
  29. Brink Henriksen T, Villadsen GE, Hedegaard M, Secher NJ. Prediction of light-for-gestational age at delivery in twin pregnancies: an evaluation of fetal weight deviation and growth discordance measured by ultrasound. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1992; 47(3):195-200.
  30. Rodis JF, Vintzileos AM, Campbell WA, Nochimson DJ. Intrauterine fetal growth in discordant twin gestations. *J Ultrasound Med* 1990; 9(8):443-448.
  31. Reece EA, Yarkoni S, Abdalla M, et al. A prospective longitudinal study of growth in twin gestations compared with growth in singleton pregnancies. II. The fetal limbs. *J Ultrasound Med* 1991; 10(8):445-450.
  32. Reece EA, Yarkoni S, Abdalla M, et al. A prospective longitudinal study of growth in twin gestations compared with growth in singleton pregnancies. I. The fetal head. *J Ultrasound Med* 1991; 10(8):439-443.
  33. Klam SL, Rinfret D, Leduc L. Prediction of growth discordance in twins with the use of abdominal circumference ratios. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 192(1):247-251.
  34. Blickstein I. The definition, diagnosis, and management of growth-discordant twins: an international census survey. *Acta Genet Med Gemellol (Roma)* 1991; 40(3-4):345-351.
  35. Ayres A, Johnson TR. Management of multiple pregnancy: prenatal care-part I. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2005; 60(8):527-537.
  36. Gaziano EP, Knox GE, Bendel RP, Calvin S, Brandt D. Is pulsed Doppler velocimetry useful in the management of multiple-gestation pregnancies? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1991; 164(6 Pt 1):1426-1431; discussion 1431-1423.
  37. Hastie SJ, Danskin F, Neilson JP, Whittle MJ. Prediction of the small for gestational age twin fetus by Doppler umbilical artery waveform analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 1989; 74(5):730-733.
  38. Degani S, Gonen R, Shapiro I, Paltiely Y, Sharf M. Doppler flow velocity waveforms in fetal surveillance of twins: a prospective longitudinal study. *J Ultrasound Med* 1992; 11(10):537-541.
  39. Ezra Y, Jones J, Farine D. Umbilical artery waveforms in triplet and quadruplet pregnancies. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 1999; 47(4):239-243.
  40. Gerson AG, Wallace DM, Bridgens NK, Ashmead GG, Weiner S, Bolognese RJ. Duplex Doppler ultrasound in the evaluation of growth in twin pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol* 1987; 70(3 Pt 1):419-423.
  41. Sperling L, Kiil C, Larsen LU, et al. How to identify twins at low risk of spontaneous preterm delivery. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 26(2):138-144.
  42. Vayssiere C, Favre R, Audibert F, et al. Cervical assessment at 22 and 27 weeks for the prediction of spontaneous birth before 34 weeks in twin pregnancies: is transvaginal sonography more accurate than digital examination? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 26(7):707-712.
  43. Goldenberg RL, Iams JD, Miodovnik M, et al. The preterm prediction study: risk factors in twin gestations. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996; 175(4 Pt 1):1047-1053.
  44. Egan JF, Borgida AF. Multiple gestations: the importance of ultrasound. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 2004; 31(1):141-158.
  45. Feldstein VA. Understanding twin-twin transfusion syndrome: role of Doppler ultrasound. *Ultrasound Q* 2002; 18(4):247-254.
  46. ACOG Practice Bulletin #56: Multiple gestation: complicated twin, triplet, and high-order multifetal pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 104(4):869-883.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.