

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition: Chronic Wrist Pain

Variant 1: With or without prior injury. No specific area of pain specified. Best initial study.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray wrist	9		Min
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 2: Routine radiographs normal or nondiagnostic. Next study.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
MRI wrist	9	Most of the time, imaging is not required. If imaging is to be performed, this is the study of choice.	None
US wrist	1		None
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	1		IP
CT wrist	1		Min
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 3: Suspect arthritis. Routine radiographs normal or nondiagnostic. Next study.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
MRI wrist with contrast	4	Most of the time, imaging is not required. If imaging is to be performed this is the study of choice.	None
CT wrist	1		Min
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	1		IP
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
US wrist	1		None
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

An ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

Clinical Condition:**Chronic Wrist Pain****Variant 4:****Arthritis on radiographs nondiagnostic on type, exclude infection. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	9		IP
MRI wrist	1		None
US wrist	1		None
CT wrist	1		Min
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 5:**On ulnar side, suspect triangular fibrocartilage or lunotriquetral ligament tear. Radiographs normal. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray arthrography wrist radiocarpal	9		Min
MRI wrist with contrast	9	Either MR arthrogram or MR routine is appropriate. Depends on availability.	None
MRI wrist without contrast	9	Either MR arthrogram or MR routine is appropriate. Depends on availability.	None
X-ray arthrography wrist tricompartmental	8	If original radiocarpal study is not positive or does not answer question, this is the next study.	Min
X-ray arthrography wrist midcarpal	1		Min
X-ray arthrography wrist bilateral	1		Min
X-ray wrist instability series	1		Min
CT wrist	1		Min
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	1		IP
US wrist	1		None
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

An ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

Clinical Condition:**Chronic Wrist Pain****Variant 6:****Radiographs normal. Suspect soft tissue tumor. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI wrist without contrast	9		None
MRI wrist with contrast	8	If routine MRI does not answer question, add contrast.	None
US wrist	7	US is often helpful in evaluating wrist masses as the very common fluid filled ganglion may be easily distinguished from a solid mass.	None
CT wrist	1		Min
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 7:**Radiographs show positive ulnar variance and irregularity in proximal lunate articular surface. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI wrist	2		None
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	2		IP
US wrist	2		None
NUC bone scan targeted	2		Med
X-ray arthrography wrist radiocarpal	2		Min
X-ray arthrography wrist midcarpal	2		Min
X-ray arthrography wrist tricompartmental	2		Min
X-ray arthrography wrist tricompartmental-bilateral	2		Min
CT wrist	2		Min
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 8:**Radiographs normal or equivocal. Suspect Kienböck's disease. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
MRI wrist	9		None
NUC bone scan targeted	2		Med
CT wrist	2		Min
US wrist	2		None
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	2		IP
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

An ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

Clinical Condition:**Chronic Wrist Pain****Variant 9:****Kienböck's disease on radiographs. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
CT wrist	5	Only if needed to assess degree of collapse and associated fractures.	Min
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	1		IP
US wrist	1		None
MRI wrist	1		None
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 10:**Pain for more than 3 weeks. Suspect occult fracture. Radiographs nondiagnostic. Next study.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
MRI wrist	9		None
CT wrist	7	If hook of hamate is suspected, CT is study of choice.	Min
X-ray wrist carpal tunnel views	2	May be of value if obtained at time of original study.	Min
X-ray wrist additional views semipronational oblique	2	May be of value if obtained at time of original study.	Min
US wrist	1		None
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	1		IP
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Variant 11:**Suspect Carpal tunnel syndrome.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray wrist	9		Min
MRI wrist	2	If mass is suspected or symptoms recur post surgery.	None
X-ray wrist carpal tunnel views	1		Min
US wrist	1		None
CT wrist	1		Min
NUC bone scan targeted	1		Med
INV biopsy/aspiration wrist	1		IP
X-ray arthrography wrist	1		Min
Rating Scale: 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

An ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

CHRONIC WRIST PAIN

Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging: Murray K. Dalinka, MD¹; Richard H. Daffner, MD²; Arthur A. De Smet, MD³; George Y. El-Khoury, MD⁴; John B. Kneeland, MD⁵; B.J. Manaster, MD, PhD⁶; William B. Morrison, MD⁷; Helene Pavlov, MD⁸; David A. Rubin, MD⁹; Robert Schneider, MD¹⁰; Lynne S. Steinbach, MD¹¹; Barbara N. Weissman, MD¹²; Robert H. Haralson III, MD.¹³

Summary of Literature Review

The role of imaging in chronic wrist pain has received much attention but remains controversial. There is considerable disagreement about which imaging study, if any, should be performed in a given situation. If one compares the radiologic literature to the orthopedic literature, the controversy becomes apparent.

Most physicians agree that the imaging evaluation of the painful wrist should begin with radiographs. This simple, relatively inexpensive study may establish a specific diagnosis in patients with arthritis, complications of injury, infection, some bone, or soft-tissue tumors, and occasionally in patients with wrist instability. The standard radiographic examination consists of PA and lateral views, and often an oblique view as well. Specific suspected problems may require additional views, e.g., PA in ulnar deviation to look for a scaphoid fracture. If the patient is suspected of having wrist instability, other views are often added to this routine. There is no universal or near-universal standard for this series, and it can consist of anything from PA views in radial and ulnar deviation to bilateral studies with multiple views of each wrist [1].

Fluoroscopy or video imaging is sometimes recommended to establish the diagnosis of dynamic wrist instability, and it has been suggested that it is a cost-effective method of making this diagnosis [2].

Bone scintigraphy has been used for the diagnosis of occult wrist fractures and also as a screening procedure in patients with wrist pain and negative radiographs. In these cases, a negative bone scan may obviate the need for further workup.

Wrist arthrography, utilizing a radiocarpal injection, was commonly used in the diagnosis of tears of the triangular fibrocartilage (TFC) and interosseous ligaments [3]. Many authors have replaced the standard radiocarpal wrist arthrogram with a three-injection technique, with injections into the radiocarpal, midcarpal, and distal radial-ulnar joints [4]. Some authors have advocated bilateral tricompartmental arthrography because bilateral intercarpal communications are not uncommon [5].

Recently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been advocated for patients with chronic wrist pain because it provides a global examination of both the osseous and soft-tissue structures [6]. It may be diagnostic in patients with TFC and intraosseous ligament tears, occult fractures, avascular necrosis (AVN), and miscellaneous other abnormalities [7]. It may aid in treatment planning for bone and soft-tissue tumors. Contrast-enhanced and dynamic MRI have been suggested in specific situations such as detecting erosions and their progression in rheumatoid arthritis [8]. Some investigators have used MR arthrography, both direct and indirect to detect ligamentous abnormalities of the wrist. Haims and Schweitzer [9] found that indirect MR arthrography was more sensitive than conventional MRI in detecting scapholunate abnormalities but did not improve sensitivity in detecting triangular fibrocartilage or lunatotriquetral tears.

A recent paper showed that immediate MRI for patients with possible occult wrist fractures with a modified screening protocol was nearly equivalent in cost to follow-up with delayed imaging [10]. This included the cost for orthopedic consultation and casting as well as additional follow-up with radiographs and in the orthopedic clinic. The loss of productivity resulting from casts and splints was excluded from the cost analysis.

Herneth et al [11] performed radiography, high-resolution ultrasound (US), and MRI on 15 consecutive patients with suspected scaphoid fractures. Of nine fractures, five were positive on radiograph, seven were positive on US and all nine were present on MRI.

MRI is helpful in diagnosing ulnar-sided pain caused by impaction syndromes [12]. It can differentiate between the impaction syndromes and also detect other causes of ulnar-sided pain including occult fractures and TFC tears.

Other authors used computed tomography (CT) post arthrography for diagnosing ligament injuries of the wrist and claimed that it increased precision without affecting the sensitivity or specificity of the diagnosis [13]. Zanetti et al [14] felt that MR arthrography increased the diagnostic performance of the examination.

¹Principal Author and Panel Chair, University of Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pa; ²Allegheny General Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pa; ³University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis; ⁴University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa; ⁵University of Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pa; ⁶University of Colorado Health Science Center, Denver, Colo; ⁷Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pa; ⁸Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY; ⁹Washington University of St. Louis, St. Louis, Mo; ¹⁰Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY; ¹¹University of California, San Francisco, Calif; ¹²Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, Mass; ¹³American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, Chicago, Ill.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

An ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

Tenography has a few advocates, but most authorities believe it has limited utility. CT can be used, particularly in the follow-up of complex fractures or distal radioulnar subluxations.

Many articles, particularly in the orthopedic literature, dispute the value of imaging in the diagnosis of ligamentous tears, because the authors believe that arthroscopy is more accurate and that treatment can be performed along with the diagnostic portions of the procedure [15-17]. To our knowledge, no outcome or cost analysis studies have been performed regarding the results of the various treatment regimens.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations	
Relative Radiation Level*	Effective Dose Estimate Range
None	0
Minimal	< 0.1 mSv
Low	0.1-1 mSv
Medium	1-10 mSv
High	10-100 mSv
*RRL assignments are not included for some examinations. The RRL assignments for the IP (in progress) exams will be available in future releases.	

References

1. Ryley JP, Langstaff RJ, Barton NJ. The natural history of undiagnosed wrist pain in young women. A long-term follow-up. *J Hand Surg (Br)* 1992; 17(1):51-54.
2. Braunstein EM, Vydareny KH, Louis DS, Hankin FM. Cost effectiveness of wrist fluoroscopy and arthrography in the evaluation of obscure wrist pain. *Orthopedics* 1986; 9(11):1504-1506.
3. Vanden Eynde S, De Smet L, Fabry G. Diagnostic value of arthrography and arthroscopy of the radiocarpal joint. *Arthroscopy* 1994; 10(1):50-53.
4. Weiss, AC, Akleman E, Lambiase R. Comparison of the findings of triple-injection cinearteriography of the wrist with those of arthroscopy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1996; 78(3):348-356.
5. Cantor RM, Stern PJ, Wyrick JD, Michaels SE. The relevance of ligament tears or perforations in the diagnosis of wrist pain: an arthrographic study. *J Hand Surg (Am)* 1994; 19(6):945-953.
6. Vo P, Wright T, Hayden F, et al. Evaluating dorsal wrist pain: MRI diagnosis of occult dorsal wrist ganglion. *J Hand Surg Am* 1995; 20(4):667-670.
7. Peh WC, Gilula LA, Wilson AJ. Detection of occult wrist fractures by magnetic resonance imaging. *Clin Radiol* 1996; 51(4):285-292.
8. Cimmino MA, Innocenti S, Livrone F, et al. Dynamic gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of the wrist in patients with rheumatoid arthritis can discriminate active from inactive disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003; 48(5):1207-1213.
9. Haims AH, Schweitzer ME, Morrison WB, et al. Internal derangement of the wrist: indirect MR arthrography versus unenhanced MR imaging. *Radiology* 2003; 227(3):701-707.
10. Dorsay TA, Major NM, Helms CA. Cost-effectiveness of immediate MR imaging versus traditional follow-up for revealing radiographically occult scaphoid fractures. *AJR* 2001; 177(6):1257-1263.
11. Herneth AM, Sigmeth A, Bader TR, et al. Scaphoid fractures: evaluation with high-spatial-resolution US initial results. *Radiology* 2001; 220(1):231-235.
12. Cerezal L, del Pinal F, Abascal F, et al. Imaging findings in ulnar-sided wrist impaction syndromes. *Radiographics* 2002; 22(1):105-121.
13. Theumann N, Favarger N, Schnyder P, Meuli R. Wrist ligament injuries: value of post-arthrography computed tomography. *Skeletal Radiol* 2001; 30(2):88-93.
14. Zanetti M, Bram J, Hodler, J. Triangular fibrocartilage and intercarpal ligaments of the wrist: does MR arthrography improve standard MRI? *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1997; 7(3):590-594.
15. Wilson AJ, Mann FA, Gilula LA. Imaging the hand and wrist. *J Hand Surg Br* 1990; 15(2):153-167.
16. Manaster BJ, Mann RJ, Rubenstein S. Wrist pain: correlation of clinical and plain film findings with arthrographic results. *J Hand Surg Am* 1989; 14(3):466-473.
17. Metz VM, Mann FA, Gilula LA. Three-compartment wrist arthrography: correlation of pain site with location of uni- and bi-directional communications. *AJR* 1993; 160(4):819-822.

An ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.