

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition: Chronic Chest Pain — High Probability of Coronary Artery Disease

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	<u>RRL*</u>
SPECT MPI rest and stress	9	Strongest evidence. Fundamental test for reversible and/or irreversible ischemic disease. Can segregate out those who need next study (ie, coronary artery angiography). Fused SPECT/CCTA examinations can accurately measure plaque burden and identify the hemodynamic functional significance of coronary stenoses.	⊕⊕⊕⊕
Arteriography coronary	8	Consider if high probability of CAD, intervention is contemplated, and/or noninvasive studies are equivocal. In setting of high probability, provides opportunity to intervene.	⊕⊕⊕
Rb-82 PET heart stress	8	PET perfusion imaging has advantages over SPECT, including higher spatial and temporal resolution. Routine performance of both PET and SPECT not necessary. Fused PET/CCTA examinations can accurately measure plaque burden and identify the hemodynamic functional significance of coronary stenoses.	⊕⊕⊕
US echocardiography transthoracic stress	8	Similar sensitivity to stress SPECT MPI but has advantage of no radiation. Some limitations due to LV anatomy, acoustic window, body habitus, and experience of the physician.	O
CTA coronary arteries with contrast	7	Very good accuracy and negative predictive value in low to intermediate risk groups. However, may have false negatives in high-risk group, and negative studies may still require further diagnostic testing. Coronary calcification often found in older high-risk patients (especially males) can limit coronary luminal assessment.	⊕⊕⊕⊕
MRI heart with stress without and with contrast	7	Accuracy equivalent or superior to stress SPECT MPI. Diagnoses hemodynamically significant CAD in patients with intermediate to high likelihood of having significant stenosis. Higher diagnostic accuracy than stress echocardiography. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	O
MRI heart with stress without contrast	6		O
MRI heart function and morphology without and with contrast	5	Versatile, used to evaluate anatomy, function, valvular disease, cardiomyopathies, and myocardial viability. Subendocardial scar with or without wall motion abnormalities supports diagnosis of CAD. Used prior to revascularization to evaluate viability. See	O

		statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	
MRI heart function and morphology without contrast	4		O
US echocardiography transthoracic resting	4	Incomplete examination for high CAD risk, unless with stress, even if other etiologies may be present. May evaluate aortic and pericardial disease, valve and chamber abnormalities.	O
X-ray chest	3	Usual initial imaging study in cardiac patients. Although used frequently, chest radiographs can neither establish nor exclude chronic ischemic heart disease. Insensitive for detecting coronary arterial calcification [1]. Limited value in patients with high risk of CAD.	⊗
CT coronary calcium	3	Little value for defining cause of chronic chest pain in a particular patient. Cannot exclude significant disease even if negative; high scores suggest significant chronic coronary atherosclerotic plaque load but cannot identify the vessels implicated.	⊗⊗⊗
CT chest with contrast	3	Excludes many noncardiac causes of chest pain. May diagnose source of pain such as pulmonary embolism, dissection, unstable LV aneurysms, etc.	⊗⊗⊗
MRA coronary arteries without contrast	3	Useful in some cases but too many nonassessable segments given current technology. Less sensitive for disease beyond the proximal main branches.	O
MRA coronary arteries without and with contrast	3	Useful in some cases but too many nonassessable segments given current technology. Less sensitive for disease beyond the proximal main branches.	O
CT chest without contrast	2		⊗⊗⊗
CT chest without and with contrast	2		⊗⊗⊗
Radionuclide ventriculography	2	Rarely still performed, largely unavailable, with limited expertise. Stress ventriculography can be combined with SPECT MPI.	⊗⊗⊗
US abdomen	1	Little value in this setting. Occasionally used when atypical chest pain raises suspicion of biliary disease.	O
CTA coronary arteries with contrast with advanced low dose techniques	No consensus	While there is growing evidence in support of use of these low-radiation dose CCTA techniques rather than traditional CCTA techniques, evidence of their applicability to patients with chronic chest pain and high probability of CAD is not yet adequate.	⊗⊗⊗
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

CHRONIC CHEST PAIN — HIGH PROBABILITY OF CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE

Expert Panel on Cardiac Imaging: James P. Earls, MD¹; Richard D. White, MD²; Pamela K. Woodard, MD³; Suhny Abbara, MD⁴; Michael K. Atalay, MD, PhD⁵; J. Jeffrey Carr MD, MSCE⁶; Linda B. Haramati, MD, MS⁷; Robert C. Hendel, MD⁸; Vincent B. Ho, MD, MBA^{9*}; Udo Hoffman, MD¹⁰; Arfa R. Khan, MD¹¹; Leena Mammen, MD¹²; Edward T. Martin, III, MD¹³; Anna Rozenshtein, MD¹⁴; Thomas Ryan, MD¹⁵; Joseph Schoepf MD¹⁶; Robert M. Steiner, MD¹⁷; Charles S. White, MD.¹⁸

Summary of Literature Review

Chronic chest pain of suspected cardiac origin is usually a consequence of myocardial ischemia, representing an imbalance between myocardial oxygen demand and coronary blood flow. This is usually caused by fixed, hemodynamically significant coronary stenosis due to atherosclerotic plaque formation leading to reduced myocardial perfusion, which can also be caused by coronary spasm, microvascular disease, or a combination of both. In the setting of high probability of coronary artery disease (CAD), flow-limiting epicardial coronary artery narrowing is likely. However, chest pain of myocardial ischemic origin can also occur in patients with relatively normal coronary arterial caliber but with conditions resulting in increased demand for oxygenation (eg, increased myocardial mass and workload due to systemic arterial hypertension or aortic stenosis). While the syndrome of exertional angina pectoris is nearly always diagnostic of chronic CAD, nonischemic cardiac (eg, myocarditis, pericarditis) and extracardiac (eg,

esophageal reflux/spasm) etiologies should also be considered in the setting of nonexertional or atypical chest pain.

In patients with chronic chest pain in the setting of high probability of CAD, imaging has major and diverse roles. First, imaging is valuable in determining and documenting the presence, extent, and severity of myocardial ischemia, hibernation, and/or scarring, on the one hand or the presence, site, and severity of obstructive coronary lesions, on the other. Second, imaging findings are important in determining the course of management of patients with suspected chronic myocardial ischemia as well as for defining those patients best-suited for medical therapy, angioplasty/stenting, or surgery. Third, imaging is also necessary to evaluate ventricular function and end-systolic volume, both of which are important in predicting the long-term prognosis and likely benefit from various therapeutic options. Imaging studies are also required to demonstrate abnormalities (eg, congenital or acquired coronary anomalies, severe left ventricular hypertrophy) that can produce angina in the absence of coronary obstructive disease due to atherosclerosis.

The imaging modalities historically used in evaluating suspected chronic myocardial ischemia are 1) chest radiography; 2) stress and rest radionuclide single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI); 3) radionuclide ventriculography (RVG) with and without stress; 4) catheter-based selective coronary angiography with or without left ventriculography. Stress echocardiography, positron emission tomography (PET), cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and multidetector cardiac CT, have all been more recently shown to be valuable in the evaluation of ischemic heart disease. In those patients who do not present with signs classic for angina pectoris, or in those patients who do not respond as expected to standard management, the exclusion of noncardiac causes of chronic chest pain requires the use of additional studies (eg, esophagography, upper gastrointestinal series, and biliary ultrasound [US]).

Chest Radiography

The chest radiograph is an inexpensive test that can rapidly demonstrate many noncardiac causes of chronic chest pain, including a variety of diseases of the mediastinum, pleura, or lung. It may also provide qualitative indirect information about left ventricular function as reflected in cardiac size and pulmonary vascular patterns (eg, pulmonary venous hypertension) [1]. However, chest radiographs can neither establish nor exclude chronic ischemic heart disease. In addition, it (including fluoroscopy) is insensitive for the detecting coronary arterial calcification [2]. Chest radiography, therefore, is of limited value in symptomatic patients with high risk of CAD.

¹Principal Author, Fairfax Radiological Consultants, Fairfax, Virginia.

²Panel Chair, University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Florida.

³Panel Vice-chair, Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, Missouri.

⁴Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

⁵The Warren Alpert School of Medicine at Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island.

⁶Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston Salem, North Carolina.

⁷Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York.

⁸University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, American College of Cardiology.

⁹Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland.

¹⁰Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

¹¹Long Island Jewish Medical Center, New Hyde Park, New York.

¹²Advanced Radiology Services, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

¹³Oklahoma Heart Institute, Tulsa, Oklahoma, American College of Cardiology.

¹⁴Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, New York.

¹⁵The Ohio State University Heart and Vascular Center, Columbus, Ohio, American College of Cardiology.

¹⁶Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina.

¹⁷Temple University Health System, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

¹⁸University of Maryland Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

*The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or reflecting the views of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences or the Department of Defense.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

Imaging of Myocardium

Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography

Stress SPECT MPI demonstrates relative myocardial perfusion defects, indicating the presence of myocardial ischemia. For this reason, it is considered an important first-line study in the evaluation of patients with chronic chest pain and high likelihood of CAD. By acquiring rest and stress perfusion scans, it is possible to demonstrate reversibility (ischemia) or irreversibility (infarction) of a myocardial perfusion defect. The territory of the perfusion defect identifies the likely culprit coronary artery and can distinguish between significant single-vessel and multivessel coronary arterial obstructions [3-12]. The magnitude of the abnormality and the presence of high-risk findings also assist in clinical decision-making [13-14].

Presently, SPECT perfusion agents labeled with technetium 99^m (Tc-99m), such as Tc-99m-sestamibi or Tc-99m-tetrofosmin, are used most commonly due to improved image resolution, higher count density, and more favorable dosimetry. The sensitivity and specificity for Tc-99m-agent SPECT in detecting CAD are equal to and usually superior to those of thallium-201 (²⁰¹Tl) [15]. With the use of ECG gating, and with improved imaging protocols and image quality, the diagnostic accuracy of stress SPECT MPI for detecting angiographically significant CAD is high (sensitivity 87%-89% and specificity 73%-75%) [16]. More importantly, a normal stress SPECT MPI examination in patients with intermediate to high likelihood of CAD predicts a very low rate of cardiac death or nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) ($\leq 1\%$ /year) [17]. Furthermore, SPECT MPI may be used for risk stratification in scenarios such as follow-up after percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery by-pass graft (CABG), or evaluation prior to noncardiac surgery. Limitations of stress SPECT MPI are its relatively high cost and relatively high radiation dose.

Recently new software algorithms such as iterative reconstruction, maximum a posteriori noise regularization and resolution-recovery, and new hardware and detector materials have become available, allowing for image acquisitions at significantly shorter acquisition times (one fifth to one half), or alternatively at lower doses compared to conventional algorithms [18-19].

Stress RVG consists of measurement of the ejection fraction and assessment of regional wall motion at rest and during stress. This technique can be used to identify patients with “balanced” 3-vessel disease, which can be missed on perfusion studies, as well as for differentiating attenuation artifacts from MI [9]. Stress ejection fraction has also been shown to be an independent predictor of the risk for cardiac death [20-21]. However, RVG is rarely used as it has largely been replaced by SPECT MPI; hence availability of and expertise with this method are very limited. In patients with typical angina (high pretest likelihood of disease), stress SPECT MPI is useful for estimating the extent (single-vessel vs multivessel disease) and severity of coronary stenosis, which has

relevance for prognosis, choice among therapeutic options, and advisability of performing coronary arteriography.

Positron Emission Tomography

Myocardial PET imaging using rubidium-82 (⁸²Rb) or nitrogen-13 (¹³N) ammonium for assessing perfusion, or fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) for evaluating metabolism are now recognized as useful methods for the evaluation of ischemic heart disease. PET perfusion imaging has several advantages over SPECT, including higher spatial and temporal resolution, superior attenuation and scatter correction, and the capability to perform quantitative measurements. In a meta-analysis of eight studies with 791 patients evaluated for CAD by PET perfusion imaging, a overall sensitivity and specificity were determined to be 93% and 92%, respectively [22]. In the same article, three studies comparing ²⁰¹Tl SPECT with ⁸²Rb or ¹³N ammonium PET were analyzed, and the overall accuracy of PET was 91%, compared to 81% for ²⁰¹Tl SPECT. Gated PET also provides assessment of left ventricular (LV) function and overall provides important diagnostic and prognostic data [23].

Newer hybrid PET scanners use CT for attenuation correction (PET/CT). Following completion of the PET study, a coronary CT angiogram (CCTA) can be performed. By coupling the PET perfusion examination findings to a CCTA, PET/CT permits the fusion of anatomic coronary arterial and functional (perfusion) myocardial information and enhances diagnostic accuracy [24]. The fused examinations can accurately measure the atherosclerotic burden and identify the hemodynamic functional significance of coronary stenoses [25-26]. The results of the combined examinations can more accurately identify patients for revascularization [26]. In a study of 110 consecutive patients with combined stress ⁸²Rb PET perfusion imaging and CCTA, nearly half of significant angiographic stenoses (47%) occurred without evidence of ischemia, whereas 50% of normal PET studies were associated with some CCTA abnormality [25].

Echocardiography

Stress 2-dimensional (2-D) echocardiography for contractility assessment is increasingly used for patients with suspected regional wall motion abnormalities secondary to regional ischemia, in part because of the ubiquity of 2-D echocardiography. When exercise is not feasible, pharmacologic stress echocardiography may be performed. A recent meta-analysis of 44 studies indicated that stress echocardiography has a similar sensitivity to stress SPECT MPI (85% and 87%, respectively), with a higher specificity (77% vs 64%) [27].

Administration of echocardiography contrast agent (ie, microbubbles) improves endocardial visualization at rest and more so during stress, leading to a higher confidence of interpretation and greater accuracy in evaluating CAD [28]. According to a recent meta-analysis of 435 patients, dipyridamole- and dobutamine-stress-contrast echocardiography have similar accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity for detecting CAD [29]. This technique is

limited by the fact that it sometimes yields nondiagnostic results and that suboptimal definition of some regions of the left ventricle can lead to subjective interpretation.

Resting transthoracic echocardiography can be useful if pericardial effusion or valvular or chamber abnormalities are suspected. Transesophageal echocardiography is generally not indicated for evaluating chronic angina; the expense of this study does not justify its use in this setting. However, it is sometimes used for assessing aortic pathology (eg, dissection, aneurysm, and penetrating ulcer) in patients with chronic chest pain, although CT and MRI are less invasive and simpler to perform.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Use of MRI for evaluating general cardiac anatomy and function, and specific aspects of valvular disease, cardiomyopathies, myocardial viability, continues to evolve.

MRI myocardial perfusion techniques can be used to assess for significant CAD. The diagnostic accuracy of stress-perfusion MRI has been evaluated by many studies and has been found to be equivalent, and in many cases superior, to stress SPECT MPI [30-40]. A recent meta-analysis of 37 studies with 2,191 patients undergoing both exercise/dobutamine-stress MRI contractility evaluation and dipyridamole/adenosine MRI perfusion assessment found that imaging of stress-induced wall motion abnormalities had a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 86%; perfusion imaging demonstrated a sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 81% [41].

Clinically, stress-perfusion MRI has been used to diagnose hemodynamically significant CAD in patients with intermediate to high likelihood of having significant stenosis. Commonly the technique is used in patients with poor acoustic windows that would be likely to limit the utility of stress echocardiography [42], and it has been shown to have a higher diagnostic accuracy than dobutamine-stress echocardiography [42-43]. The addition of delayed-enhancement MRI using a gadolinium-agent is superior to stress-perfusion MRI alone for detecting CAD [44]. In patients with poor echocardiography examinations, dobutamine-stress MRI can be used to forecast MI or cardiac death [45].

However, MRI is not suitable for evaluating the individual chronic chest pain patient with high probability of CAD in the setting of implanted electronic devices (eg, permanent pacemaker, an implantable cardioverter defibrillator [AICD]). In addition, general reliance on MRI in assessing chronic chest pain is hindered by the limited availability of advanced facilities and experienced personnel.

Imaging of Coronary Arteries

Computed Tomography

Multidetector CT (MDCT) as well as electron-beam CT can detect the presence and severity of calcification, a sign of coronary atherosclerosis [46-53]. Coronary calcium score (CCS) is most commonly used for risk stratification in asymptomatic patients. The absence of

coronary calcification is useful evidence against myocardial ischemia [46]. In a large study of 10,377 subjects it has been shown that CCS provides independent incremental information in addition to traditional risk factors in the prediction of all-cause mortality [54]. On the other hand, patients who present with chronic chest pain of suspected cardiac origin are typically older, with a significant proportion older than age 60. Because coronary calcium is so prevalent in this population, a “positive” CCS, even in the upper quartiles, cannot be used as strong evidence of myocardial ischemia.

There is also increasing use of CCTA, specifically, contrast-enhanced ECG-gated MDCT, to evaluate for CAD. Studies using 64-slice CCTA have shown a high sensitivity and high negative predictive value (NPV) for treatable stenoses of the coronary arteries [55-57]. A recent meta-analysis to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice CCTA compared with conventional selective coronary angiography included 27 studies and 1,740 patients and found the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and NPV were 86%, 96%, 83%, and 96.5%, respectively by per-segment analysis, and 97.5%, 91%, 93%, and 96.5%, respectively by per-patient analysis [58]. The 64-slice CCTA ACCURACY trial found 95% sensitivity, 83% specificity, 64% PPV, and 99% NPV [59].

The pretest probability of CAD impacts the diagnostic performance of CCTA. The NPV of CCTA is significantly lower in patients with a high prevalence of CAD, and a negative CCTA only reduces the estimated post-test probability of having obstructive disease to approximately 17% [60-61]. Because of this high residual post-test probability despite a negative CCTA, many symptomatic high-probability patients are likely to still require invasive selective coronary angiography. CCTA, therefore, may be of limited clinical value in the evaluation of the high estimated pretest probability group. There is also continuing concern about the high radiation exposure with CCTA, which has led to new dose-limited protocols.

Recent advances in cardiac CT imaging technology allow for further reduction of the radiation dose from CCTA [62]; available new dose-reducing techniques include prospective triggering [63-65], adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction [66], and high-pitch spiral acquisition [67]. However, these newer low-dose techniques may not be the appropriate choice in all patients due to their dependency on a combination of factors, including heart rate, rhythm, and clinical indication. Thus, while these techniques are promising in terms of reducing patient radiation dose, their overall accuracy and utility as compared to standard CCTA techniques are not yet completely defined.

Magnetic Resonance Angiography

While MR angiography (MRA) of the pulmonary and systemic vessels has matured significantly in the past few years, MRA of the coronary arteries is still problematic due to their small size and incessant motion tied to the

respiratory and cardiac cycles. At this time, coronary MRA should be limited to sites with extensive experience and appropriate capabilities to exclude disease in the proximal coronary arteries. At present, only CCTA can noninvasively visualize coronary arteries on a routine basis; in direct comparison, coronary MRA had similar sensitivity but significantly lower specificity and accuracy as compared with CCTA [68].

Selective Coronary Angiography

Catheter-based selective coronary angiography remains the coronary imaging modality with the highest spatial and temporal resolution. Thus, even though only projection images are obtained (as opposed to 3D volumes in CCTA), selective coronary angiography is considered to be the gold standard for depicting the anatomy and the severity of obstructive CAD and some other coronary arterial abnormalities (eg, spasm) [69]. Moreover, it is needed to guide transluminal interventions.

There remains agreement that selective coronary angiography is indicated in patients in whom angina is not adequately managed by vigorous medical therapy and in those in whom left main stenosis or severe multivessel CAD is suggested by results of stress SPECT MPI or stress echocardiography.

Left ventricular catheterization and left ventriculography are generally indicated, but not always necessary, to define ventricular function in patients with angina. In many patients, left ventricular function can be evaluated better using noninvasive studies (eg, echocardiography, MRI).

Other diagnostic studies, such as hepatobiliary ultrasound, should only be considered after a cardiac etiology has been accurately excluded, using the imaging modalities and clinical evaluation described above.

Summary

- The approach defined here for evaluating the patient with chronic chest pain of probable cardiac origin is supported by a substantial body of literature.
- Stress SPECT MPI, stress PET, and stress echocardiography are used as front-line modalities to establish the diagnosis and assess the severity of myocardial ischemia.
- Based on the results of stress SPECT MPI, stress PET, or stress echocardiography and/or patient's clinical response to medical therapy, the next procedure is usually selective coronary angiography.
- Given the underlying high prevalence of CAD in this patient population, the substitution of newer examinations (eg, MRI and CCTA) is promising for diagnosis but awaits results of comparative studies and cost analysis. Their values may be seen in therapeutic planning (eg, myocardial "viability" assessment using MRI for evaluating myocardial perfusion, contraction, and scarring).

Anticipated Exceptions

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a scleroderma-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunction and the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely in patients with very limited glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (ie, <30 mL/min/1.73m²), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk, and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73m². For more information, please see the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [70].

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
O	0 mSv	0 mSv
☼	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
☼☼	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
☼☼☼	1-10 mSv	0.3- 3 mSv
☼☼☼☼	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
☼☼☼☼☼	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as NS (not specified).

Supporting Document(s)

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Procedure Information](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

- Margolis JR, Chen JT, Kong Y, Peter RH, Behar VS, Kisslo JA. The diagnostic and prognostic significance of coronary artery calcification. A report of 800 cases. *Radiology* 1980; 137(3):609-616.
- Milne EN, Pistolesi M, Miniati M, Giuntini C. The radiologic distinction of cardiogenic and noncardiogenic edema. *AJR* 1985; 144(5):879-894.
- Basu S, Senior R, Dore C, Lahiri A. Value of thallium-201 imaging in detecting adverse cardiac events after myocardial infarction and thrombolysis: a follow up of 100 consecutive patients. *BMJ* 1996; 313(7061):844-848.
- Bax JJ, Poldermans D, Elhendy A, Boersma E, Rahimtoola SH. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive accuracies of various noninvasive techniques for detecting hibernating myocardium. *Curr Probl Cardiol* 2001; 26(2):147-186.
- Beller GA. Diagnostic accuracy of thallium-201 myocardial perfusion imaging. *Circulation* 1991; 84(3 Suppl):11-6.
- Christian TF, Miller TD, Bailey KR, Gibbons RJ. Noninvasive identification of severe coronary artery disease using exercise tomographic thallium-201 imaging. *Am J Cardiol* 1992; 70(1):14-20.
- Gibbons RJ. Rest and exercise radionuclide angiography for diagnosis in chronic ischemic heart disease. *Circulation* 1991; 84(3 Suppl):193-99.
- Giri S, Shaw LJ, Murthy DR, et al. Impact of diabetes on the risk stratification using stress single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging in patients with symptoms suggestive of coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2002; 105(1):32-40.
- Meine TJ, Hanson MW, Borges-Neto S. The additive value of combined assessment of myocardial perfusion and ventricular function studies. *J Nucl Med* 2004; 45(10):1721-1724.
- Soman P, Taillefer R, DePuey EG, Udelson JE, Lahiri A. Enhanced detection of reversible perfusion defects by Tc-99m sestamibi compared to Tc-99m tetrofosmin during vasodilator stress SPECT imaging in mild-to-moderate coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001; 37(2):458-462.
- Taillefer R, DePuey EG, Udelson JE, Beller GA, Latour Y, Reeves F. Comparative diagnostic accuracy of Tl-201 and Tc-99m sestamibi SPECT imaging (perfusion and ECG-gated SPECT) in

- detecting coronary artery disease in women. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997; 29(1):69-77.
- Vanzetto G, Ormezzano O, Fagret D, Comet M, Denis B, Machecourt J. Long-term additive prognostic value of thallium-201 myocardial perfusion imaging over clinical and exercise stress test in low to intermediate risk patients : study in 1137 patients with 6-year follow-up. *Circulation* 1999; 100(14):1521-1527.
- Baghdasarian SB, Noble GL, Ahlberg AW, Katten D, Heller GV. Risk stratification with attenuation corrected stress Tc-99m sestamibi SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging in the absence of ECG-gating due to arrhythmias. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2009; 16(4):533-539.
- Emmett L, Iwanochko RM, Freeman MR, Barolet A, Lee DS, Husain M. Reversible regional wall motion abnormalities on exercise technetium-99m-gated cardiac single photon emission computed tomography predict high-grade angiographic stenoses. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002; 39(6):991-998.
- Maddahi J, Kiat H, Van Train KF, et al. Myocardial perfusion imaging with technetium-99m sestamibi SPECT in the evaluation of coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1990; 66(13):55E-62E.
- Saraste A, Nekolla S, Schwaiger M. Nuclear cardiology needs new "blood". *J Nucl Cardiol* 2009; 16(2):180-183.
- Hachamovitch R, Rozanski A, Hayes SW, et al. Predicting therapeutic benefit from myocardial revascularization procedures: are measurements of both resting left ventricular ejection fraction and stress-induced myocardial ischemia necessary? *J Nucl Cardiol* 2006; 13(6):768-778.
- Ali I, Ruddy TD, Almgrahi A, Anstett FG, Wells RG. Half-time SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging with attenuation correction. *J Nucl Med* 2009; 50(4):554-562.
- Sharir T, Ben-Haim S, Merzon K, Prochorov V, Dickman D, Berman DS. High-speed myocardial perfusion imaging initial clinical comparison with conventional dual detector anger camera imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008; 1(2):156-163.
- Borges-Neto S, Shaw LJ, Kesler KL, et al. Prediction of severe coronary artery disease by combined rest and exercise radionuclide angiography and tomographic perfusion imaging with technetium 99m-labeled sestamibi: a comparison with clinical and electrocardiographic data. *J Nucl Cardiol* 1997; 4(3):189-194.
- Palmas W, Friedman JD, Diamond GA, Silber H, Kiat H, Berman DS. Incremental value of simultaneous assessment of myocardial function and perfusion with technetium-99m sestamibi for prediction of extent of coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995; 25(5):1024-1031.
- Machac J. Cardiac positron emission tomography imaging. *Semin Nucl Med* 2005; 35(1):17-36.
- Lertsburapa K, Ahlberg AW, Bateman TM, et al. Independent and incremental prognostic value of left ventricular ejection fraction determined by stress gated rubidium 82 PET imaging in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2008; 15(6):745-753.
- Sampson UK, Dorbala S, Limaye A, Kwong R, Di Carli MF. Diagnostic accuracy of rubidium-82 myocardial perfusion imaging with hybrid positron emission tomography/computed tomography in the detection of coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49(10):1052-1058.
- Di Carli MF, Dorbala S, Curillova Z, et al. Relationship between CT coronary angiography and stress perfusion imaging in patients with suspected ischemic heart disease assessed by integrated PET-CT imaging. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2007; 14(6):799-809.
- Namdar M, Hany TF, Koepfli P, et al. Integrated PET/CT for the assessment of coronary artery disease: a feasibility study. *J Nucl Med* 2005; 46(6):930-935.
- Fleischmann KE, Hunink MG, Kuntz KM, Douglas PS. Exercise echocardiography or exercise SPECT imaging? A meta-analysis of diagnostic test performance. *JAMA* 1998; 280(10):913-920.
- Plana JC, Mikati IA, Dokainish H, et al. A randomized cross-over study for evaluation of the effect of image optimization with contrast on the diagnostic accuracy of dobutamine echocardiography in coronary artery disease The OPTIMIZE Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008; 1(2):145-152.
- Picano E, Molinaro S, Pasanisi E. The diagnostic accuracy of pharmacological stress echocardiography for the assessment of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2008; 6:30.

30. Ibrahim T, Nekolla SG, Schreiber K, et al. Assessment of coronary flow reserve: comparison between contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002; 39(5):864-870.
31. Keijer JT, van Rossum AC, van Eenige MJ, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of regional myocardial perfusion in patients with single-vessel coronary artery disease: quantitative comparison with (201)Thallium-SPECT and coronary angiography. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2000; 11(6):607-615.
32. Wilke NM, Jerosch-Herold M, Zenovich A, Stillman AE. Magnetic resonance first-pass myocardial perfusion imaging: clinical validation and future applications. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1999; 10(5):676-685.
33. al-Saadi N, Gross M, Paetsch I, et al. Dobutamine induced myocardial perfusion reserve index with cardiovascular MR in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2002; 4(4):471-480.
34. Al-Saadi N, Nagel E, Gross M, et al. Noninvasive detection of myocardial ischemia from perfusion reserve based on cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *Circulation* 2000; 101(12):1379-1383.
35. Ishida N, Sakuma H, Motoyasu M, et al. Noninfarcted myocardium: correlation between dynamic first-pass contrast-enhanced myocardial MR imaging and quantitative coronary angiography. *Radiology* 2003; 229(1):209-216.
36. Kwong RY, Schussheim AE, Rekhraj S, et al. Detecting acute coronary syndrome in the emergency department with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2003; 107(4):531-537.
37. Nagel E, Klein C, Paetsch I, et al. Magnetic resonance perfusion measurements for the noninvasive detection of coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2003; 108(4):432-437.
38. Paetsch I, Jahnke C, Wahl A, et al. Comparison of dobutamine stress magnetic resonance, adenosine stress magnetic resonance, and adenosine stress magnetic resonance perfusion. *Circulation* 2004; 110(7):835-842.
39. Schwitler J, DeMarco T, Kneifel S, et al. Magnetic resonance-based assessment of global coronary flow and flow reserve and its relation to left ventricular functional parameters: a comparison with positron emission tomography. *Circulation* 2000; 101(23):2696-2702.
40. Schwitler J, Nanz D, Kneifel S, et al. Assessment of myocardial perfusion in coronary artery disease by magnetic resonance: a comparison with positron emission tomography and coronary angiography. *Circulation* 2001; 103(18):2230-2235.
41. Nandalur KR, Dwamena BA, Choudhri AF, Nandalur MR, Carlos RC. Diagnostic performance of stress cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 50(14):1343-1353.
42. Hundley WG, Hamilton CA, Thomas MS, et al. Utility of fast cine magnetic resonance imaging and display for the detection of myocardial ischemia in patients not well suited for second harmonic stress echocardiography. *Circulation* 1999; 100(16):1697-1702.
43. Nagel E, Lehmkuhl HB, Bocksch W, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of ischemia-induced wall motion abnormalities with the use of high-dose dobutamine stress MRI: comparison with dobutamine stress echocardiography. *Circulation* 1999; 99(6):763-770.
44. Klem I, Heitner JF, Shah DJ, et al. Improved detection of coronary artery disease by stress perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance with the use of delayed enhancement infarction imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 47(8):1630-1638.
45. Hundley WG, Morgan TM, Neagle CM, Hamilton CA, Rerkpattanapipat P, Link KM. Magnetic resonance imaging determination of cardiac prognosis. *Circulation* 2002; 106(18):2328-2333.
46. Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M, Jr., Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1990; 15(4):827-832.
47. Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Kaplan G, Gasso J, Hildner F, Viamonte M, Jr. Ultrafast computed tomography-detected coronary calcium reflects the angiographic extent of coronary arterial atherosclerosis. *Am J Cardiol* 1994; 74(12):1272-1274.
48. Breen JF, Sheedy PF, 2nd, Schwartz RS, et al. Coronary artery calcification detected with ultrafast CT as an indication of coronary artery disease. *Radiology* 1992; 185(2):435-439.
49. Carr JJ, Crouse JR, 3rd, Goff DC, Jr., D'Agostino RB, Jr., Peterson NP, Burke GL. Evaluation of subsecond gated helical CT for quantification of coronary artery calcium and comparison with electron beam CT. *AJR* 2000; 174(4):915-921.
50. Detrano RC, Anderson M, Nelson J, et al. Coronary calcium measurements: effect of CT scanner type and calcium measure on rescan reproducibility--MESA study. *Radiology* 2005; 236(2):477-484.
51. Fallavollita JA, Brody AS, Bunnell IL, Kumar K, Canty JM, Jr. Fast computed tomography detection of coronary calcification in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. Comparison with angiography in patients < 50 years old. *Circulation* 1994; 89(1):285-290.
52. Stanford W, Thompson BH, Burns TL, Heery SD, Burr MC. Coronary artery calcium quantification at multi-detector row helical CT versus electron-beam CT. *Radiology* 2004; 230(2):397-402.
53. Wong ND, Vo A, Abrahamson D, Tobis JM, Eisenberg H, Detrano RC. Detection of coronary artery calcium by ultrafast computed tomography and its relation to clinical evidence of coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1994; 73(4):223-227.
54. Shaw LJ, Raggi P, Schisterman E, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Prognostic value of cardiac risk factors and coronary artery calcium screening for all-cause mortality. *Radiology* 2003; 228(3):826-833.
55. Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, van Mieghem CA, et al. High-resolution spiral computed tomography coronary angiography in patients referred for diagnostic conventional coronary angiography. *Circulation* 2005; 112(15):2318-2323.
56. Pugliese F, Mollet NR, Runza G, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive 64-slice CT coronary angiography in patients with stable angina pectoris. *Eur Radiol* 2006; 16(3):575-582.
57. Ropers D, Rixe J, Anders K, et al. Usefulness of multidetector row spiral computed tomography with 64- x 0.6-mm collimation and 330-ms rotation for the noninvasive detection of significant coronary artery stenoses. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; 97(3):343-348.
58. Abdulla J, Abildstrom SZ, Gotzsche O, Christensen E, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C. 64-multislice detector computed tomography coronary angiography as potential alternative to conventional coronary angiography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28(24):3042-3050.
59. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, et al. Diagnostic Performance of 64-Multidetector Row Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography for Evaluation of Coronary Artery Stenosis in Individuals Without Known Coronary Artery Disease: Results From the Prospective Multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; jacc.2008.2007.2031.
60. Husmann L, Schepis T, Scheffel H, et al. Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography in patients with low, intermediate, and high cardiovascular risk. *Acad Radiol* 2008; 15(4):452-461.
61. Meijboom WB, van Mieghem CA, Mollet NR, et al. 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography in patients with high, intermediate, or low pretest probability of significant coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 50(15):1469-1475.
62. Gerber TC, Kantor B, McCollough CH. Radiation dose and safety in cardiac computed tomography. *Cardiol Clin* 2009; 27(4):665-677.
63. Earls JP, Berman EL, Urban BA, et al. Prospectively gated transverse coronary CT angiography versus retrospectively gated helical technique: improved image quality and reduced radiation dose. *Radiology* 2008; 246(3):742-753.
64. Husmann L, Valenta I, Gaemperli O, et al. Feasibility of low-dose coronary CT angiography: first experience with prospective ECG-gating. *Eur Heart J* 2008; 29(2):191-197.
65. Stolzmann P, Leschka S, Scheffel H, et al. Dual-source CT in step-and-shoot mode: noninvasive coronary angiography with low radiation dose. *Radiology* 2008; 249(1):71-80.
66. Heilbron BG, Leipsic J. Submillisievert coronary computed tomography angiography using adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction - a new reality. *Can J Cardiol* 2010; 26(1):35-36.
67. Achenbach S, Marwan M, Ropers D, et al. Coronary computed tomography angiography with a consistent dose below 1 mSv

- using prospectively electrocardiogram-triggered high-pitch spiral acquisition. *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31(3):340-346.
68. Pouleur A-C, le Polain de Waroux J-B, Kefer J, Pasquet A, Vanoverschelde J-L, Gerber BL. Direct Comparison of Whole-Heart Navigator-Gated Magnetic Resonance Coronary Angiography and 40- and 64-Slice Multidetector Row Computed Tomography to Detect the Coronary Artery Stenosis in Patients Scheduled for Conventional Coronary Angiography. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008; 1(2):114-121.
69. Alderman EL, Corley SD, Fisher LD, et al. Five-year angiographic follow-up of factors associated with progression of coronary artery disease in the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS). CASS Participating Investigators and Staff. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993; 22(4):1141-1154.
70. American College of Radiology. *Manual on Contrast Media*. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/contrast_manual.aspx.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.