

**American College of Radiology  
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

**Clinical Condition:**                      **Suspected Congenital Heart Disease in the Adult**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
X-ray chest	9	Recommended in combination with TTE.	⊕
US echocardiography transthoracic with Doppler	9	Recommended in combination with chest x-ray.	O
MRI heart function and morphology with or without contrast	8	May be done as adjunct to TTE by trained operator if additional information is required. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	O
US echocardiography transesophageal	7	May be done as adjunct to TTE by trained operator if additional information is required.	O
CTA coronary arteries	7	Preferred technique for suspected coronary anomalies. Can also be used for evaluation of coronary artery disease.	⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕
CT heart function and morphology with contrast	6	May be an alternative to MRI and TTE/TEE.	⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕
MRA chest (noncoronary)	6	To evaluate associated vascular abnormalities. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	O
Cardiac catheterization with angiocardiology	5	Adjunctive to noninvasive testing, for hemodynamic measurements or coronary artery status, or if other diagnostic information is required.	⊕ ⊕ ⊕
SPECT MPI rest and stress	4	May be used for perfusion in patients with suspected ischemic heart disease.	⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕
Tc-99m ventriculography	4	Alternative to MRI for shunt quantification.	⊕ ⊕ ⊕
<b>Rating Scale:</b> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

# SUSPECTED CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE IN THE ADULT

Expert Panel on Cardiac Imaging: Vincent B. Ho, MD<sup>1</sup>; E. Kent Yucel, MD<sup>2</sup>; Arfa Khan, MD<sup>3</sup>; Linda B. Haramati, MD<sup>4</sup>; Leena Mammen, MD<sup>5</sup>; Anna Rozenshtein, MD<sup>6</sup>; Frank J. Rybicki, MD, PhD<sup>7</sup>; U. Joseph Schoepf, MD<sup>8</sup>; William Stanford, MD<sup>9</sup>; Barry Stein, MD<sup>10</sup>; Pamela K. Woodard, MD<sup>11</sup>; Michael Jaff, MD.<sup>12</sup>

## **Summary of Literature Review**

Although patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) account for only a small percentage of adults with recognized heart disease, the number of adult patients in North America with CHD (ACHD) is increasing [1,2]. A review of data from the National Center for Health Statistics and the Centers of Disease Control and Prevention showed a 39% decline in reported mortality from the CHD in the United States between 1979 and 1997 [3]. The increase in adult CHD is explained by not only by improvements in surgical treatment and survival, which is greater than 90% at 10 years [4], but also by the increasing numbers of immigrants and changes in the statistical methods used to calculate incidence of adult CHD [2]. The underestimation of these figures is due in part to measuring the incidence of CHD presenting in infancy and childhood. At least 10% of patients diagnosed in ACHD clinics (in particular, those with secundum atrial septal defect, Ebstein's anomaly, and congenitally corrected transposition) are not diagnosed until adulthood [1,2].

In addition to adults newly diagnosed with CHD, however, there is an increasing number of adults (estimated at >500,000 [4]) who have surgically treated CHD, with nearly 50% requiring two or more operations and 23%, requiring three or more [2,4,5]. In Toronto, for example, a 269% expansion of outpatient workload in ACHD centers was noted between 1987 and 1997 [2].

Adults with CHD also have acquired co-morbid factors, such as hypertension, atherosclerosis, coronary artery occlusive disease, pulmonary disease and renal disease,

which may complicate their medical and/or surgical management [2].

Congenital heart lesions may become symptomatic at any time from birth until adulthood. Several common congenital heart defects often survive into adulthood [2]. These include bicuspid aortic valve, congenital forms of mitral valve prolapse, aortic coarctation, atrial septal defect (ASD), pulmonary valve stenosis, patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), and tetralogy of Fallot. Uncommon congenital cardiac defects that may present in adulthood include Ebstein's anomaly, corrected transposition of the great vessels, pulmonary arteriovenous malformation, coronary artery anomalies, and sinus of Valsalva aneurysm.

The most common congenital heart defect in children, ventricular septal defect (VSD), may escape detection and present in adults either as a small, physiologically insignificant defect or as a large defect with Eisenmenger physiology. Anomalies of the great arteries such as complete transposition and total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage are usually symptomatic, whereas less severe anomalies such as a persistent left superior vena cava and many anomalies of the origin of the great vessels from the arch are often asymptomatic.

Imaging procedures for the diagnosis of suspected CHD in the adult include chest radiography, fluoroscopy, echocardiography (transthoracic and transesophageal), nuclear scintigraphy, cardiac-gated computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and cardiac catheterization and angiography. The physician trying to diagnose these often-complex conditions needs complete and reliable information that includes details about intercardiac anatomy, vascular anatomy, hemodynamics, and function.

## **Chest Radiography**

The initial workup of adults with suspected CHD usually includes a posterioranterior and lateral chest radiograph. Occasionally the radiograph will be the first study to alert the radiologist and the clinician to the possibility of a congenital cardiac defect or great-vessel anomaly [1,6]. This simple and inexpensive examination remains a first-line test for patients with suspected CHD.

The chest radiograph quickly illustrates gross cardiac and mediastinal contours, pulmonary vascularity, pathologic calcification, and the presence of certain indwelling metallic devices. It also provides an assessment of cardiac size, cardiac configuration, and position of the aortic arch. The situs of the abdomen and thorax can usually be determined. Thoracic cage anomalies associated with CHD and postoperative changes may also be detected. The chest radiograph continues to be an invaluable tool for following the patient with surgically treated CHD [1,6].

## **Echocardiography**

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) remains a first-line imaging examination in adults with suspected CHD.

<sup>1</sup>Principal Author, Department of Radiology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland.

<sup>2</sup>Co-Chair, Boston VA Healthcare System, West Roxbury, Massachusetts.

<sup>3</sup>Co-Chair, Long Island Jewish Medical Center, New Hyde Park, New York.

<sup>4</sup>Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York.

<sup>5</sup>Advanced Radiology Services, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

<sup>6</sup>Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, New York.

<sup>7</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

<sup>8</sup>Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina.

<sup>9</sup>University of Iowa Hospitals & Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa.

<sup>10</sup>Jefferson Radiology Group and Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut.

<sup>11</sup>Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Saint Louis, Missouri.

<sup>12</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, American College of Cardiology.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

This test has long been established as a clinically useful diagnostic modality for CHD in children, often eliminating the need for cardiac catheterization in uncomplicated lesions. Although adults present certain technical problems related to the need for lower frequency transducers, limited acoustical windows, and postoperative changes, this examination provides a unique, 2-dimensional, real-time evaluation of the anatomic and hemodynamic relationships of intracardiac lesions.

Transthoracic echocardiography is widely available, reproducible, safe, and painless. As such it remains a valuable tool in the investigation of CHD.

Echocardiography using color flow Doppler is particularly well suited for evaluating blood flow as seen across an atrial or a ventricular septal defect or across a valve. In postoperative patients, VSD patch defects can readily be visualized. Assessment of the valves (sclerosis, fusion, estimation of valve gradients) and determination of right ventricular systolic pressure can usually be achieved.

TTE, however, has difficulty in consistently providing high-quality clinically useful information in some adult patients with intracardiac defects. Imaging of the great vessel with TTE is difficult even in children and is even more problematic in adults who have poorer acoustical windows [2,7,8]. In these situations, transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and MRI have roles to play. Echocardiography also suffers from intraobserver variability in terms of exam reproducibility [9].

Current 2-dimensional TTE is limited by a field of view of 90° and the need for the examiner to assimilate tomographic slices into a 3- or 4-dimensional diagnosis. The recent development of a rotational acquisition format with dynamic volume rendering has allowed presentation of TTE in a 3-dimensional display. In one study, 3-dimensional echocardiography was found to be particularly useful, when compared to 2-dimensional echocardiography, for evaluating mitral valve, aortoseptal continuity, and the intra-arterial septum [10]. While 3D echocardiography can provide additional information over traditional 2D techniques, but has been reported to be nondiagnostic in up to 27% of patients secondary to inadequate quality from morbid obesity, narrow intercostal spaces, and severe pulmonary emphysema [11].

TEE has clear advantages over TTE in adolescents and adults with CHD. TEE can provide a new or altered diagnosis (14%) or new information (56%) in adults with CHD [12]. New information obtained with TEE as compared to TTE includes identification of the atrial appendages and atrial septum, delineation of systemic and pulmonary venous connections, improved morphologic assessment of the atrioventricular junction and valves, improved definition of subaortic obstruction, improved definition of the ascending aorta and coronary arteries, and better evaluation of atrial baffle function and Fontan anatomy [12]. Limitations of TEE include limited planes of view, poor visualization of specific regions (eg, apical-

anterior septum and right ventricular outflow tract), and blind areas created by masking of flow by implanted prosthetic material [12]. Areas that may be difficult to visualize on TEE are the right ventricular outflow tract, the pulmonary valve, the distal right pulmonary artery, and the proximal left pulmonary artery. With the addition of the vertical axis in the newer probes, these problem areas, as well as the pulmonary veins, are better seen.

The standard TEE is an invasive examination that requires administration of a local anesthetic to the pharynx and intravenous midazolam in small doses. In large studies, it has been shown that the examination may be unsuccessful in up to 5% of patients due to their inability to tolerate the probe after intubation. Another 4%-5% of patients have the examination while under general anesthesia as part of invasive or surgical procedures. Although the risk of bacterial endocarditis from TEE is small, and prophylactic antibiotics are not routinely administered, endocarditis has been attributed to the procedure.

Transesophageal echocardiography is clearly operator-dependent. In an area as complex as congenital heart disease, the examiner must be trained to interpret the findings in real time so that important information is not missed.

With improvements and refinements in echocardiographic technology, most adults attending an outpatient clinic undergo TTE and, when necessary, complementary TEE and MRI. Two-dimensional TTE imaging is more challenging in this patient population because of large body size and often multiple previous surgical scars. The use of TEE intraoperatively is also increasing, and it has been shown to have a major impact on cardiac surgical procedures in 6%-9% of cases (eg, that it is desirable or necessary for the patient to be put back onto cardiopulmonary bypass for revision of the cardiac procedure). Physicians interpreting these echocardiograms need to be experienced and have expertise in all aspects of CHD.

A high rate of diagnostic error in pediatric echocardiograms performed in community-based adult laboratories has been reported. One study found that 53% of patients of varying ages, from 1 day to 18 years, had either interpretive or technical errors that were of major or moderate importance [13]. There is reason to believe that diagnostic errors occur even more frequently in older patients because image acquisition is typically more challenging.

### **Radionuclide Imaging**

Although quantitation of cardiac shunts is feasible using technetium Tc-99m first-pass techniques, it is seldom used today. There are, however, a few selected uses for radionuclide imaging in evaluating adults with CHD. Left ventricular dysfunction is known to complicate certain long-standing congenital heart defects associated with right and left heart volume overload. Left ventricular radionuclide scintigraphy with ejection fraction calculation can be a useful noninvasive technique for evaluating these patients [14,15]. Gated radionuclide

scintigraphy can improve risk assessment in patient with single or systemic right ventricles by assessing heart failure and ventricular dysfunction [16]. In some adult patients with abnormal pulmonary blood flow patterns related to conditions such as pulmonary artery agenesis, ventilation-perfusion lung scanning may assist in the diagnosis. Congenital anomalies of the coronary artery origins, notably anomalous origin of the coronary artery from the pulmonary artery and interarterial anomalous coronary artery, may result in myocardial ischemia and/or silent infarction using stress/rest radionuclide SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography) imaging. Stress/rest radionuclide SPECT imaging can also be used to evaluate myocardial perfusion and function of the systemic right ventricle in patients following repair of transposition of the great vessels, in which perfusion defects can commonly (54%) be seen on long-term follow-up [17].

### **Computed Tomography**

Cardiac-gated CT and CT angiography (CTA) can contribute valuable information about congenital abnormalities of the coronary arteries and thoracic aorta, including the identification of vascular rings and postoperative complications such as pseudoaneurysm. The need for intravenous contrast material and the exposure to radiation, however, have limited their use in the pediatric population with CHD.

Current cardiac-gated CT scanners can evaluate the entire heart and great vessel region in a 3-dimensional matrix of CT information [18-22]. Essentially all types of congenital cardiac malformations have been accurately described with this technique [18-23]. Cardiac-gated CT has been used to calculate cardiac output, shunt flow, pulmonary-to-systemic flow ratios, ventricular volumes, ejection fraction, regurgitant volumes, and myocardial mass.

### **Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

MRI is useful for evaluating CHD [4,7,8,14,23-40]. Without the concerns related to exposure to ionizing radiation or the use of nephrotoxic iodinated contrast agents, it can provide morphologic and functional information essential for detecting and managing CHD [41]. Traditional “black-blood” techniques (eg, spin-echo MRI and double inversion recovery fast spin echo) are useful for delineating cardiac and pericardiac anatomy. “Bright-blood” techniques, notably using newer cine steady-state free-precession pulse sequences [42], can demonstrate flow abnormalities (eg, a flow jet) related to lesions such as a interventricular or interatrial septal defect, valvular insufficiency, valvular stenosis, or coarctation. Defining the plane in which the jet velocity is maximal can be difficult with MRI. However, with improvements in software and real-time localization algorithms, this is becoming easier. Parallel imaging [24] and newer k-space schemes [32,35,43] can shorten the acquisition times in most instances such that cine bright-blood imaging can be performed during a short breath hold. Bright-blood techniques also enable volumetric coverage of cardiac chambers for determining cardiac metrics such as ventricular volumes, ejection fractions,

and myocardial mass. Longer acquisitions as may be required for coronary magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) are typically performed using navigator respiratory gating methods [44].

Phase contrast techniques demonstrate directional blood-flow information for improved identification of subtle intra- or extracardiac shunt lesions. Phase contrast [24,26] also allows quantification of blood flow (eg, estimation of the ratio of pulmonary to systemic blood flow [Qp/Qs]), regurgitant fractions, and pressure gradients across valves.

MRI has been used for diagnosing most congenital heart and great-vessel abnormalities. Conventional spin-echo MRI has been shown to have very high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing common CHD [31]. At a specificity of 90%, spin echo MRI was found to properly diagnose great-vessel relationships (100%), thoracic aortic abnormalities (94%), ASDs (91%), VSDs (100%), visceratrial situs (100%), and the cardiac loop (100%) [31]. Pulmonary and systemic venous anomalies and right ventricular outflow obstructions are also detected with high sensitivity. Vascular rings can also be accurately diagnosed without the need for angiography [45]. MRI can also be performed using 3D techniques for high-spatial-resolution Gd-enhanced 3-D MRA [28,29,36], or to provide volumetric coverage of cardiac chambers [25-27,34]. Time-resolved MRA was found to provide a very high diagnostic value (92% of diagnostic parameters assessed) that included thoracic vascular anatomy, sequential cardiac anatomy, and shunt detection with high sensitivity (93%-100%) and high specificity (87%-100%) [33].

Gradient-echo imaging acquisition viewed in a cine format facilitates physiologic measurements, including stroke volume, ejection fraction, and wall motion of both ventricles. Blood flow, valve gradients, shunt flow, regurgitant flow, and pulmonary flow can all be measured using velocity-encoded cine techniques [25,26,30,34,39,46].

MRI seems to be ideally suited for evaluating adults with suspected or known CHD. Although claustrophobia in the gantry may require sedation in a few patients, the study is noninvasive, and image quality is not affected by body habitus. MRI can provide high-spatial-resolution images even in more complex CHD without the limitation of imaging “windows” or plane as experienced during echocardiography. MRI images can be obtained in essentially any plane for improved 3-dimensional presentation of cardiac anatomy. MRI is useful as well in evaluating the postoperative patients with CHD, whether it is a palliative procedure, a surgically created conduit, or reconstructed great vessels [23,25-29,36,46].

Pharmacologic stress MRI using dobutamine has also been shown to be useful in evaluating systemic right ventricular function in patients with transposition of the great vessels [25]. However, Oosterhof et al [34] have shown significant differences in the responses between exercise stress and dobutamine stress MRI in patients with patients with intra-atrial correction of transposition

of the great vessels, suggesting the need for further investigation.

MRI does have a few contraindications and limitations. For instance, pacemakers are generally considered an exclusion for MRI, although it has been performed safely in patients with pacemakers under rigorously safe conditions [47]. The use of gadolinium (Gd) chelate contrast agents may not be possible in a patient with known severe allergy to Gd. Detection of calcification remains problematic for MRI, so adults with homografts or bioprosthetic valved conduits in which the detection of calcification implies deterioration may not be optimally imaged. Motion and respiratory artifacts also may pose a problem on some examinations.

Real-time MRI has recently been introduced and can facilitate evaluation in patients with dysrhythmias or limited breath-hold capacity. Current implementations have lower resolution than standard techniques.

Current cine studies represent a summation of acquisitions gated from the ECG. Any factor that affects ECG gating therefore may degrade the images, atrial fibrillation being a common cause. Sternal wires, vascular clips, arterial stents, biosynthetic valve rings, and mechanical valves can all interfere with the quality of the images and thereby limit the clinical value of the examination in some patients.

In terms of specific defects, MRI is probably not as accurate as color flow Doppler in visualizing small ventricular and atrial defects. Cardiac MR studies require supervision and monitoring of the procedure by a physician who understands the clinical question and can acquire an appropriate and optimal imaging study. This is essential for consistency and reliable data. Detection of thickened atrioventricular valves and the diagnosis of bicuspid or fused aortic valves are perhaps better achieved with TEE [48].

Future developments in MRI may optimize the acquisition of functional data and provide real-time visualization of cardiac structures, much as echocardiography does now.

### **Transthoracic and Transesophageal Echocardiography versus Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

Few prospective studies are available to compare TTE and TEE with MRI. Studies limited to specific congenital lesions (coarctation of the aorta, subpulmonary and pulmonary artery anomalies) indicate that MRI gives a more reliable assessment of severity and is technically more successful than TTE [49]. Studies comparing TTE with MRI in the evaluation of patients who have had surgical correction or palliation of CHD indicate that MRI information is additive to that from TTE [14]. In patients who have had palliative and corrective surgery for cyanotic heart disease, MRI and TEE are equivalent for demonstrating abnormalities of the right ventricular outflow tract, main pulmonary artery, and systemic-to-pulmonary shunts [50]. MRI is superior in demonstrating abnormalities of the right and left pulmonary arteries

[50,51]. When TTE and MRI are compared in a variety of congenital heart lesions, MRI is comparable to echo in evaluating isolated intracardiac defects but more useful in diagnosing complex congenital lesions [52].

Echocardiography has also been shown to have good agreement with MRI in evaluating right ventricular volumes, but echocardiography has a much wider interobserver variation [9]. In the evaluation of extracardiac ventriculopulmonary conduits and the right ventricle, MRI and echocardiography can often provide complementary and diagnostic information that, when in agreement, may obviate the need for cardiac catheterization [46].

When TEE and MRI have been evaluated prospectively in adults with CHD, TEE is shown to be superior in evaluating intracardiac anatomy; MRI is superior for extracardiac anatomy and is slightly better than TEE for hemodynamic and functional evaluation [48]. Taken individually, the two modalities provided similar overall diagnostic information; however, when used in combination, they provide important complementary information in all diagnostic categories.

### **Cardiac Catheterization and Angiocardiography**

Cardiac catheterization has been the diagnostic “gold standard” for CHD over the past 50 years. For the past 20 years, it has been increasingly supplemented by noninvasive diagnostic modalities—initially, cardiac ultrasound and more recently, CT scanning and MRI. Advances in these technologies have been logarithmic, and it is likely that in the coming decade, both morphologic and functional assessments of this patient population will be increasingly accomplished noninvasively.

In 2001, the Bethesda Conference Task Force 1, “The Changing Profile on Congenital Heart Disease in Adult Life” [2], suggested the use of diagnostic catheterization primarily “for resolving specific issues concerning operative interventions including 1) the preoperative evaluation of coronary arteries; 2) the assessment of pulmonary vascular disease and its response to vasoactive agents for planned, traditional surgical intervention, and/or heart or heart/lung transplantation; and 3) as an adjunct to the noninvasive assessment of the morphologic and functional characteristics of many complex congenital lesions (eg, delineation of arterial and venous anatomy, patients with heterotaxy, Fontan candidates, and patients who have had previous palliation in the form of a shunt.)” The group further suggested that only experienced and trained operators who maintain an adequate volume annually should perform such procedures. They further supported this suggestion by noting that evaluation for possible interventional catheterization is an increasingly common indication for diagnostic catheterization. Catheter intervention, for instance, is commonly sought as the treatment of choice for correcting valvular pulmonary stenosis, branch pulmonary stenosis, residual or recurrent aortic coarctation, and arteriovenous fistulae [2]. Coil or device occlusion of lesions such as patent ductus or secundum

atrial septal defect are other preferred interventions for treatment [2].

For many years, the purpose of cardiac catheterization and angiocardiography for CHD was to acquire pressure, oximetric, and morphologic data. Pressures defined gradients across stenosis and between cardiac chambers connected by defects as well as the severity of pulmonary hypertension. Oxygen saturations helped to define the volume of shunts. Morphologic data of simple and complex anomalies were achieved by cine angiograms using angulated views, contrast material, and radiation. For the most part, these studies were accomplished safely but with some morbidity (contrast reactions, renal failure, hematomas, arterial and venous injuries, radiation exposure, etc.) and a small but definite mortality.

Although cardiac catheterization continues to be performed and is currently still considered by many to be the “gold standard” in evaluating CHD, noninvasive methods increasingly limit the need for catheterization unless intervention is considered. Many simple congenital cardiac defects are now sent to surgery without catheterization. In the future, cardiac catheterization and angiocardiography may very well be reserved as a complement to these noninvasive techniques in the evaluation of adults with suspected CHD. However, until these less invasive studies provide an accurate depiction of the coronary arteries, the catheterization laboratory will continue to be involved in the assessment of this unique group of adult patients.

#### Anticipated Exceptions

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a scleroderma-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunction and the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely in patients with very limited glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (ie, <30 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk, and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>. For more information, please see the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [53].

#### Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are

at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria<sup>®</sup> [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
O	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊕	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊕ ⊕	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv
*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as NS (not specified).		

#### Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria<sup>®</sup> Overview](#)
- [Procedure Contrast Information](#)
- Evidence table under review

#### References

1. Steiner RM, Gross GW, Flicker S, Salazar A, Baron M, Loessner A. Congenital heart disease in the adult patient: the value of plain film chest radiology. *J Thorac Imaging* 1995; 10(1):1-25.
2. Warnes CA, Liberthson R, Danielson GK, et al. Task force 1: the changing profile of congenital heart disease in adult life. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001; 37(5):1170-1175.
3. Boneva RS, Botto LD, Moore CA, Yang Q, Correa A, Erickson JD. Mortality associated with congenital heart defects in the United States: trends and racial disparities, 1979-1997. *Circulation* 2001; 103(19):2376-2381.
4. Wimpfheimer O, Boxt LM. MR imaging of adult patients with congenital heart disease. *Radiol Clin North Am* 1999; 37(2):421-438, vii.
5. Wren C, O'Sullivan JJ. Survival with congenital heart disease and need for follow up in adult life. *Heart* 2001; 85(4):438-443.
6. Baron MG. Plain film diagnosis of common cardiac anomalies in the adult. *Radiol Clin North Am* 1999; 37(2):401-420, vi.
7. Rebergen SA, de Roos A. Congenital heart disease. Evaluation of anatomy and function by MRI. *Herz* 2000; 25(4):365-383.
8. Simpson IA, Sahn DJ. Adult congenital heart disease: use of transthoracic echocardiography versus magnetic resonance imaging scanning. *Am J Card Imaging* 1995; 9(1):29-37.
9. Salehian O, Schwerzmann M, Merchant N, Webb GD, Siu SC, Therrien J. Assessment of systemic right ventricular function in patients with transposition of the great arteries using the myocardial performance index: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2004; 110(20):3229-3233.

10. Salustri A, Spitaels S, McGhie J, Vletter W, Roelandt JR. Transthoracic three-dimensional echocardiography in adult patients with congenital heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995; 26(3):759-767.
11. Cheng TO, Xie MX, Wang XF, Wang Y, Lu Q. Real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography in assessing atrial and ventricular septal defects: an echocardiographic-surgical correlative study. *Am Heart J* 2004; 148(6):1091-1095.
12. Sreeram N, Sutherland GR, Geuskens R, et al. The role of transoesophageal echocardiography in adolescents and adults with congenital heart defects. *Eur Heart J* 1991; 12(2):231-240.
13. Stanger P, Silverman NH, Foster E. Diagnostic accuracy of pediatric echocardiograms performed in adult laboratories. *Am J Cardiol* 1999; 83(6):908-914.
14. Rees S, Somerville J, Warnes C, et al. Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging with echocardiography and radionuclide angiography in assessing cardiac function and anatomy following Mustard's operation for transposition of the great arteries. *Am J Cardiol* 1988; 61(15):1316-1322.
15. Saxena A, Fong LV, Tristram M, Ackery DM, Keeton BR. Late noninvasive evaluation of cardiac performance in mildly symptomatic older patients with Ebstein's anomaly of tricuspid valve: role of radionuclide imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991; 17(1):182-186.
16. Piran S, Veldtman G, Siu S, Webb GD, Liu PP. Heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in patients with single or systemic right ventricles. *Circulation* 2002; 105(10):1189-1194.
17. Lubiszewska B, Gosiewska E, Hoffman P, et al. Myocardial perfusion and function of the systemic right ventricle in patients after atrial switch procedure for complete transposition: long-term follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000; 36(4):1365-1370.
18. Goo HW, Park IS, Ko JK, Kim YH, Seo DM, Park JJ. Computed tomography for the diagnosis of congenital heart disease in pediatric and adult patients. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2005; 21(2-3):347-365; discussion 367.
19. Haramati LB, Glickstein JS, Issenberg HJ, Haramati N, Crooke GA. MR imaging and CT of vascular anomalies and connections in patients with congenital heart disease: significance in surgical planning. *Radiographics* 2002; 22(2):337-347; discussion 348-339.
20. Memisoglu E, Hobikoglu G, Tepe MS, Norgaz T, Bilsel T. Congenital coronary anomalies in adults: comparison of anatomic course visualization by catheter angiography and electron beam CT. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2005; 66(1):34-42.
21. Ropers D, Moshage W, Daniel WG, Jessl J, Gottwik M, Achenbach S. Visualization of coronary artery anomalies and their anatomic course by contrast-enhanced electron beam tomography and three-dimensional reconstruction. *Am J Cardiol* 2001; 87(2):193-197.
22. Schmitt R, Froehner S, Brunn J, et al. Congenital anomalies of the coronary arteries: imaging with contrast-enhanced, multidetector computed tomography. *Eur Radiol* 2005; 15(6):1110-1121.
23. Haramati LB, Moche IE, Rivera VT, et al. Computed tomography of partial anomalous pulmonary venous connection in adults. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2003; 27(5):743-749.
24. Beerbaum P, Korperich H, Gieseke J, Barth P, Peuster M, Meyer H. Rapid left-to-right shunt quantification in children by phase-contrast magnetic resonance imaging combined with sensitivity encoding (SENSE). *Circulation* 2003; 108(11):1355-1361.
25. Dodge-Khatami A, Tulevski, II, Bennink GB, et al. Comparable systemic ventricular function in healthy adults and patients with unoperated congenitally corrected transposition using MRI dobutamine stress testing. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002; 73(6):1759-1764.
26. Dyme JL, Prakash A, Printz BF, Kaur A, Parness IA, Nielsen JC. Physiology of isolated anomalous pulmonary venous connection of a single pulmonary vein as determined by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; 98(1):107-110.
27. Fogel MA, Hubbard A, Weinberg PM. A simplified approach for assessment of intracardiac baffles and extracardiac conduits in congenital heart surgery with two- and three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging. *Am Heart J* 2001; 142(6):1028-1036.
28. Geva T, Greil GF, Marshall AC, Landzberg M, Powell AJ. Gadolinium-enhanced 3-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography of pulmonary blood supply in patients with complex pulmonary stenosis or atresia: comparison with x-ray angiography. *Circulation* 2002; 106(4):473-478.
29. Greil GF, Powell AJ, Gildein HP, Geva T. Gadolinium-enhanced three-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography of pulmonary and systemic venous anomalies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002; 39(2):335-341.
30. Higgins CB, Caputo GR. Role of MR imaging in acquired and congenital cardiovascular disease. *AJR* 1993; 161(1):13-22.
31. Kersting-Sommerhoff BA, Diethelm L, Teitel DF, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of congenital heart disease: sensitivity and specificity using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. *Am Heart J* 1989; 118(1):155-161.
32. McConnell MV, Ganz P, Selwyn AP, Li W, Edelman RR, Manning WJ. Identification of anomalous coronary arteries and their anatomic course by magnetic resonance coronary angiography. *Circulation* 1995; 92(11):3158-3162.
33. Mohrs OK, Petersen SE, Voigtlaender T, et al. Time-resolved contrast-enhanced MR angiography of the thorax in adults with congenital heart disease. *AJR* 2006; 187(4):1107-1114.
34. Oosterhof T, Tulevski, II, Roest AA, et al. Disparity between dobutamine stress and physical exercise magnetic resonance imaging in patients with an intra-atrial correction for transposition of the great arteries. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2005; 7(2):383-389.
35. Post JC, van Rossum AC, Bronzwaer JG, et al. Magnetic resonance angiography of anomalous coronary arteries. A new gold standard for delineating the proximal course? *Circulation* 1995; 92(11):3163-3171.
36. Prasad SK, Soukias N, Hornung T, et al. Role of magnetic resonance angiography in the diagnosis of major aortopulmonary collateral arteries and partial anomalous pulmonary venous drainage. *Circulation* 2004; 109(2):207-214.
37. Sampson C, Martinez J, Rees S, Somerville J, Underwood R, Longmore D. Evaluation of Fontan's operation by magnetic resonance imaging. *Am J Cardiol* 1990; 65(11):819-821.
38. Stern HC, Locher D, Wallnofer K, et al. Noninvasive assessment of coarctation of the aorta: comparative measurements by two-dimensional echocardiography, magnetic resonance, and angiography. *Pediatr Cardiol* 1991; 12(1):1-5.
39. Wexler L, Higgins CB, Herfkens RJ. Magnetic resonance imaging in adult congenital heart disease. *J Thorac Imaging* 1994; 9(4):219-229.
40. Hong YK, Park YW, Ryu SJ, et al. Efficacy of MRI in complicated congenital heart disease with visceral heterotaxy syndrome. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2000; 24(5):671-682.
41. Hoffmann A, Engelfriet P, Mulder B. Radiation exposure during follow-up of adults with congenital heart disease. *Int J Cardiol* 2006.
42. Lee VS, Resnick D, Bundy JM, Simonetti OP, Lee P, Weinreb JC. Cardiac function: MR evaluation in one breath hold with real-time true fast imaging with steady-state precession. *Radiology* 2002; 222(3):835-842.
43. Plein S, Smith WH, Ridgway JP, et al. Measurements of left ventricular dimensions using real-time acquisition in cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: comparison with conventional gradient echo imaging. *Magma* 2001; 13(2):101-108.
44. Taylor AM, Thorne SA, Rubens MB, et al. Coronary artery imaging in grown up congenital heart disease: complementary role of magnetic resonance and x-ray coronary angiography. *Circulation* 2000; 101(14):1670-1678.
45. Bisset GS, 3rd, Strife JL, Kirks DR, Bailey WW. Vascular rings: MR imaging. *AJR* 1987; 149(2):251-256.
46. Holmqvist C, Oskarsson G, Stahlberg F, Thilen U, Bjorkhem G, Laurin S. Functional evaluation of extracardiac ventriculopulmonary conduits and of the right ventricle with magnetic resonance imaging and velocity mapping. *Am J Cardiol* 1999; 83(6):926-932.
47. Nazarian S, Roguin A, Zviman MM, et al. Clinical utility and safety of a protocol for noncardiac and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging of patients with permanent pacemakers and implantable-cardioverter defibrillators at 1.5 tesla. *Circulation* 2006; 114(12):1277-1284.
48. Hirsch R, Kilner PJ, Connelly MS, Redington AN, St John Sutton MG, Somerville J. Diagnosis in adolescents and adults with congenital heart disease. Prospective assessment of individual and combined roles of magnetic resonance imaging and transesophageal echocardiography. *Circulation* 1994; 90(6):2937-2951.

49. Vick GW, 3rd, Rokey R, Huhta JC, Mulvagh SL, Johnston DL. Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging of the pulmonary arteries, subpulmonary region, and aorticopulmonary shunts: a comparative study with two-dimensional echocardiography and angiography. *Am Heart J* 1990; 119(5):1103-1110.
50. Blakenberg F, Rhee J, Hardy C, Helton G, Higgins SS, Higgins CB. MRI vs echocardiography in the evaluation of the Jatene procedure. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1994; 18(5):749-754.
51. Duerinckx AJ, Wexler L, Banerjee A, et al. Postoperative evaluation of pulmonary arteries in congenital heart surgery by magnetic resonance imaging: comparison with echocardiography. *Am Heart J* 1994; 128(6 Pt 1):1139-1146.
52. Crochet D, Lefevre M, Grossetete R, et al. [Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging, echocardiography and catheterization in the diagnosis of congenital heart diseases]. *Arch Mal Coeur Vaiss* 1990; 83(5):681-686.
53. American College of Radiology. *Manual on Contrast Media*. Available at: [http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality\\_safety/contrast\\_manual.aspx](http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/contrast_manual.aspx).

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.