

**American College of Radiology
 ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition: Routine Chest Radiographs in Uncomplicated Hypertension

Variant 1: Mild hypertension: diastolic pressure 90-104 mm Hg.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray chest	1		☢
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 2: Moderate or severe hypertension: diastolic pressure 105-114 mm Hg or ≥115 mm Hg.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray chest	5		☢
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

ROUTINE CHEST RADIOGRAPHS IN UNCOMPLICATED HYPERTENSION

Expert Panel on Thoracic Imaging: Jacobo Kirsch, MD¹; Tan-Lucien H. Mohammed, MD²; Judith K. Amorosa, MD³; Kathleen Brown, MD⁴; Jonathan H. Chung, MD⁵; Debra Sue Dyer, MD⁶; Mark E. Ginsburg, MD⁷; Darel E. Heitkamp, MD⁸; Jeffrey P. Kanne, MD⁹; Ella A. Kazerooni, MD¹⁰; Loren H. Ketai, MD¹¹; James G. Ravenel, MD¹²; Anthony G. Saleh, MD¹³; Rakesh D. Shah, MD.¹⁴

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Hypertension (HTN) is a worldwide epidemic and often called a silent killer. Fifty percent of the population older than 60 years has it. Overall approximately 20% of world's adults have HTN. It is estimated that about 50 million Americans have hypertension, but about 30% do not know it.

According to the Joint National Committee on Hypertension [1], optimal blood pressure for adults is systolic <120 mm Hg and diastolic <80 mm Hg. The four supranormal levels of blood pressure are prehypertension (systolic 120-139 or diastolic 80-89); stage I (systolic 140-159 or diastolic 90-99); stage II (systolic \geq 160 or diastolic \geq 100); and stage III (systolic \geq 180 or diastolic \geq 110). HTN may be either essential or secondary. Essential HTN is diagnosed in the absence of an identifiable secondary cause. Approximately 95% of American adults have essential HTN, while secondary HTN accounts for fewer than 5% of cases. Uncomplicated HTN has no cardiorespiratory symptoms (pain or dyspnea) or signs of complications such as congestive heart failure (CHF), stroke, or transient ischemic attack (TIA).

The major complications of HTN are coronary heart disease, CHF, stroke, atrial fibrillation, and TIA. The assessment of target organ damage is important in evaluating a hypertensive patient as it provides an indication of the severity of HTN. The usual markers of target organ damage are fundal changes, renal function,

and left ventricular hypertrophy. Medical treatment of HTN has been shown to reduce the incidence of complications and mortality [2-4].

Several noninvasive tests are used for evaluating hypertensive patients, including conventional electrocardiogram (ECG), chest radiography, and echocardiography. In practice, the ECG seems to be routinely performed as part of the initial and follow-up examination.

Chest Radiography

There is disagreement regarding the usefulness of the routine chest radiograph in patients with uncomplicated HTN. Hypertensive guidelines do not recommend the chest radiograph in the routine evaluation of uncomplicated HTN, probably because cardiothoracic ratio has been considered as an unreliable indicator in assessing left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) in hypertensive patients. A routine chest radiograph obtained in the hypertensive patient evaluation has been advocated to: 1) screen for unsuspected abnormalities of the lungs and thorax; 2) assess for cardiomegaly; 3) serve as a baseline for future measurement; and 4) assist in patient management. Frohlich [3] proposed that "a routine chest radiograph is worthwhile in the patient with HTN. It permits recognition of LVH, the stigmata of coarctation, complications of hypertension (pulmonary congestion, aortic enlargement) and provides some prognostic implications about the disease." Others have used initial (pretreatment) chest radiographs in HTN patients to measure heart size. Follow-up comparative chest radiographs obtained after medical treatment were used to assess reversal, stability, or progression of the cardiomegaly and LVH [2]. Although radiographic cardiomegaly does not necessarily indicate impaired left ventricular function [5], a diagnosis of cardiomegaly does seem to have some prognostic value [2,4,6].

Cardiomegaly detected by chest radiograph was shown to be the best predictor for the eventual development of CHF [4], and it is associated with increased mortality compared with cases without cardiomegaly [7]. Hartford et al [8] reported that cardiomegaly was found in 17% of patients with moderate to severe HTN, compared with 7% of patients with mild HTN. Sokolow and Perloff [6] reported that patients with radiographic cardiomegaly have a worse prognosis at any level of blood pressure elevation than those without radiographic cardiomegaly. Cardiomegaly may also be used by cardiologists as an indication to perform additional testing (especially echocardiography). According to Rayner et al [9], chest radiographs provide important predictive information of associated target organ damage in hypertensive patients. In their study, cardiothoracic ratio and dilatation of ascending aorta were useful in predicting LVH and other markers of target organ damage. The prevalence of aortic calcification on chest radiographs in patients with essential hypertension

¹Principal Author and Panel Vice-chair, Cleveland Clinic, Weston, Florida.

²Panel Chair, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio.

³Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, New Jersey.

⁴David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California.

⁵National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado.

⁶National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado.

⁷Columbia University, New York, New York, Society of Thoracic Surgeons.

⁸Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana.

⁹University of Wisconsin Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin.

¹⁰University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

¹¹University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

¹²Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina.

¹³New York Methodist Hospital, Brooklyn, New York, The American College of Chest Physicians.

¹⁴North Shore University Hospital, Manhasset, New York.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

correlates with greater left ventricular mass and LVH and is age and sex (female) dependent [10].

None of these studies, however, show that the chest radiographic findings directly influence treatment decisions; the patient will still be treated to achieve lower blood pressure regardless of the radiographic findings. Some authors conclude that routine chest radiographs in patients with uncomplicated HTN are of little or no value [11-13]. Thoracic abnormalities found on routine chest radiographs were usually minor (eg, old granulomatous disease, calcified or tortuous aorta, pleural thickening). These findings were not useful for treatment decisions or for prognosis [14]. Cardiomegaly on chest radiography does not necessarily indicate impaired left ventricular function [5]. Chest radiography has been shown to have poor sensitivity and specificity for detecting LVH, especially when compared with echocardiography in adults [11,15]. One study based on autopsy findings found chest radiographs to be of limited value; they showed cardiac enlargement in only 7% of patients with autopsy-proven LVH [14]. In this study, echocardiographic examination was found to be the most sensitive, specific, and accurate method of detecting LVH.

Summary

- The diagnosis of LVH is important because it identifies patients at risk for developing complications.
- Chest radiography is insensitive for detecting LVH.
- LVH is best detected by echocardiography.
- It is not clear from the available studies whether the detection of cardiomegaly in hypertensive patients by chest radiography is useful enough to warrant its routine use.
- Routine chest radiography does not seem to be clearly indicated in uncomplicated HTN.
- Chest radiography should probably be reserved for patients with cardiorespiratory symptoms or signs on physical examination or patients with suspected coarctation of the aorta, and possibly for evaluating patients with moderate to severe HTN.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional

information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
O	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊕	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊕ ⊕	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as NS (not specified).

Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Procedure Information](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

1. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2003; 42(6):1206-1252.
2. Five-year findings of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program. Prevention and reversal of left ventricular hypertrophy with antihypertensive drug therapy. Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group. *Hypertension* 1985; 7(1):105-112.
3. Frohlich ED. Hypertension 1986. Evaluation and treatment--why and how. *Postgrad Med* 1986; 80(7):28-36, 41-26.
4. Stokes J, 3rd, Kannel WB, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Cupples LA. Blood pressure as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. The Framingham Study--30 years of follow-up. *Hypertension* 1989; 13(5 Suppl):113-18.
5. Samuelsson O, Hartford M, Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Wikstrand J. Radiological heart enlargement in treated hypertensive men: a comparative study of chest X-ray examination and M-mode echocardiography. *J Intern Med* 1989; 225(2):77-83.
6. Sokolow M, Perloff D. The prognosis of hypertension treated conservatively. *Circulation* 1961; 23(5):697-713.
7. Dunn FG. Hypertensive heart disease in the patient with a normal electrocardiogram and chest radiograph. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1984; 6 Suppl 6:S870-874.
8. Hartford M, Wikstrand J, Wallentin I, Ljungman S, Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G. Non-invasive signs of cardiac involvement in essential hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 1982; 3(1):75-87.
9. Rayner BL, Goodman H, Opie LH. The chest radiograph. A useful investigation in the evaluation of hypertensive patients. *Am J Hypertens* 2004; 17(6):507-510.
10. Tsakiris A, Doumas M, Nearchos N, Mavrokefalos A, Mpatakis N, Skoufas P. Aortic calcification is associated with age and sex but not left ventricular mass in essential hypertension. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* 2004; 6(2):65-70.

11. Bartha GW, Nugent CA. Routine chest roentgenograms and electrocardiograms. Usefulness in the hypertensive workup. *Arch Intern Med* 1978; 138(8):1211-1213.
12. Dimmitt SB, West JN, Littler WA. Limited value of chest radiography in uncomplicated hypertension. *Lancet* 1989; 2(8654):104.
13. Karras DJ, Kruus LK, Cienki JJ, et al. Utility of routine testing for patients with asymptomatic severe blood pressure elevation in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 2008; 51(3):231-239.
14. Kristensen BO. Assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy by electrocardiography, chest roentgenography and echocardiography, a review. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl* 1989; 196:42-47.
15. Laird WP, Fixler DE. Left ventricular hypertrophy in adolescents with elevated blood pressure: assessment by chest roentgenography, electrocardiography, and echocardiography. *Pediatrics* 1981; 67(2):255-259.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.