

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition: **Dyspnea — Suspected Cardiac Origin**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
X-ray chest	8		☼
US echocardiography transthoracic resting	8		O
US echocardiography transthoracic stress	7		O
SPECT MPI rest and stress	7		☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
Rb-82 PET heart stress	7		☼ ☼ ☼
MRI heart function and morphology without and with contrast	7	See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	O
MRI heart function and morphology without contrast	6		O
CTA coronary arteries with contrast	6		☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
CTA coronary arteries with contrast with advanced low dose techniques	6		☼ ☼ ☼
CTA chest (noncoronary) with contrast	6		☼ ☼ ☼
Cardiac catheterization with angiocardiography	6		☼ ☼ ☼
US echocardiography transesophageal	5		O
CT chest without contrast	5		☼ ☼ ☼
Radionuclide ventriculography	4		☼ ☼ ☼
Tc-99m V/Q scan lung	3		☼ ☼ ☼
CT coronary calcium	3		☼ ☼ ☼
Arteriography pulmonary	3		☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

DYSPNEA — SUSPECTED CARDIAC ORIGIN

Expert Panel on Cardiac Imaging: Suhny Abbara, MD¹; Brian Ghoshhajra, MD, MBA²; Richard D. White, MD³; Pamela K. Woodard, MD⁴; Michael K. Atalay, MD, PhD⁵; Linda B. Haramati, MD, MS⁶; Robert C. Hendel, MD⁷; Arfa R. Khan, MD⁸; Edward T. Martin, III, MD⁹; Anna Rozenshtein, MD¹⁰; Robert M. Steiner, MD.¹¹

Summary of Literature Review

Comroe described breathlessness as “...difficult, labored, uncomfortable breathing.” Shortness of breath, also known as dyspnea, has no precise definition, and patients vary in their attempts to describe the sensation, as it is diffuse in etiology [1-4]. Dyspnea may be of neurogenic, respiratory, or cardiac origin and may be associated with disease states, as well deconditioning, anemia, or anxiety [5-8]. Some patients have a combination of these factors that produce dyspnea at rest, after exercise, or in certain positions (orthopnea, trepopnea, or platypnea, ie, recumbent, on one side, or in the upright position) [4,9]. Dyspnea may have an acute onset or may be chronic. It is more common in the elderly.

It is not always easy to distinguish between the various causes of dyspnea, although history, physical examination, and simple laboratory tests usually provide insights [10]. The electrocardiogram, chest radiograph, and complete blood count have traditionally been part of the initial diagnostic workup [11-12]. Pulmonary function testing and oximetry are important tests when chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma is suspected. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing, with measurement of peak oxygen uptake, is useful in this assessment when combinations of cardiac and respiratory causes are being considered [13-15].

Imaging can play a central role in the differentiation of the underlying cause of dyspnea. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Chronic Dyspnea — Suspected Pulmonary Origin](#).”)

Congestive heart failure (CHF) is the most common cardiac cause of dyspnea [16]. CHF may involve both systolic and diastolic left-ventricular dysfunction. Although we commonly think of systolic dysfunction as most important because it produces decreased cardiac output, it is the diastolic dysfunction that appears to be associated with the symptom of dyspnea and with reduced functional capacity under the New York Heart Association (NYHA) grading system in some cases [8,17]. Some patients may have CHF and dyspnea with normal ejection fractions and can be classified as having diastolic heart failure [18]. Ischemic heart disease is the most common cause of CHF, but other etiologies include valvular heart disease, intracardiac shunts, various cardiomyopathies (including hypertensive, hypertrophic, restrictive, or dilated), right ventricular failure or overload with abnormal septal intrusion on the left ventricle (LV), and pericardial disease with limited diastolic filling due to constriction.[19-25].

Imaging studies are invaluable for establishing the diagnosis and, in many instances, determining the appropriate management strategy in the setting of dyspnea [26-28]. Chest radiography and echocardiography have remained the major imaging tools employed for directly assessing patients with dyspnea; with peripheral ultrasound allowing further evaluation of potential venous thromboembolic disease. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Suspected Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis](#).”) In addition, radionuclide imaging has traditionally played an important role in evaluating myocardial perfusion and systolic function when ischemic heart disease is suspected and for inclusion or exclusion of pulmonary embolus [29]. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is gaining acceptance for noninvasive evaluation of the myocardium, cardiac chambers, valves, and pericardium when cardiac causes of dyspnea are suspected. Computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the heart, pulmonary arteries, or thoracic aorta now play significant roles in the noninvasive workup of suspected low to intermediate-risk test of coronary artery disease (CAD), pulmonary embolism, or thoracic aortic disease associated with aortic valve dysfunction, respectively. Cardiac angiography and coronary arteriography are invasive imaging techniques that are used extensively for diagnosing or excluding ischemic disease and, together with cardiac hemodynamics and endomyocardial biopsy, are important for precise evaluation of cardiac function and etiology of cardiomyopathies.

Radiograph

The radiograph remains readily available and may provide important information about the underlying etiology of dyspnea [30]. Most noncardiac causes related to primary respiratory conditions can be identified using radiography. In the acute setting, CHF is fairly reliably manifested by pulmonary vascular redistribution [31-32] with alveolar pulmonary edema, while in the chronic situation, cardiomegaly combined with vascular prominence and interstitial edema is more typical. In

¹Principal Author, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

²Research Author, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

³Panel Chair, University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Florida.

⁴Panel Vice-chair, Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, Missouri.

⁵The Warren Alpert School of Medicine at Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island.

⁶Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York.

⁷University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, American College of Cardiology.

⁸Long Island Jewish Medical Center, New Hyde Park, New York.

⁹Oklahoma Heart Institute, Tulsa, Oklahoma, American College of Cardiology.

¹⁰Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, New York.

¹¹Temple University Health System, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

about half of patients with chronic CHF, cardiomegaly is seen on a radiograph, and evidence of specific chamber enlargement is helpful in detecting valvular heart disease. Elevation of LV end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP), however, is not always accompanied by signs of interstitial edema, particularly in patients who have undergone treatment, whereas clinical symptoms and NYHA grade tend to parallel the radiographic findings of elevated pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) [26,33]. Absence of the radiographic signs of congestion does not ensure a normal LVEDP or PCWP in patients with chronic CHF.

Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) are widely available techniques that play an extremely important role in evaluating patients with dyspnea of suspected cardiac origin [11,34-35]. LV size, global and regional systolic contraction, diastolic function (relaxation and filling), myocardial wall thickness and texture, and valve dysfunction are accurately evaluated with a combination of two-dimensional and color Doppler techniques. Abnormalities of diastolic function can be detected in patients with normal heart size [18,36]. Pericardial restraint during filling can often be inferred [37]. Pericardial effusion is easily detected, but pericardial thickening and calcification are not readily seen. Patterns of central venous flow during phases of respiration aid in the differentiation of constrictive and restrictive pathophysiology by echocardiography when Doppler imaging is applied [38]. Consequently, such TTE evaluations are invaluable to the evaluation of the dyspneic patient with suspected cardiac disease. Stress echocardiography is useful to detect regional wall motion abnormalities in ischemic situations [39]. Stress TTE is highly accurate for the detection of CAD and can be performed in combination with either exercise or pharmacologically induced stress [40-42].

Serial echocardiography studies are easily performed without cumulative risks from repetitive radiation exposure [43]. TTE has limitations related to acoustic window restrictions and certain body types, as well as operator experience. TEE is somewhat invasive and should be reserved for cases that require better definition of the mitral valve or when TTE is unsatisfactory [44].

Radionuclide Imaging

Equilibrium-gated blood-pool imaging of the LV (radionuclide ventriculography) provides reliable and reproducible measurements of ejection fraction, regional wall motion abnormalities, and diastolic dysfunction [45-47]. In patients undergoing radionuclide imaging for dyspnea of suspected cardiac origin, dyspnea appears to be an independent predictor of an increased risk for death from cardiac causes and from any cause [5]. More recently, combined assessments of LV function and myocardial perfusion based on SPECT with a variety of agents can be performed at rest and after stress with exercise, dobutamine, dipyridamole, adenosine, or regadenoson [48-51]. These studies give reliable results

that detect areas of ischemia, infarction, and hibernating myocardium. Recently, new software algorithms such as iterative reconstruction, maximum a posteriori noise regularization and resolution recovery, and new hardware and detector materials have become available, allowing for image acquisitions at significantly shorter acquisition times (one fifth to one half), or alternatively at lower doses compared with conventional algorithms [52-53].

PET has many of the same attributes but with generally higher image quality. PET may be useful in patients with dyspnea and suspected myocardial ischemia for demonstrating abnormalities in regional perfusion and/or metabolic behavior of the myocardium. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Chronic Chest Pain — High Probability of Coronary Artery Disease.](#)”)

Limitations of SPECT and PET include decreased detection of ischemia in the presence of balanced disease and decreased sensitivity of chemical stress examinations when compared with exercise stress examinations. Artifacts from diaphragmatic and breast attenuation may complicate the interpretation of these examinations, although new CT attenuation correction algorithms address these difficulties.

Ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) scanning is a useful means of evaluation for suspected pulmonary embolism if contrast-enhanced CT is contraindicated. Disadvantages include lack of sensitivity and specificity in the presence of underlying cardiopulmonary disease (especially in the setting of an abnormal chest x-ray), increased fetal radiation dose in pregnant women, and the inability to diagnose alternative causes of chest pain. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Acute Chest Pain — Suspected Pulmonary Embolism.](#)”)

Computed Tomography

Conventional CT with contrast infusion gives limited information about the cardiac chambers [41]. It can detect pericardial disease and is quite useful for detecting pulmonary causes of dyspnea. Compared to conventional radiography, CT enables superior assessment of pulmonary vascularity in the context of CHF. CTA of the chest with contrast timed to specifically enhance the pulmonary arteries has emerged as a first-line test to evaluate for pulmonary embolism and can differentiate causes of pulmonary hypertension such as chronic thromboembolic disease, underlying lung pathology, and their sequelae. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Acute Chest Pain — Suspected Pulmonary Embolism.](#)”) When the contrast is timed to enhance the thoracic aorta, CTA can effectively detect aortic conditions predisposing to dyspnea (eg, Stanford Type A dissection), often due to aortic valve involvement. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Acute Chest Pain — Suspected Aortic Dissection.](#)”)

ECG-synchronized CT is also emerging as an important tool for the noninvasive detection of CAD, particularly in patients at low to intermediate risk [54-58]. There is a growing body of evidence that suggests that noninvasive coronary CTA (CCTA) is a test of high accuracy when

differentiating ischemic from nonischemic etiologies of dilated cardiomyopathy based on assessment for CAD [59-62], although the severity (number of stenotic lesions and degree of stenosis) of heavily calcified lesions may still be overestimated. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® topics on “Chronic Chest Pain — High Probability of Coronary Artery Disease” and “Chest Pain, Suggestive of Acute Coronary Syndrome.”)

ECG-gated studies can be performed with multidetector row CT, which provides detailed information on general cardiovascular and thoracic pathology. Effusions and pericardial calcification are easily detected. Precise and reproducible measurements of ventricular volumes, wall thickness, and regional contraction abnormalities can be made using multi-phasic reconstructions, although multidetector row CT should not be used primarily for evaluating cardiac function due to the radiation dose associated with retrospectively gated studies [63-67].

As with all imaging tests involving use of iodinated contrast material, the diagnostic benefit needs to be weighed against the risk of inducing or worsening CHF due to contrast-related volume overload. Recent advances in cardiac CT imaging technology allow for further reduction of the radiation dose from CCTA [68]; available new dose-reducing techniques include prospective triggering [69-71], adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction [72], and high-pitch spiral acquisition [73].

However, these newer low-dose techniques may not be the appropriate choice in all patients due to their dependency on a combination of factors, including heart rate, rhythm, and clinical indication. Thus, while these techniques are promising in terms of reducing patient radiation dose, their overall accuracy and utility as compared to standard CCTA techniques are not yet completely defined.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Current ECG-gated MRI techniques routinely yield high-quality images that are acquired over a single breath-hold or even during a single heartbeat. Currently there are few established indications for MRI in the setting of acute dyspnea [74-75]. However, dynamic MRI techniques (eg, cine, tagging, flow mapping) reveal anatomic and functional abnormalities of the myocardium, cardiac chambers, valves, and pericardium without the need for radiation or contrast administration [54,76-77]. Valve lesions that may be responsible for dyspnea can be accurately characterized and quantified.

Applications of MRI to functional studies of myocardial contraction and diastolic relaxation have shown accurate characterization of functional abnormality. Thus, although MRI may not be the first-line imaging test in the workup of dyspnea, it is a valuable test for diagnosing several cardiac entities that may present with chronic dyspnea. Growing evidence exists that demonstrates the utility of MRI to differentiate ischemic from nonischemic cardiomyopathies, to detect nontransmural infarcts and missed infarcts, to characterize various nonischemic cardiomyopathies, to diagnose myocarditis or

endomyocardial fibrosis, to characterize congenital heart lesions (such as shunts), and to offer prognostic value in several situations [3,37,59,74,78-107].

Invasive Techniques

Physiological studies with hemodynamic monitoring of right heart and pulmonary wedge pressures are often useful in detecting a cardiac cause of dyspnea when the etiology is obscure [44]. Coronary angiography is the reference imaging modality in patients with heart failure and anginal chest pain and continues to play a significant role in confirming absence of obstructive coronary disease in patients with heart failure due to nonischemic cardiomyopathy [108]. LV function is more easily determined noninvasively. Pulmonary arteriography is an invasive test that allows a sensitive evaluation of the pulmonary vasculature as well as functional information such as pulmonary-artery and right-heart pressure measurements, although the test is limited by interobserver variability. For the evaluation of pulmonary embolism as a cause of dyspnea, invasive pulmonary arteriography is usually reserved as a second-line test in rare cases. (See the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “Acute Chest Pain — Suspected Pulmonary Embolism.”)

Summary

- Dyspnea is a poorly understood symptom that may have pulmonary, cardiac, or psychological causes.
- The chest radiograph is often useful in initially differentiating between cardiac and pulmonary causes.
- The echocardiogram is the noninvasive modality of choice for assessing ventricular systolic and diastolic function and valve function.
- Radiographs and echocardiographs are widely available, have virtually no risk, and are suitable for serial studies.
- Radionuclide imaging (primarily SPECT, but with growing contribution by PET) is widely used as a method for study of myocardial perfusion at rest and under stress. Stress TTE can be employed to detect myocardial ischemia based on assessment of physiological changes in regional contraction.
- ECG-gated multidetector row CT has value in CAD detection in symptomatic patients with either low-to-intermediate CAD risk or equivocal echocardiographic or SPECT results for ischemia evaluation. Its diagnostic accuracy to noninvasively differentiate between ischemic and nonischemic causes of cardiomyopathies and its ability to determine ventricular volumes and function are supportive.
- CTA of the chest is now a first-line approach to the assessment of suspected pulmonary embolism or proximal thoracic aortic disease.
- Cardiac MRI has the ability to differentiate myocardial fibrosis from ischemic infarcts and nonischemic cardiomyopathies, as well as to

accurately evaluate global and regional function, and can characterize and quantify valvular dysfunction.

Anticipated Exceptions

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a scleroderma-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunction and the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely in patients with very limited glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (ie, <30 mL/min/1.73m²), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk, and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73m². For more information, please see the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [109].

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
O	0 mSv	0 mSv
☼	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
☼ ☼	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
☼ ☼ ☼	1-10 mSv	0.3- 3 mSv
☼ ☼ ☼ ☼	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
☼ ☼ ☼ ☼ ☼	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv
*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as NS (not specified).		

Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Overview](#)
- [Procedure Information](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

1. Elliott MW, Adams L, Cockcroft A, MacRae KD, Murphy K, Guz A. The language of breathlessness. Use of verbal descriptors by patients with cardiopulmonary disease. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991; 144(4):826-832.
2. Pontone G, Andreini D, Ballerini G, Nobili E, Pepi M. Diagnostic work-up of unselected patients with suspected coronary artery disease: complementary role of multidetector computed tomography, symptoms and electrocardiogram stress test. *Coron Artery Dis* 2007; 18(4):265-274.
3. Sechtem U, Mahrholdt H, Hager S, Vogelsberg H. New non-invasive approaches for the diagnosis of cardiomyopathy: magnetic resonance imaging. *Ernst Schering Res Found Workshop* 2006; (55):261-285.
4. Zeldis SM. Dyspnea during pregnancy. Distinguishing cardiac from pulmonary causes. *Clin Chest Med* 1992; 13(4):567-585.
5. Abidov A, Rozanski A, Hachamovitch R, et al. Prognostic significance of dyspnea in patients referred for cardiac stress testing. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353(18):1889-1898.
6. Peiffer C, Poline JB, Thivard L, Aubier M, Samson Y. Neural substrates for the perception of acutely induced dyspnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 163(4):951-957.
7. von Leupoldt A, Dahme B. Cortical substrates for the perception of dyspnea. *Chest* 2005; 128(1):345-354.
8. Wang CS, FitzGerald JM, Schulzer M, Mak E, Ayas NT. Does this dyspneic patient in the emergency department have congestive heart failure? *JAMA* 2005; 294(15):1944-1956.
9. Feldman T. Rheumatic mitral stenosis. On the rise again. *Postgrad Med* 1993; 93(6):93-94, 99-104.
10. Anwaruddin S, Lloyd-Jones DM, Baggish A, et al. Renal function, congestive heart failure, and amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide measurement: results from the ProBNP Investigation of Dyspnea in the Emergency Department (PRIDE) Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 47(1):91-97.
11. Bentancur AG, Rieck J, Koldanov R, Dankner RS. Acute pulmonary edema in the emergency department: clinical and echocardiographic survey in an aged population. *Am J Med Sci* 2002; 323(5):238-243.
12. Blaivas M. Incidence of pericardial effusion in patients presenting to the emergency department with unexplained dyspnea. *Acad Emerg Med* 2001; 8(12):1143-1146.

13. Chakko S, Gheorghide M. Estimating severity of chronic heart failure: a clinical challenge for the 1990s. *Am Heart J* 1992; 124(1):260-264.
14. Chakko S, Woska D, Martinez H, et al. Clinical, radiographic, and hemodynamic correlations in chronic congestive heart failure: conflicting results may lead to inappropriate care. *Am J Med* 1991; 90(3):353-359.
15. Chen AA, Wood MJ, Krauser DG, et al. NT-proBNP levels, echocardiographic findings, and outcomes in breathless patients: results from the ProBNP Investigation of Dyspnoea in the Emergency Department (PRIDE) echocardiographic substudy. *Eur Heart J* 2006; 27(7):839-845.
16. Ambrosino N, Serradori M. Determining the cause of dyspnoea: linguistic and biological descriptors. *Chron Respir Dis* 2006; 3(3):117-122.
17. Ingle L, Cleland JG, Clark AL. Perception of symptoms is out of proportion to cardiac pathology in patients with "diastolic heart failure". *Heart* 2008; 94(6):748-753.
18. Tan YT, Wenzelburger F, Lee E, et al. The pathophysiology of heart failure with normal ejection fraction: exercise echocardiography reveals complex abnormalities of both systolic and diastolic ventricular function involving torsion, untwist, and longitudinal motion. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009; 54(1):36-46.
19. Bourassa MG, Gurne O, Bangdiwala SI, et al. Natural history and patterns of current practice in heart failure. The Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) Investigators. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993; 22(4 Suppl A):14A-19A.
20. Hess OM, Villari B, Krayenbuehl HP. Diastolic dysfunction in aortic stenosis. *Circulation* 1993; 87(5 Suppl):IV73-76.
21. Ho KK, Pinsky JL, Kannel WB, Levy D. The epidemiology of heart failure: the Framingham Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993; 22(4 Suppl A):6A-13A.
22. Kasper EK, Agema WR, Hutchins GM, Deckers JW, Hare JM, Baughman KL. The causes of dilated cardiomyopathy: a clinicopathologic review of 673 consecutive patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994; 23(3):586-590.
23. Little WC, Applegate RJ. Congestive heart failure: systolic and diastolic function. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 1993; 7(4 Suppl 2):2-5.
24. Pierard LA, Lancellotti P. The role of ischemic mitral regurgitation in the pathogenesis of acute pulmonary edema. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351(16):1627-1634.
25. Witte KK, Nikitin NP, Cleland JG, Clark AL. Excessive breathlessness in patients with diastolic heart failure. *Heart* 2006; 92(10):1425-1429.
26. Duane PG, Colice GL. Impact of noninvasive studies to distinguish volume overload from ARDS in acutely ill patients with pulmonary edema: analysis of the medical literature from 1966 to 1998. *Chest* 2000; 118(6):1709-1717.
27. Edwards BS, Rodeheffer RJ. Prognostic features in patients with congestive heart failure and selection criteria for cardiac transplantation. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1992; 67(5):485-492.
28. Iriarte M, Murga N, Sagastagoitia D, et al. Congestive heart failure from left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in systemic hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 1993; 71(4):308-312.
29. Silvestri GA, Mahler DA. Evaluation of dyspnea in the elderly patient. *Clin Chest Med* 1993; 14(3):393-404.
30. Butcher BL, Nichol KL, Parenti CM. High yield of chest radiography in walk-in clinic patients with chest symptoms. *J Gen Intern Med* 1993; 8(3):115-119.
31. Herman PG, Khan A, Kallman CE, Rojas KA, Carmody DP, Bodenheimer MM. Limited correlation of left ventricular end-diastolic pressure with radiographic assessment of pulmonary hemodynamics. *Radiology* 1990; 174(3 Pt 1):721-724.
32. Woodring JH. Pulmonary artery-bronchus ratios in patients with normal lungs, pulmonary vascular plethora, and congestive heart failure. *Radiology* 1991; 179(1):115-122.
33. Milne EN, Pistolesi M, Miniati M, Giuntini C. The radiologic distinction of cardiogenic and noncardiogenic edema. *AJR* 1985; 144(5):879-894.
34. Kudenchuk PJ, Hosenpud JD, McDonald RW, Solin RC. Immediate echocardiography in the management of acute respiratory exacerbations of cardiopulmonary disease. A prospective study. *Chest* 1990; 97(4):782-787.
35. Wong M, Johnson G, Shabetai R, et al. Echocardiographic variables as prognostic indicators and therapeutic monitors in chronic congestive heart failure. Veterans Affairs cooperative studies V-HeFT I and II. V-HeFT VA Cooperative Studies Group. *Circulation* 1993; 87(6 Suppl):VI65-70.
36. Cohn JN, Johnson G. Heart failure with normal ejection fraction. The V-HeFT Study. Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group. *Circulation* 1990; 81(2 Suppl):III48-53.
37. Imazio M, Trincheri R. Myopericarditis: Etiology, management, and prognosis. *Int J Cardiol* 2008; 127(1):17-26.
38. Galderisi M. Diastolic dysfunction and diastolic heart failure: diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic aspects. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2005; 3:9.
39. Bergeron S, Ommen SR, Bailey KR, Oh JK, McCully RB, Pellikka PA. Exercise echocardiographic findings and outcome of patients referred for evaluation of dyspnea. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004; 43(12):2242-2246.
40. Fleischmann KE, Hunink MG, Kuntz KM, Douglas PS. Exercise echocardiography or exercise SPECT imaging? A meta-analysis of diagnostic test performance. *JAMA* 1998; 280(10):913-920.
41. Picano E, Molinaro S, Pasanisi E. The diagnostic accuracy of pharmacological stress echocardiography for the assessment of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2008; 6:30.
42. Plana JC, Mikati IA, Dokainish H, et al. A randomized cross-over study for evaluation of the effect of image optimization with contrast on the diagnostic accuracy of dobutamine echocardiography in coronary artery disease The OPTIMIZE Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008; 1(2):145-152.
43. Taylor AJ, Bergin JD. Noninvasive assessment of systolic and diastolic function. Important clues to differentiating types of congestive heart failure. *Postgrad Med* 1993; 94(3):55-58, 63-57, 70.
44. Marini C, Miniati M, Ambrosino N, et al. Dyspnoea and hypoxaemia after lung surgery: the role of interatrial right-to-left shunt. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 28(1):174-181.
45. Kahn JK, Sills MN, Corbett JR, Willerson JT. What is the current role of nuclear cardiology in clinical medicine? *Chest* 1990; 97(2):442-446.
46. Shah PM, Pai RG. Diastolic heart failure. *Curr Probl Cardiol* 1992; 17(12):781-868.
47. Zaret BL, Wackers FJ. Nuclear cardiology (2). *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329(12):855-863.
48. Baghdasarian SB, Noble GL, Ahlberg AW, Katten D, Heller GV. Risk stratification with attenuation corrected stress Tc-99m sestamibi SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging in the absence of ECG-gating due to arrhythmias. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2009; 16(4):533-539.
49. Bax JJ, Poldermans D, Elhendy A, Boersma E, Rahimtoola SH. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive accuracies of various noninvasive techniques for detecting hibernating myocardium. *Curr Probl Cardiol* 2001; 26(2):147-186.
50. Meine TJ, Hanson MW, Borges-Neto S. The additive value of combined assessment of myocardial perfusion and ventricular function studies. *J Nucl Med* 2004; 45(10):1721-1724.
51. Soman P, Taillefer R, DePuey EG, Udelson JE, Lahiri A. Enhanced detection of reversible perfusion defects by Tc-99m sestamibi compared to Tc-99m tetrofosmin during vasodilator stress SPECT imaging in mild-to-moderate coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001; 37(2):458-462.
52. Ali I, Ruddy TD, Almgrihi A, Anstett FG, Wells RG. Half-time SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging with attenuation correction. *J Nucl Med* 2009; 50(4):554-562.
53. Sharir T, Ben-Haim S, Merzon K, Prochorov V, Dickman D, Berman DS. High-speed myocardial perfusion imaging initial clinical comparison with conventional dual detector angler camera imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008; 1(2):156-163.
54. ACCF/ACR/SCCT/SCMR/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/SIR 2006 appropriateness criteria for cardiac computed tomography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Quality Strategic Directions Committee Appropriateness Criteria Working Group. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2006; 3(10):751-771.
55. Dewey M, Teige F, Schnapauff D, et al. Noninvasive detection of coronary artery stenoses with multislice computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; 145(6):407-415.

56. Dodd JD, Kalva S, Pena A, et al. Emergency cardiac CT for suspected acute coronary syndrome: qualitative and quantitative assessment of coronary, pulmonary, and aortic image quality. *AJR* 2008; 191(3):870-877.
57. Min JK, Shaw LJ, Devereux RB, et al. Prognostic value of multidetector coronary computed tomographic angiography for prediction of all-cause mortality. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 50(12):1161-1170.
58. Mowatt G, Cummins E, Waugh N, et al. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of coronary artery disease. *Health Technol Assess* 2008; 12(17):iii-iv, ix-143.
59. Boussel L, Gamondes D, Staat P, Elicker BM, Revel D, Douek P. Acute chest pain with normal coronary angiogram: role of contrast-enhanced multidetector computed tomography in the differential diagnosis between myocarditis and myocardial infarction. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2008; 32(2):228-232.
60. Dambin G, Laissy JP, Serfaty JM, Caussin C, Lancelin B, Paul JF. Diagnostic value of ECG-gated multidetector computed tomography in the early phase of suspected acute myocarditis. A preliminary comparative study with cardiac MRI. *Eur Radiol* 2007; 17(2):331-338.
61. Ghostine S, Caussin C, Habis M, et al. Non-invasive diagnosis of ischaemic heart failure using 64-slice computed tomography. *Eur Heart J* 2008.
62. Kantor B, Anavekar NS, Gerber TC. Good news on coronary computed tomographic angiography: answers that have questions! *Eur Heart J* 2008.
63. Abbara S, Chow BJ, Pena AJ, et al. Assessment of left ventricular function with 16- and 64-slice multi-detector computed tomography. *Eur J Radiol* 2008; 67(3):481-486.
64. Lin FY, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, et al. Cardiac chamber volumes, function, and mass as determined by 64-multidetector row computed tomography: mean values among healthy adults free of hypertension and obesity. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008; 1(6):782-786.
65. Pflederer T, Ho KT, Anger T, et al. Assessment of regional left ventricular function by dual source computed tomography: interobserver variability and validation to laevocardiography. *Eur J Radiol* 2009; 72(1):85-91.
66. Puesken M, Fischbach R, Wenker M, et al. Global left-ventricular function assessment using dual-source multidetector CT: effect of improved temporal resolution on ventricular volume measurement. *Eur Radiol* 2008; 18(10):2087-2094.
67. Raman SV, Shah M, McCarthy B, Garcia A, Ferketich AK. Multi-detector row cardiac computed tomography accurately quantifies right and left ventricular size and function compared with cardiac magnetic resonance. *Am Heart J* 2006; 151(3):736-744.
68. Gerber TC, Kantor B, McCollough CH. Radiation dose and safety in cardiac computed tomography. *Cardiol Clin* 2009; 27(4):665-677.
69. Earls JP, Berman EL, Urban BA, et al. Prospectively gated transverse coronary CT angiography versus retrospectively gated helical technique: improved image quality and reduced radiation dose. *Radiology* 2008; 246(3):742-753.
70. Husmann L, Valenta I, Gaemperli O, et al. Feasibility of low-dose coronary CT angiography: first experience with prospective ECG-gating. *Eur Heart J* 2008; 29(2):191-197.
71. Stolzmann P, Leschka S, Scheffel H, et al. Dual-source CT in step-and-shoot mode: noninvasive coronary angiography with low radiation dose. *Radiology* 2008; 249(1):71-80.
72. Heilbron BG, Leipsic J. Submillisievert coronary computed tomography angiography using adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction - a new reality. *Can J Cardiol* 2010; 26(1):35-36.
73. Achenbach S, Marwan M, Ropers D, et al. Coronary computed tomography angiography with a consistent dose below 1 mSv using prospectively electrocardiogram-triggered high-pitch spiral acquisition. *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31(3):340-346.
74. Assomull RG, Lyne JC, Keenan N, et al. The role of cardiovascular magnetic resonance in patients presenting with chest pain, raised troponin, and unobstructed coronary arteries. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28(10):1242-1249.
75. Cury RC, Shash K, Nagurney JT, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance with T2-weighted imaging improves detection of patients with acute coronary syndrome in the emergency department. *Circulation* 2008; 118(8):837-844.
76. Doherty NE, 3rd, Seelos KC, Suzuki J, et al. Application of cine nuclear magnetic resonance imaging for sequential evaluation of response to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor therapy in dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992; 19(6):1294-1302.
77. Fischbach R, Juergens KU, Ozgun M, et al. Assessment of regional left ventricular function with multidetector-row computed tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Radiol* 2007; 17(4):1009-1017.
78. Pedersen F, Raymond I, Mehlsen J, Atar D, Hildebrandt PR. Prevalence of diastolic dysfunction as a possible cause of dyspnea in the elderly. *Am J Med* 2005; 118(1):25-31.
79. Rieber J, Huber A, Erhard I, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance perfusion imaging for the functional assessment of coronary artery disease: a comparison with coronary angiography and fractional flow reserve. *Eur Heart J* 2006; 27(12):1465-1471.
80. Shapiro MD, Guarraia DL, Moloo J, Cury RC. Evaluation of acute coronary syndromes by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2008; 19(1):25-32.
81. Shapiro MD, Nieman K, Nasir K, et al. Utility of cardiovascular magnetic resonance to predict left ventricular recovery after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for patients presenting with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 100(2):211-216.
82. Abdel-Aty H, Simonetti O, Friedrich MG. T2-weighted cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2007; 26(3):452-459.
83. Alfayoumi F, Gradman A, Traub D, Biedermann R. Evolving clinical application of cardiac MRI. *Rev Cardiovasc Med* 2007; 8(3):135-144.
84. Andreini D, Pontone G, Pepi M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of multidetector computed tomography coronary angiography in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49(20):2044-2050.
85. Calore C, Cacciavillani L, Boffa GM, et al. Contrast-enhanced cardiovascular magnetic resonance in primary and ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2007; 8(10):821-829.
86. Camastra GS, Cacciotti L, Marconi F, Sbarbati S, Pironi B, Ansalone G. Late enhancement detected by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in acute myocarditis mimicking acute myocardial infarction: location patterns and lack of correlation with systolic function. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2007; 8(12):1029-1033.
87. Codreanu A, Djaballah W, Angioi M, et al. Detection of myocarditis by contrast-enhanced MRI in patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome but no coronary stenosis. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2007; 25(5):957-964.
88. Deetjen AG, Conradi G, Mollmann S, Rad A, Hamm CW, Dill T. Value of gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with Tako-Tsubo-like left ventricular dysfunction. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2006; 8(2):367-372.
89. Dodd JD, Holmvang G, Hoffmann U, et al. Quantification of left ventricular noncompaction and trabecular delayed hyperenhancement with cardiac MRI: correlation with clinical severity. *AJR* 2007; 189(4):974-980.
90. Doesch C, Seeger A, Hoelborn T, et al. Adenosine stress cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of ischemic heart disease. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2008.
91. Elgeti T, Abdel-Aty H, Wagner M, et al. Assessment of late gadolinium enhancement in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: comparison of a fast Phase-Sensitive Inversion Recovery Sequence (PSIR) and a conventional segmented 2D gradient echo recall (GRE) sequence--preliminary findings. *Invest Radiol* 2007; 42(10):671-675.
92. Fazio G, Visconti C, D'Angelo L, Novo G, Novo S. Delayed MRI hyperenhancement in noncompaction: sign of fibrosis correlated with clinical severity. *AJR* 2008; 190(4):W273; author reply W274.
93. Gutberlet M, Spors B, Thoma T, et al. Suspected chronic myocarditis at cardiac MR: diagnostic accuracy and association with immunohistologically detected inflammation and viral persistence. *Radiology* 2008; 246(2):401-409.

94. Ichinose A, Otani H, Oikawa M, et al. MRI of cardiac sarcoidosis: basal and subepicardial localization of myocardial lesions and their effect on left ventricular function. *AJR* 2008; 191(3):862-869.
95. Mitchell JH, Hadden TB, Wilson JM, Achari A, Muthupillai R, Flamm SD. Clinical features and usefulness of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in assessing myocardial viability and prognosis in Takotsubo cardiomyopathy (transient left ventricular apical ballooning syndrome). *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 100(2):296-301.
96. Mor-Avi V, Koch R, Holper EM, et al. Value of vasodilator stress myocardial contrast echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging for the differential diagnosis of ischemic versus nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2008; 21(5):425-432.
97. Narang R, Chopra P, Wasir HS. Cardiac amyloidosis presenting as ischemic heart disease. A case report and review of literature. *Cardiology* 1993; 82(4):294-300.
98. Nieman K, Shapiro MD, Ferencik M, et al. Reperfused myocardial infarction: contrast-enhanced 64-Section CT in comparison to MR imaging. *Radiology* 2008; 247(1):49-56.
99. Ohira H, Tsujino I, Ishimaru S, et al. Myocardial imaging with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in sarcoidosis. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2008; 35(5):933-941.
100. Skouri HN, Dec GW, Friedrich MG, Cooper LT. Noninvasive imaging in myocarditis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 48(10):2085-2093.
101. Tzelepis GE, Kelekis NL, Plastiras SC, et al. Pattern and distribution of myocardial fibrosis in systemic sclerosis: a delayed enhanced magnetic resonance imaging study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007; 56(11):3827-3836.
102. vanden Driesen RI, Slaughter RE, Strugnell WE. MR findings in cardiac amyloidosis. *AJR* 2006; 186(6):1682-1685.
103. Vogelsberg H, Mahrholdt H, Deluigi CC, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in clinically suspected cardiac amyloidosis: noninvasive imaging compared to endomyocardial biopsy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 51(10):1022-1030.
104. Wu KC, Weiss RG, Thiemann DR, et al. Late gadolinium enhancement by cardiovascular magnetic resonance heralds an adverse prognosis in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 51(25):2414-2421.
105. Yokota H, Heidary S, Katikireddy CK, et al. Quantitative characterization of myocardial infarction by cardiovascular magnetic resonance predicts future cardiovascular events in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2008; 10(1):17.
106. Zagrosek A, Wassmuth R, Abdel-Aty H, Rudolph A, Dietz R, Schulz-Menger J. Relation between myocardial edema and myocardial mass during the acute and convalescent phase of myocarditis--a CMR study. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2008; 10(1):19.
107. Masci PG, Dymarkowski S, Bogaert J. The role of cardiovascular magnetic resonance in the diagnosis and management of cardiomyopathies. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2008; 9(5):435-449.
108. Murali S. Invasive testing in congestive heart failure. When is it useful? *Postgrad Med* 1993; 94(3):75-80, 83.
109. American College of Radiology. *Manual on Contrast Media*. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/contrast_manual.aspx.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.