

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition: Seizures — Child

Variant 1: Neonatal seizures.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
US head	9		None
MRI head without contrast	5	Particularly for hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) and congenital malformations.	None
MRI head without and with contrast	4	See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
CT head without contrast	3		Med
CT head without and with contrast	3		High
FDG-PET head	1		High
SPECT head	1		Med
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 2: Febrile seizures.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
MRI head without contrast	2	Mainly in complex febrile seizure.	None
MRI head without and with contrast	2	Mainly in complex febrile seizure.	None
CT head without contrast	2	Mainly in complex febrile seizure.	Med
CT head without and with contrast	2	Mainly in complex febrile seizure.	High
SPECT head	1		Med
FDG-PET head	1		High
US head	1		None
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Clinical Condition:**Seizures — Child****Variant 3:****Post-traumatic seizures.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
CT head without contrast	9		Med
MRI head without contrast	5	Useful for detecting blood products and gliosis not seen on CT and in the chronic post-traumatic setting.	None
MRI head without and with contrast	3		None
CT head without and with contrast	2		High
US head	1		None
FDG-PET head	1		High
SPECT head	1		Med
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 4:**Partial seizures.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI head without contrast	9		None
MRI head without and with contrast	7	See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
CT head without and with contrast	5	If MRI unavailable or contraindicated.	High
FDG-PET head	5	Recurrent seizure.	High
SPECT head	5	Recurrent seizure.	Med
CT head without contrast	3	If MRI unavailable or contraindicated.	Med
US head	1		None
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 5:**First generalized seizure (neurologically normal).**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI head without contrast	5		None
MRI head without and with contrast	4	See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
CT head without contrast	4		Med
CT head without and with contrast	2		High
SPECT head	1		Med
FDG-PET head	1		High
US head	1		None
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Clinical Condition:**Seizures — Child****Variant 6:****Generalized seizures (neurologically abnormal).**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI head without contrast	9		None
CT head without contrast	6		Med
MRI head without and with contrast	6	To clarify an abnormality on the noncontrast MRI or if considering infection or inflammation. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
FDG-PET head	2		High
CT head without and with contrast	2		High
SPECT head	2		Med
US head	1		None
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 7:**Intractable or refractory seizures.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI head without contrast	9		None
MRI head without and with contrast	6	To clarify an abnormality on the noncontrast MRI or if considering infection or inflammation. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
FDG-PET head	6		High
SPECT head	6		Med
CT head without contrast	5		Med
CT head without and with contrast	2		High
US head	1		None
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

SEIZURES — CHILD

Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging: Jeffrey Scott Prince, MD¹; Richard Gunderman, MD, PhD²; Brian D. Coley, MD³; Dorothy Bulas, MD⁴; Kathryn Holloway, MD⁵; Boaz Karmazyn, MD⁶; James S. Meyer, MD⁷; Charles Paidas, MD⁸; Daniel J. Podberesky, MD⁹; John Ragheb, MD¹⁰; William Rodriguez, MD¹¹; Joshua M. Rosenow, MD.¹²

Summary of Literature Review

Seizures present common management problems in medical practice, particularly in pediatrics. In the United States, about 120,000 individuals under the age of 18 have a first seizure each year. Ten percent of the American population will experience a seizure during their lifetime. 45,000 cases of epilepsy are diagnosed in children under the age of 15 each year. Epileptic seizures in children under the age of 10 are likely to be generalized, while over the age of 10, epileptic seizures are more commonly partial [1].

Seizures are defined as “a transient occurrence of signs and/or symptoms due to abnormal excessive or synchronous neuronal activity in the brain” [2]. There are a number of classification schemes of seizures [3-6]. One frequently referenced is the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE), as modified recently by the ILAE Classification Core Group [7]. However, none of the current classifications neatly fit into categories that can be used to propose imaging guidelines. The following categories create groups for which specific imaging algorithms seem appropriate. These categories group patients by age, precipitating event, and clinical manifestations of the seizure in conjunction with the electroencephalogram (EEG). Categorizing patients in this way helps to define specific imaging guidelines appropriate to each group.

Imaging Recommendations

The clinical manifestations of a seizure, in conjunction with an EEG, classify it as either generalized or partial.

¹Principal Author, Primary Children’s Medical Center, Salt Lake City, Utah.

²Panel Chair, Riley Hospital for Children, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana.

³Panel Vice-chair, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, Columbus, Ohio.

⁴Children’s National Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia.

⁵MCVH-Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia, American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons.

⁶Riley Hospital for Children, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana.

⁷Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

⁸Tampa General Hospital, Tampa, Florida, Pediatric Surgical Association.

⁹Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio.

¹⁰Miami Children’s Hospital, Miami, Florida, American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons.

¹¹The Office of Pediatric Therapeutics in the Office of the Commissioner, US Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland, American Academy of Pediatrics.

¹²Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, Illinois, American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

This is the most important distinction related to imaging. The historical data are sometimes limited, and accurate determination of specific seizure category may be difficult. The initial imaging of a patient presenting with a seizure may require supplemental imaging as the nature of the seizure becomes more defined, or if the seizures become more frequent or refractory to treatment.

Neonatal Seizure

The incidence of neonatal seizures has been estimated to be 3 per 1,000 live births per year. The rate is higher in preterm infants (57 to 132 per 1,000 live births) [8]. Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy is by far the most common cause of seizure in both term and preterm infants. Intracranial hemorrhage is the second leading cause. Together they account for nearly 75% of seizures in the neonatal period. Approximately 90% of infants with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy experience the onset of their seizures within two days following birth. Seizures occurring beyond the seventh day of life are more likely to be related to infection or developmental defects [9].

Ultrasound (US) is the mainstay for imaging the neonate. Its portability and ease of evaluation at the bedside make it an ideal method of evaluation. US does not involve radiation exposure in these very susceptible patients. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an increasingly valuable tool, particularly in defining the extent of parenchymal injury [10]. Diffusion imaging has added sensitivity to routine spin-echo sequences [11]. In addition, MRI has the greatest sensitivity for detecting intracranial developmental abnormalities associated with seizures, specifically malformations of cortical development. MRI-compatible incubators and the sophistication of neonatal care teams in managing critical neonates in the MRI environment have allowed for increased MRI use.

Computed tomography (CT) can play a role in defining the extent of hemorrhage and is useful in quantifying and characterizing extra axial collections, but it involves ionizing radiation and is less sensitive than MRI for evaluating hypoxic ischemic events and structural anomalies.

Febrile Seizure

Between 2%-5% of children have febrile seizures. About one-third of them will have at least one recurrence. Febrile seizures occur between 3 months and 5 years of age and are associated with fever, but without evidence of intracranial infection or other defined cause. Simple febrile seizures last <15 minutes and do not recur within 24 hours. There is no indication for imaging simple febrile seizures. Complex febrile seizures (which are prolonged, recur more than once in 24 hours, or are focal) are rarely associated with underlying pathology such as meningitis, encephalitis, or child abuse. Imaging, preferably with MRI or CT, may be performed in selected

patients with complex febrile seizures [12] when meningitis or underlying trauma is suspected.

It remains controversial whether febrile seizures, particularly prolonged complex febrile seizures, cause the later development of mesial temporal sclerosis (MTS). These changes can be identified in coronal MRI. These findings include hippocampal swelling and restricted diffusion. There is growing evidence that the presence of these findings may be associated with MTS later in life [13-15]. These findings are of little clinical significance at the time of the febrile event and do not assist in immediate patient management.

Post-traumatic Seizure

Seizures may occur secondary to intracranial trauma. CT and MRI both effectively define treatable pathology associated with intracranial trauma. In a study by Lee and Lui [16], CT identified 100% of the treatable lesions in patients with mild trauma as indicated by Glasgow coma scores of 13-15. In this study, although CT results were negative in 53% of patients, 7% of patients had lesions that required surgical intervention. MRI is generally less appropriate in the acute trauma setting, depending on the overall clinical status of the child. However, it can be useful in detecting intracranial blood as well as post-traumatic gliosis. An important subgroup to consider CT is the patient younger than age 2 presenting to the emergency department with a first-time afebrile seizure, as this may be a presentation of nonaccidental trauma.

Partial Seizure

The occurrence of a partial seizure implies an origin of the seizure in a focal (but not necessarily small) area of the brain, with a tendency for propagation [7]. Focality is also suggested through EEG analysis. Positive yields from imaging of patients with partial seizures, both simple (without loss of consciousness) and complex (with loss of consciousness), are considerably higher than those from imaging of patients with generalized seizures whose neurologic examination is normal [17,18]. In a study by Jan et al [19], neuroimaging was positive in more than 50% of patients whose seizures had focal features. MRI was considerably more sensitive than CT. Young et al [20] noted a 50% positivity rate for CT when neurologic findings were focal. Ibrahim et al [21] found seizures to be the presenting symptom in 12% of 81 consecutive children with primary brain tumors. Nine of 10 seizures in this series were focal.

Seizures can result from developmental abnormalities, hemorrhage, neoplasm, and gliosis, all of which can be detected by CT and MRI. MRI is considerably more sensitive than CT, particularly with subtle developmental abnormalities, small foci of hemorrhage, and metastases. The argument that CT is more accessible for emergent imaging of initial seizure is offset by the improved sensitivity of MRI. A study by Maytal et al [22] suggests limited justification for emergent CT as opposed to scheduled MRI in patients presenting with first-time seizure. One exception might be the patient younger than age 2 in whom the possibility of nonaccidental trauma

should be considered. The rate of recurrence of partial seizures was considerably greater than that for generalized seizures. In a study by Hart et al [23] patients with partial seizures had a 94% rate of recurrence. Both fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) and single-photon-emission computed tomography (SPECT) (ictal and interictal) can be helpful in evaluating recurrent seizures when anatomic imaging (CT and MRI) is normal. In general, however, functional imaging (FDG-PET, SPECT, functional MRI, and even magnetoencephalography) is most appropriately reserved for refined evaluation when surgical intervention is contemplated.

Generalized Seizure (less than 2 unprovoked seizures)

Patients with generalized seizures are divided into two subcategories: those who are neurologically normal and those who present with positive neurologic findings. Neurologic abnormalities may be historical, such as developmental delay, cerebral palsy, or attention deficit disorder. They may also be physical, as in postictal Todd's paralysis, or simply manifest as an abnormal sensorium. Fewer than 2% of patients will have an abnormal CT examination after a generalized seizure if they are neurologically normal with a negative EEG. In a study by Hirtz [24] none of the positive CT findings were treatable. In a study by Reinius et al [25] 100% of abnormal studies had either a positive neurologic examination, a positive EEG, or a known malignancy. Young et al [20] reported only 6% positive CT examinations for generalized seizures, with nearly 50% positivity in focal epilepsy. Sharma et al [17] studied 500 consecutive patients presenting to an emergency department with their first afebrile seizure. They defined two clinically significant high-risk indicators of positive examination: 1) presence of predisposing condition, and 2) focal seizure. Only 2% of low-risk patients had positive imaging examinations.

Patients with generalized seizures with abnormal neurologic findings should be evaluated with MRI. A difficult task in the evaluation of seizures is discriminating a generalized seizure whose onset is precipitated by a focal epileptic event from one without a focal precipitant. Many of these patients, however, demonstrate either a postictal neurologic finding or other neurologic abnormality, including nonspecific findings such as developmental delay [20]. Although Hart et al [23] reported that 83% of patients younger than age 16 at the time of initial seizure experienced a second seizure, 100% of seizures associated with a neurologic deficit recurred.

Seizure Syndromes

A number of seizure syndromes probably do not require imaging because they are sufficiently characteristic to be diagnosed clinically or through specific EEG patterns. Benign rolandic seizures, benign occipital epilepsy, and juvenile myoclonic seizures are fairly characteristic and rarely benefit from imaging. Patients with the malignant form of rolandic seizure without typical EEG findings

may benefit from imaging. MRI is most likely positive when the EEG shows focal abnormality. West syndrome has been divided into symptomatic and asymptomatic forms. There is conflicting data as to the utility of SPECT and FDG-PET in the evaluation of West syndrome (also known as infantile spasms) [26]. MRI is probably indicated in symptomatic forms because there is a significant incidence of cortical dysplasia that can benefit from surgical management. The characteristic clinical presentation of absence seizures in childhood along with the classic EEG makes imaging unnecessary.

Intractable or Refractory Seizures

Refractory seizures, which are potentially treatable by surgical intervention, define a small percentage of patients with seizures or epilepsy. In these patients, the use of both anatomical and functional imaging modalities is needed in selected cases. MRI is considered the most sensitive and specific anatomic imaging technique in the evaluation of these patients. It is more sensitive (84%) than SPECT (75%), which is somewhat more sensitive than CT (62%) [27], in surgical patients with intractable seizures. MRI is particularly useful in the evaluation of MTS, periventricular white matter abnormalities, and cortical abnormalities which may be the cause of refractory seizures [28,29].

Ictal SPECT has been useful in differentiating temporal lobe epilepsy from extratemporal lobe foci and provides noninvasive imaging information used in planning treatment strategies. Ictal SPECT optimization requires radiopharmaceutical injection (Tc-99m HMPAO or Tc-99m ethyl cysteinate dimer [ECD]) within seconds of a seizure. This practical limitation has made ictal imaging difficult, except in specialized inpatient centers [30,31]. There is general agreement that the combination of ictal and interictal SPECT is the optimal method of SPECT imaging in the evaluation of seizure focus [32]. Pharmacologic provocation of a seizure focus has been studied as a way to more reliably obtain a true ictal examination [33]. FDG-PET is an alternative to SPECT for functional imaging and is most useful in patients with intractable partial epilepsy [34]. Evidence that FDG-PET has prognostic value regarding the outcome of epilepsy surgery in refractory partial epilepsy is beginning to accumulate [3]. In particular, FDG-PET has been shown to be useful in evaluating residual foci of seizure activity in patients who have undergone unsuccessful surgical intervention [35]. SPECT is currently more available than PET, although the emergence of PET/CT has resulted in increased availability. Both SPECT and FDG-PET have been used in some centers as a part of presurgical evaluation and planning.

Summary

- The appropriate imaging of pediatric patients being evaluated for seizures is variable and depends on the age at presentation, the seizure characteristics, the precipitating event, and the associated neurologic findings.

- US should be the first imaging modality for evaluating neonatal seizures.
- MRI is more sensitive than CT in defining structural abnormalities associated with a seizure focus.
- Imaging, preferentially MRI, is indicated in partial seizures and generalized seizures accompanied by abnormal neurologic findings or other risk factors [36].
- Simple febrile seizures do not require imaging evaluation.
- In selected cases, when infection or trauma is suspected, complex febrile seizures can be evaluated with MRI or CT.
- Post-traumatic seizures should first be evaluated by CT. Late post-traumatic seizures may be better evaluated by MRI.
- Refractory or intractable seizures are best imaged with MRI followed by a functional study such as SPECT or PET.

Anticipated Exceptions

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a scleroderma-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunction and the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely in patients with very limited glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (ie, <30 mL/min/1.73m²), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk, and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73m². For more information, please see the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [37].

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations	
Relative Radiation Level	Effective Dose Estimate Range
None	0
Minimal	< 0.1 mSv
Low	0.1-1 mSv
Medium	1-10 mSv
High	10-100 mSv

Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

1. About Epilepsy. *Epilepsy and Seizure Statistics*. <http://www.epilepsyfoundation.org/about/statistics.cfm>. Accessed July 2009.
2. Fisher RS, van Emde Boas W, Blume W, et al. Epileptic seizures and epilepsy: definitions proposed by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) and the International Bureau for Epilepsy (IBE). *Epilepsia* 2005; 46(4):470-472.
3. Weitemeyer L, Kellinghaus C, Weckesser M, et al. The prognostic value of [F]FDG-PET in nonrefractory partial epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2005; 46(10):1654-1660.
4. Baykan B, Ertas NK, Ertas M, Aktekin B, Saygi S, Gokyigit A. Comparison of classifications of seizures: a preliminary study with 28 participants and 48 seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* 2005; 6(4):607-612.
5. Pellock JM. The classification of childhood seizures and epilepsy syndromes. *Neurol Clin* 1990; 8(3):619-632.
6. Scheuer ML, Pedley TA. The evaluation and treatment of seizures. *N Engl J Med* 1990; 323(21):1468-1474.
7. Engel J, Jr. Report of the ILAE classification core group. *Epilepsia* 2006; 47(9):1558-1568.
8. Panayiotopoulos CP. Neonatal Epileptic Seizures and Syndromes. http://professionals.epilepsy.com/page/neonatal_seizures_and_syndromes.html. Accessed January, 2009.
9. Calciolari G, Perlman JM, Volpe JJ. Seizures in the neonatal intensive care unit of the 1980s. Types, Etiologies, Timing. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 1988; 27(3):119-123.
10. Sheth RD. Neonatal Seizures. Updated: Aug 21, 2008; <http://www.emedicine.com/neuro/topic240.htm>. Accessed March, 2009.
11. Krishnamoorthy KS, Soman TB, Takeoka M, Schaefer PW. Diffusion-weighted imaging in neonatal cerebral infarction: clinical utility and follow-up. *J Child Neurol* 2000; 15(9):592-602.
12. DiMario FJ, Jr. Children presenting with complex febrile seizures do not routinely need computed tomography scanning in the emergency department. *Pediatrics* 2006; 117(2):528-530.
13. Kimiwada T, Juhasz C, Makki M, et al. Hippocampal and thalamic diffusion abnormalities in children with temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2006; 47(1):167-175.
14. Natsume J, Bernasconi N, Miyauchi M, et al. Hippocampal volumes and diffusion-weighted image findings in children with prolonged febrile seizures. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2007; 115(4 Suppl):25-28.
15. Provenzale JM, Barboriak DP, VanLandingham K, MacFall J, Delong D, Lewis DV. Hippocampal MRI signal hyperintensity after febrile status epilepticus is predictive of subsequent mesial temporal sclerosis. *AJR* 2008; 190(4):976-983.
16. Lee ST, Lui TN. Early seizures after mild closed head injury. *J Neurosurg* 1992; 76(3):435-439.
17. Sharma S, Riviello JJ, Harper MB, Baskin MN. The role of emergent neuroimaging in children with new-onset afebrile seizures. *Pediatrics* 2003; 111(1):1-5.
18. Garvey MA, Gaillard WD, Rusin JA, et al. Emergency brain computed tomography in children with seizures: who is most likely to benefit? *J Pediatr* 1998; 133(5):664-669.
19. Jan M, Neville BG, Cox TC, Scott RC. Convulsive status epilepticus in children with intractable epilepsy is frequently focal in origin. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2002; 29(1):65-67.
20. Young AC, Costanzi JB, Mohr PD, Forbes WS. Is routine computerised axial tomography in epilepsy worth while? *Lancet* 1982; 2(8313):1446-1447.
21. Ibrahim K, Appleton R. Seizures as the presenting symptom of brain tumours in children. *Seizure* 2004; 13(2):108-112.
22. Maytal J, Krauss JM, Novak G, Nagelberg J, Patel M. The role of brain computed tomography in evaluating children with new onset of seizures in the emergency department. *Epilepsia* 2000; 41(8):950-954.
23. Hart YM, Sander JW, Johnson AL, Shorvon SD. National General Practice Study of Epilepsy: recurrence after a first seizure. *Lancet* 1990; 336(8726):1271-1274.
24. Hirtz DG. Generalized tonic-clonic and febrile seizures. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 1989; 36(2):365-382.
25. Reinius WR, Wippold FJ, 2nd, Erickson KK. Seizure patient selection for emergency computed tomography. *Ann Emerg Med* 1993; 22(8):1298-1303.
26. Itomi K, Okumura A, Negoro T, et al. Prognostic value of positron emission tomography in cryptogenic West syndrome. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2002; 44(2):107-111.
27. Otsubo H, Chuang SH, Hwang PA, Gilday D, Hoffman HJ. Neuroimaging for investigation of seizures in children. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 1992; 18(2):105-116.
28. Lefkopoulos A, Haritanti A, Papadopoulou E, Karanikolas D, Fotiadis N, Dimitriadis AS. Magnetic resonance imaging in 120 patients with intractable partial seizures: a preoperative assessment. *Neuroradiology* 2005; 47(5):352-361.
29. Wu WC, Huang CC, Chung HW, et al. Hippocampal alterations in children with temporal lobe epilepsy with or without a history of febrile convulsions: evaluations with MR volumetry and proton MR spectroscopy. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005; 26(5):1270-1275.
30. Avery RA, Zubal IG, Stokking R, et al. Decreased cerebral blood flow during seizures with ictal SPECT injections. *Epilepsy Res* 2000; 40(1):53-61.
31. Avery RA, Spencer SS, Spanaki MV, Corsi M, Seibyl JP, Zubal IG. Effect of injection time on postictal SPET perfusion changes in medically refractory epilepsy. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1999; 26(8):830-836.
32. Weil S, Noachtar S, Arnold S, Yousry TA, Winkler PA, Tatsch K. Ictal ECD-SPECT differentiates between temporal and extratemporal epilepsy: confirmation by excellent postoperative seizure control. *Nucl Med Commun* 2001; 22(2):233-237.
33. Calcagni ML, Giordano A, Bruno I, et al. Ictal brain SPET during seizures pharmacologically provoked with pentylentetrazol: a new diagnostic procedure in drug-resistant epileptic patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2002; 29(10):1298-1306.
34. Mohan KK, Chugani DC, Chugani HT. Positron emission tomography in pediatric neurology. *Semin Pediatr Neurol* 1999; 6(2):111-119.
35. Juhasz C, Chugani DC, Padhye UN, et al. Evaluation with alpha-[11C]methyl-L-tryptophan positron emission tomography for reoperation after failed epilepsy surgery. *Epilepsia* 2004; 45(2):124-130.
36. Warden CR, Brownstein DR, Del Beccaro MA. Predictors of abnormal findings of computed tomography of the head in pediatric patients presenting with seizures. *Ann Emerg Med* 1997; 29(4):518-523.
37. American College of Radiology. *Manual on Contrast Media*. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/contrast_manual.aspx.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.