

**American College of Radiology  
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

**Clinical Condition: Acute Chest Pain — Low Probability of Coronary Artery Disease**

<b>Radiologic Procedure</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b><u>RRL</u>*</b>
X-ray chest	9		Min
SPECT MPI	8	If a cardiac etiology is suspected.	High
CTA coronary arteries	7	If a cardiac etiology is suspected.	High
MRI heart with stress with or without contrast	7	If local expertise is available. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
CTA chest (noncoronary)	6	Useful in ruling out other causes of chest pain such as aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax, pneumonia.	Med
US echocardiography transthoracic	6	If cardiac etiology is suspected.	None
US echocardiography transesophageal	6	If cardiac etiology is suspected. To exclude aortic dissection if MDCT and/or MRI are nondiagnostic.	None
MRA chest (noncoronary)	5	Alternative to MDCT if aortic dissection is suspected. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
Tc-99m V/Q scan lung	5	If contrast administration is contraindicated and pulmonary embolism is suspected.	Med
MRA pulmonary arteries	4	If local expertise is available. See statement regarding contrast in text under “Anticipated Exceptions.”	None
US echocardiography transthoracic stress	4	Alternative to stress nuclear medicine scan if cardiac etiology is suspected.	None
Coronary angiography with ventriculography	4	If stress testing is equivocal and a cardiac etiology is suspected	Med
CT coronary calcium	4	A negative score may be useful for ruling out coronary etiology.	Med
X-ray barium swallow and upper GI series	3	If gastroesophageal disease is suspected	Med
X-ray rib views	3	If a chest wall etiology is suspected.	Med
X-ray thoracic spine	3	If a spinal etiology is suspected.	Med
US abdomen	3	If abdominal pathology is suspected.	None
MRA coronary arteries	2	Not well developed.	None
<b><u>Rating Scale:</u> 1=Least appropriate, 9=Most appropriate</b>			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

# ACUTE CHEST PAIN — LOW PROBABILITY OF CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE

Expert Panel on Cardiac Imaging: William Stanford, MD<sup>1</sup>; E. Kent Yucel, MD<sup>2</sup>; Arfa Khan, MD<sup>3</sup>; Michael K. Atalay, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup>; Linda B. Haramati, MD, MS<sup>5</sup>; Vincent B. Ho, MD, MBA<sup>6</sup>; Leena Mammen, MD<sup>7</sup>; Anna Rozenshtein, MD<sup>8</sup>; Frank J. Rybicki, MD, PhD<sup>9</sup>; U. Joseph Schoepf, MD<sup>10</sup>; Barry Stein, MD<sup>11</sup>; Pamela K. Woodard, MD<sup>12</sup>; Michael Jaff, MD.<sup>13</sup>

## Summary of Literature Review

Patients with signs and/or symptoms [1] of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) are not included in this discussion as the evaluation and treatment algorithms have been well defined in the Scientific Statements and Practice Guidelines of the American Heart Association [2]. The classic patient with suspected ACS presents to emergency departments with substernal chest pain, diagnostic ST segment changes, and elevated cardiac enzymes suggesting myocardial infarction [3]. For those patients who do not present with classic ACS signs, symptoms, or electrocardiogram (ECG) abnormalities the differential diagnosis needs to include pulmonary, gastrointestinal (GI), or musculoskeletal pathologies [4,5]. In these patients, noninvasive imaging methodologies are essential for diagnosis.

The following imaging modalities are available in evaluating patients presenting to the emergency departments with low probability of coronary artery disease (CAD): chest radiography, multidetector computed tomography (MDCT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) scans, cardiac perfusion scintigraphy, transesophageal and transthoracic echocardiography, positron emission tomography (PET), spine and rib radiography, barium esophageal and upper GI studies, and abdominal ultrasound [6,7].

## Chest Radiography

The chest radiograph is the recommended initial imaging study [8]. Chest radiographs can diagnose pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, fractured ribs, acute and chronic infections, and malignancies. Other conditions producing chest pain, such as aortic aneurysms/dissections and/or pulmonary emboli, may be suspected from the chest radiograph, but the overall sensitivities are less.

Thoracic calcifications, if present, may indicate pericardial disease, ventricular aneurysm, intracardiac thrombi, or aortic disease. The presence of a Hampton hump, Westermark sign, or pulmonary artery enlargement may suggest pulmonary embolism, while mediastinal air may indicate a ruptured viscus or subpleural bleb.

## Multidetector Computed Tomography

MDCT has very high accuracy in demonstrating pneumothorax, pneumonia, malignancies, and pulmonary airspace disease. CT angiography (CTA) is the imaging modality of choice for suspected pulmonary embolism and aortic pathology such as dissection or aneurysm. Pericardial effusions, thickening, and/or calcifications are seen far more readily than with radiographs alone [9-12]. ECG gated MDCT can be used in dedicated cardiac protocols for coronary CTA. This examination has a very high negative predictive value for CAD. When coronary CTA is performed with retrospective ECG-gating, wall motion and valve abnormalities can be identified via cine evaluations of CT images acquired throughout the cardiac cycle. Both prospective and retrospective ECG-gated cardiac CT can define ventricular aneurysms and cardiac thrombi [13]. MDCT is also the primary method for diagnosing coronary anomalies [12,14-16]. A coronary calcium score of zero can be useful in excluding CAD.

## Transthoracic and Transesophageal Echocardiography

Transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography with or without pharmacologic stress are frequently used to define abnormalities of ventricular wall motion as an indicator of cardiac disease. [17]. In addition, echocardiography can readily demonstrate pericardial effusion, valve dysfunction, and cardiac thrombus. Aortic pathology can be identified [18,19], but the findings of intramural hematoma, dissection, pulmonary embolus, and aneurysm are better seen with MDCT or MRI (discussed below).

## Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) can be performed with either noncontrast (eg, time-of-flight, balanced gradient-echo) or contrast-enhanced (eg, 3D arterial-phase fast gradient-echo) protocols that are useful in identifying vascular pathology. These techniques can be used to identify aortic as well as pulmonary artery pathology [20]. MRA is typically more time-consuming and less available in the emergency setting, but is an

<sup>1</sup>Principal Author, University of Iowa Hospitals & Clinics, Iowa City, Iowa.

<sup>2</sup>Co-Chair, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts.

<sup>3</sup>Co-Chair, Long Island Jewish Medical Center, New Hyde Park, New York.

<sup>4</sup>The Warren Alpert School of Medicine at Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island.

<sup>5</sup>Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York.

<sup>6</sup>Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, Maryland.

<sup>7</sup>Advanced Radiology Services, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

<sup>8</sup>Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, New York.

<sup>9</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

<sup>10</sup>Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina.

<sup>11</sup>Jefferson Radiology Group and Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut.

<sup>12</sup>Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, Missouri.

<sup>13</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, American College of Cardiology.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

important alternative noninvasive imaging strategy in patients with a contraindication to CTA. Cardiac MRI is uncommonly used in the emergency setting because of the relatively long scan times and the limited number of trained physicians, technologists, and MR resources.

### **Radiography of the Ribs, Cervical Spine, or Thoracic Spine**

Rib or spine radiographs are indicated in patients with a clinical suspicion of skeletal pathology.

### **Radionuclide Studies**

*Radionuclide myocardial perfusion studies* with thallium 201, technetium 99m sestamibi, or tetrofosmin are frequently used in identifying perfusion abnormalities as a cause for the chest pain, especially when a cardiac etiology is suspected [21-27]. A normal stress perfusion scan may be used to exclude the diagnosis of coronary artery disease in patients who have ruled out myocardial infarction by enzymes.

*PET* is an alternative method for evaluating myocardial perfusion deficits, using N13 ammonia or rubidium 82 agents. However, these examinations are less commonly used because they are time consuming and resources are not readily available.

*V/Q lung scintigraphy* can be used in patients with clinically suspected pulmonary embolism, but this study has been largely replaced by MDCT.

### **Cardiac Catheterization**

Cardiac catheterization with coronary digital subtraction angiography remains the gold standard in demonstrating CAD and can permit immediate therapeutic intervention. Catheterization has traditionally served as the definitive diagnostic test, although the high negative predictive value of coronary CTA enables it to be used alone to exclude CAD.

### **Barium Swallow or Endoscopy**

Esophageal disorders can be the cause of chest pain. A barium swallow or endoscopy may be helpful in establishing esophageal spasm or reflux as an etiology of the chest pain [28].

### **Abdominal Ultrasonography**

Abdominal ultrasound may be indicated in documenting cholecystitis as a cause for the chest pain. Ultrasound is also helpful in evaluating pancreatitis and/or intra-abdominal abscesses and fluid collections.

### **Summary**

The patient's history is important in establishing the etiology in patients presenting to emergency departments with a low probability of a cardiac etiology for their chest pain, and a number of imaging modalities may be required to establish the diagnosis. The chest radiograph is almost universally obtained. Traditionally, cardiac echo, stress perfusion scanning, and coronary angiography have been the mainstays for diagnosing coronary heart disease. MDCT is increasingly used in the evaluation of coronary disease. CTA, MRA, ventilation-

perfusion scanning, barium swallow, and spine or rib radiographs play a role in evaluating noncoronary causes of chest pain.

### **Anticipated Exceptions**

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a scleroderma-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunction and the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely in patients with very limited glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (ie, <30 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk, and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>. For more information, please see the [ACR Manual on Contrast Media](#) [29].

### **Relative Radiation Level Information**

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

<b>Relative Radiation Level Designations</b>	
<b>Relative Radiation Level</b>	<b>Effective Dose Estimate Range</b>
None	0
Minimal	< 0.1 mSv
Low	0.1-1 mSv
Medium	1-10 mSv
High	10-100 mSv

### **Supporting Document(s)**

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

### **References**

1. Clinical policy for the initial approach to adults presenting with a chief complaint of chest pain, with no history of trauma. American College of Emergency Physicians. *Ann Emerg Med* 1995; 25(2):274-299.
2. Scientific Statements and Practice Guidelines Topic List. <http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=2158>. Accessed March 4, 2008.
3. Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, et al. 2007 focused update of the ACC/AHA 2004 guidelines for the management of patients

- with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 51(2):210-247.
4. Hutter AM. Chest pain: how to distinguish between cardiac and noncardiac causes. Interview by Eric R. Leibovitch. *Geriatrics* 1995; 50(9):32-36, 39-40.
  5. Solinas L, Raucci R, Terrazzino S, et al. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, resource utilization and outcome of patients with acute chest pain in the emergency department. A multicenter, prospective, observational study in north-eastern Italy. *Ital Heart J* 2003; 4(5):318-324.
  6. Jesse RL, Kontos MC. Evaluation of chest pain in the emergency department. *Curr Probl Cardiol* 1997; 22(4):149-236.
  7. Ioannidis JP, Salem D, Chew PW, Lau J. Accuracy of imaging technologies in the diagnosis of acute cardiac ischemia in the emergency department: a meta-analysis. *Ann Emerg Med* 2001; 37(5):471-477.
  8. Buenger RE. Five thousand acute care/emergency department chest radiographs: comparison of requisitions with radiographic findings. *J Emerg Med* 1988; 6(3):197-202.
  9. Johnson TR, Nikolaou K, Wintersperger BJ, et al. ECG-gated 64-MDCT angiography in the differential diagnosis of acute chest pain. *AJR* 2007; 188(1):76-82.
  10. Laudon DA, Vukov LF, Breen JF, Rumberger JA, Wollan PC, Sheedy PF, 2nd. Use of electron-beam computed tomography in the evaluation of chest pain patients in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999; 33(1):15-21.
  11. Olivetti L, Mazza G, Volpi D, Costa F, Ferrari O, Pirelli S. Multislice CT in emergency room management of patients with chest pain and medium-low probability of acute coronary syndrome. *Radiol Med (Torino)* 2006; 111(8):1054-1063.
  12. White CS, Kuo D, Kelemen M, et al. Chest pain evaluation in the emergency department: can MDCT provide a comprehensive evaluation? *AJR* 2005; 185(2):533-540.
  13. Mochizuki T, Hosoi S, Higashino H, Koyama Y, Mima T, Murase K. Assessment of coronary artery and cardiac function using multidetector CT. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 2004; 25(2):99-112.
  14. Hoffmann U, Pena AJ, Moselewski F, et al. MDCT in early triage of patients with acute chest pain. *AJR* 2006; 187(5):1240-1247.
  15. Hollander JE, Litt HI, Chase M, Brown AM, Kim W, Baxt WG. Computed tomography coronary angiography for rapid disposition of low-risk emergency department patients with chest pain syndromes. *Acad Emerg Med* 2007; 14(2):112-116.
  16. Schroeder S, Kuetner A, Beck T, et al. Usefulness of noninvasive MSCT coronary angiography as first-line imaging technique in patients with chest pain: initial clinical experience. *Int J Cardiol* 2005; 102(3):469-475.
  17. Di Pasquale P, Cannizzaro S, Scalzo S, et al. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of the echocardiography and troponin-T test combination in patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2004; 20(1):37-46.
  18. Kontos MC, Arrowood JA, Paulsen WH, Nixon JV. Early echocardiography can predict cardiac events in emergency department patients with chest pain. *Ann Emerg Med* 1998; 31(5):550-557.
  19. Lim SH, Sayre MR, Gibler WB. 2-D echocardiography prediction of adverse events in ED patients with chest pain. *Am J Emerg Med* 2003; 21(2):106-110.
  20. Ersoy H, Goldhaber SZ, Cai T, et al. Time-resolved MR angiography: a primary screening examination of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism and contraindications to administration of iodinated contrast material. *AJR* 2007; 188(5):1246-1254.
  21. Hackshaw BT. Excluding heart disease in the patient with chest pain. *Am J Med* 1992; 92(5A):46S-51S.
  22. Hilton TC, Thompson RC, Williams HJ, Saylor R, Fulmer H, Stowers SA. Technetium-99m sestamibi myocardial perfusion imaging in the emergency room evaluation of chest pain. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994; 23(5):1016-1022.
  23. Kontos MC, Jesse RL, Anderson FP, Schmidt KL, Ornato JP, Tatum JL. Comparison of myocardial perfusion imaging and cardiac troponin I in patients admitted to the emergency department with chest pain. *Circulation* 1999; 99(16):2073-2078.
  24. Swinburn J, Lahiri A. Can nuclear cardiology really help in the emergency departments of the 21st century? *Rev Port Cardiol* 2000; 19 Suppl 1:147-52.
  25. Udelson JE, Beshansky JR, Ballin DS, et al. Myocardial perfusion imaging for evaluation and triage of patients with suspected acute cardiac ischemia: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002; 288(21):2693-2700.
  26. Varetto T, Cantalupi D, Altieri A, Orlandi C. Emergency room technetium-99m sestamibi imaging to rule out acute myocardial ischemic events in patients with nondiagnostic electrocardiograms. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993; 22(7):1804-1808.
  27. Williams KA, Garvin AA, Taillon LA. Clinical nuclear imaging techniques for the diagnosis and evaluation of acute myocardial infarction. *Compr Ther* 1992; 18(2):6-10.
  28. Just RJ, Castell DO. Chest pain of undetermined origin. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 1994; 4(4):731-746.
  29. American College of Radiology. *Manual on Contrast Media*. Available at: [http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality\\_safety/contrast\\_manual.aspx](http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/contrast_manual.aspx).

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.